Neha Jain *

Globalize your Research

AUCTOR

Review Article

An Insight into Long-Acting Local Anesthetics Agents (Articaine and Bupivacaine) in Management of post Operative pain in Patient with Maxillofacial Trauma

Ajay K Pillai ¹, Neha Jain ²*, Dheeraj Sharma ³, Kriti Dubey ⁴, Priyanka Sharma ⁵, Satyaprakash Nigam ⁶

¹Prof & HOD, Dept of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Peoples Dental Academy.Peoples University, Bhopal.

²Prof, Dept of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Peoples Dental Academy. Peoples University, Bhopal.

³Resident, Dept of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Peoples Dental Academy. Peoples University, Bhopal.

⁴Sr Lecturer. Dept of Endodontics, Peoples Dental Academy. Peoples University, Bhopal.

⁵Reader, Dept of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Peoples Dental Academy. Peoples University, Bhopal.

⁶Reader, Dept of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Peoples Dental Academy. Peoples University, Bhopal.

*Corresponding Author:

Received date: May 17, 2025; Accepted date: May 27, 2025; Published date: June 18, 2025

Citation: Ajay K. Pillai, Neha Jain, Dheeraj Sharma, Kriti Dubey, Priyanka Sharma, et al, (2025), An Insight into Long-Acting Local Anesthetics Agents (Articaine and Bupivacaine) in Management of post Operative pain in Patient with Maxillofacial Trauma, *Clinical Research and Clinical Trials*, 12(5); **DOI:10.31579/2693-4779/272**

Copyright: © 2025, Neha Jain. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract:

A thorough investigation on existing literature need to be done to know the effect of long acting local anesthesia in management of post operative pain in maxillofacial trauma. With concomitant use of nerve block by long acting local anesthetics can reduce opioid analgesics need and has a positive effect on management of post operative pain.

Keywords: articaine; bupivacaine; mandible fracture; inferior alveolar nerve block; post operative pain

Introduction

Pain is defined as "An unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with, or resembles, actual or potential tissue damage." as defined by international association for the study of pain (IASP). Any tissue damage by thermal injury, chemical injury, mechanical injury etc can cause pain sensation. As per the literature study there is much to learn about how and why different people feel pain in different ways.[1]

One of the most crucial part in the management of patient with maxillofacial trauma is to manage post operative pain and reduce hospital stay.[1] Post operative pain can be minimized by administering opioids drugs and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID'S). But opioids analgesics has



shown increased risk of respiratory distress, drowsiness, nausea, vomiting and other medical and physical complications.[1]

To minimize such post operativel complications, the use of long-acting local anesthesia has been advocated by many researchers [1,2] which reduces the usage of opoid analgesia and NSAID'S.

Articaine (Fig 1) is an amide type of local anesthetic agent with benzene ring and is available as 4% solution with 1:100000 epinephrine concentration.[2]. It was 1^{st} synthesized by H. Ruschig, G. Ehrhart in Germany in 1969 and FDA approved it in april 2000. It is 1.5 times more potent than lidocaine and toxicity is equivalent to that of lidocaine.

Figure 1: Articaine hydrochloride 4% solution

Clinical Research and Clinical Trials

Copy rights @ Neha Jain, et al,

Articaine is the only amide local anesthetic containing a thiophene aromatic rin. Because it also contains an ester group, biotransformation of articaine hydrochloride occurs in both plasma (hydrolysis by plasma esterase similarly to other ester local anesthetics) and liver (hepatic microsomal enzymes—similarly to other amide local anesthetics).[3] It is excreted via the kidneys (approximately 5% to 10% unchanged, approximately 90% metabolites). Onset of anesthesia of articaine takes 1.5 to 1.8 minutes for maxillofacial infiltration and 1.5 to 3.6 minutes in mandibular nerve block.PH of articaine is 4.0 to 5.5 and anesthetic half-life is 0.5 hours (27 minutes). Articaine has both benzene ring and theophylline ring in its chemical structure (Fig 2) which makes it more soluble in lipids and well tolerated to tissues. [2,5]



Worldwide, articaine is the second most used dental local anesthetic agent.[3]

Articaine, is relatively contraindicated in patients with congenital or idiopathic methemoglobinemia, or in patients who are receiving treatment with methemoglobin-inducing agents because they are more susceptible to drug-induced methemoglobinemia.

Articaine hydrochloride with epinephrine is contraindicated in persons with known sensitivity to amide-type local anesthetics.

Administration of long-acting local anesthesia to children younger than 4 years is not recommended as long-acting local anesthesia may cause prolong anesthesia in lip, tongue and buccal mucosa, which child can bite unknowingly bite and cause self-harm.

Bupivacaine (Fig 3) is most widely used long-acting local anesthetic agent in maxillofacial surgery and obstetrics.



Figure 3: Bupivacaine 0.5% solution

Bupivacaine was first prepared by. A.F. Ekenstam, 1957 and FDA approved in October 1972. It is water soluble, amide type of local anesthetic agent with *Chemical formula*. 1-Butyl-2',6'-pipecoloxylidide hydrochloride (Figure 4).

It also has solubility in lipids and higher protein binding properties make it suitable long-acting anesthetic agent. [3,5]



Figure 4: chemical structure of bupivacaine

It is Four times more potent that of lidocaine and its toxicity is less than four times that of lidocaine. It is Metabolized in the liver by amidases and Excreted Via the kidney; 16% unchanged bupivacaine has been recovered from human urine.

PH of plain solution. 4.5 to 6.0and pH of vasoconstrictor-containing solution. 3.0 to 4.5. Its onset of action is Slower than other commonly used local anesthetics (e.g., 6 to 10 minutes).

Effective dental concentration is 0.5% and anesthetic half-life is 2.7 hours.

Bupivacaine is not recommended in younger patients (younger than 12 years in the United States) or in those for whom the risk of postoperative soft tissue injury produced by self-mutilation is increased, such as physically and mentally disabled persons. Bupivacaine with epinephrine is contraindicated in persons with known sensitivity to amide-type local anesthetics.

Auctores Publishing LLC – Volume 12(5)-272 www.auctoresonline.org ISSN: 2693-4779

Clinical Research and Clinical Trials

It is an appropriate long-acting local anesthetic drug to treat post-operative maxillofacial trauma cases due to its rapid onset of action and long-acting nature.

The mandible is innervated by the inferior alveolar nerve, a branch of the mandibular nerve (V3), which carries both sensory and motor fibers and exits the skull via the foramen ovale. A complete mandibular nerve block results in loss of sensation on the same side in the mandible, lower teeth to the midline, buccal and lingual soft and hard tissues, anterior two-thirds of the tongue, floor of the mouth, external auditory canal, anterior ear, jaw line skin, posterior cheek, and temporal region. The skin over the angle of the mandible remains unaffected, as it is supplied by the superficial cervical plexus. [6]

Copy rights @ Neha Jain, et al, An inferior alveolar nerve block is effective for mandibular procedures like fracture repair or lower lip and teeth surgeries. Postoperative pain after faciomaxillary surgeries is often poorly managed, with common treatments like paracetamol, NSAIDs, and opioids offering limited relief. Regional anesthesia could enhance pain control and improve recovery out comes [6]

Inferior alveolar nerve block (IANB)

An inferior alveolar nerve block (IANB) is a dental procedure that provides anesthesia to the lower teeth, lip, and chin on epsilateral side of the mouth. It involves injecting a local anesthetic near the inferior alveolar nerve as it emerges from the mandibular foramen.



Figure 5.1 showing OPG of patient with right parasymphysis fracture undergoing ORIF under GA **Figure 5.2** showing inferior alveolar nerve block with 4% articaine post operatively by closed mouth (Vazirani-Akinosi) technique.



Figure 6.1 showing OPG of patient with left body fracture undergoing ORIF under GA **Figure 6.2** showing inferior alveolar nerve block with 0.5% bupivacaine post operatively by closed mouth (Vazirani-Akinosi) technique.

Discussion: -

In maxillofacial surgical cases the management of pain and other surgical and post-surgical complications are very important for early recovery of patient.

To manage post operative pain maxillofacial surgeons usually administer opioid analgesic or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory (NSAIDS) drugs.

While administering opoide analgesic, side effects like drowsiness, vomiting may be life threatening complication in those trauma patients where post operative maxillomandiubular fixation is required. [1,9]

Usage of NSAID'S post operatively, may cause GIT upset and other related complications.

Numerous studies have noted that a long-acting local anesthetic solution injected peri-operatively, following the fixation of a fractured bone, will provide good pain relief for the patient after surgery. [5]

The research has also noted a decrease in the use of rescue analgesics. Compared to lignocaine, long-acting local anesthetics such as bupivacaine

Auctores Publishing LLC – Volume 12(5)-272 www.auctoresonline.org ISSN: 2693-4779 and articaine have a longer duration of anesthesia due to their higher affinity for protein binding. [6,9]

These local anesthetic molecules cause temporary loss of sensory, motor, and autonomic capabilities when administered at pharmacological concentrations. [7]

The advantages of using articaine include its quick onset of action, minimal toxicity, good penetration, and short-term idolitic breakdown. In contrast, there have been reports of cardiotoxicity while using bupivacaine. This led to the introduction of levobupivacaine, the S (-) enantiomer of bupivacaine. [7,8]

In many studies such as Berkay-Tokuc et al reported the onset of action of articaine is much faster than bupivacaine, but the duration of post operative anesthesia was significantly higher in bupivacaine as compared to articaine.[8] This may be due to the metabolism of articaine which occurs both in plasma and liver.

Clinical Research and Clinical Trials Conclusion / Take home message:

- . Management of post operative pain and other complications is prime importance for operating surgeon.
- 2. Use of intra-operative long-acting local anesthesia reduces post operative intake of drugs like opioids or NSAID'S thus reducing the complication of these drugs.
- 3. Articaine is more effective in terms of onset of anesthesia and dosage.
- 4. Bupivacaine is more effective to control post operative pain because of its longer duration of anesthesia.

Conflict of interest: None

References:

- 1. Khan SA, Zulfiqar G, Aslam AB. (2023). Efficacy of Bupivacaine Inferior Alveolar Nerve Block Versus Intravenous Use of Tramadol for Postoperative Pain Control in Mandibular Parasymphysis Fractures. Pakistan Journal of Medical & Health Sciences. 22;17(03):689.
- Tenglikar P, Manas A, Sahoo AR, Bhoi S, Singh A, Patil PB, Mathpati SK. (2022). A Comparative Evaluation of Anesthetic Effectiveness of 4% Articaine vs 0.5% Bupivacaine for Lower Molar Tooth Extraction. Cureus. 16;14(12).
- 3. Handbook of local anesthesia, 7th edition Stanley F Malamed.

- 5. Martin E, Nimmo A, Lee A, Jennings E. (2021). Articaine in dentistry: an overview of the evidence and meta-analysis of the latest randomised controlled trials on articaine safety and efficacy compared to lidocaine for routine dental treatment. BDJ open. 17;7(1):27.
- 6. Venkatraman R, Karthik K, Belinda C, Balaji R. (2021). A randomized observer-blinded controlled trial to compare preemptive with postoperative ultrasound-guided mandibular nerve block for postoperative analgesia in mandibular fracture surgeries. Local and Regional Anesthesia. 10:13-20.
- Ergüder B, Kıpçak ÖA, Köymen R. (2022). A Comparison of the Postoperative Pain Relief and Clinical Local Anesthetic Efficacy of Levobupivacaine and Articaine for Impacted Lower Third Molar Removal. Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi.;13(2):253-262.
- 8. Tokuç B, Coşkunses FM. (2021). Comparison of the effects of articaine and bupivacaine in impacted mandibular third molar tooth surgery: a randomized, controlled trial. Journal of dental anesthesia and pain medicine. 26;21(6):575.
- 9. Ali Hossein M, Hosein A, Sohrab P, Mohamadreza S. Efficacy of Bilateral Mental Nerve Block with Bupivacaine for Postoperative Pain Control in Mandibular Parasymphysis Fractures.

CC Ū BY

Commons Attribution 4.0 License

To Submit Your Article Click Here:

ck Here: Submit Manuscript

This work is licensed under Creative

DOI:10.31579/2693-4779/272

- Ready to submit your research? Choose Auctores and benefit from:
 - ➢ fast, convenient online submission
 - > rigorous peer review by experienced research in your field
 - rapid publication on acceptance
 - authors retain copyrights
 - > unique DOI for all articles
 - immediate, unrestricted online access

At Auctores, research is always in progress.

 $Learn\ more\ \underline{https://auctoresonline.org/journals/clinical-research-and-clinical-trials}$