Jose Luis Turabian*

Open Access

Research Article

Hybrid Immunity Effect Trend on Covid-19 Reinfection in A Fully Vaccinated Population According to The Number Needed to Treat Since 2020-2022 To 2023 Versus 2023 To 2024 In A General Medicine Office in Toledo (Spain)

Jose Luis Turabian

Health Center Santa Maria de Benquerencia. Regional Health Service of Castilla-la Mancha (SESCAM), Toledo, Spain

*Corresponding Author: Jose Luis Turabian Health Center Santa Maria de Benquerencia Toledo, Spain.

Received date: March 17, 2025; Accepted date: April 09, 2025; Published date: May 16, 2025

Citation: Jose L. Turabian, (2025), Hybrid Immunity Effect Trend on Covid-19 Reinfection in A Fully Vaccinated Population According to The Number Needed to Treat Since 2020-2022 To 2023 Versus 2023 To 2024 In A General Medicine Office in Toledo (Spain), *Clinical Research and Clinical Trials*, 12(4); **DOI:10.31579/2693-4779/264**

Copyright: © 2025, Jose Luis Turabian. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract:

Background

The evolution of the magnitude of the impact from 2020 to 2024 of prevention measures (vaccination and natural immunity) against covid-19 reinfection in daily practice on the population is not known.

Objective

To compare the number needed to treat (NNT) and the number needed to harm (NNH) for SARS-CoV-2 reinfections, associated with prevention measures (mainly acquired immunity through vaccination and natural immunity), since 2020-2022 period to 2023 vs. 2023 to 2024.

Methodology

Comparison of secondary data among covid-19 reinfection cases in 2020-2022 period to 2023 vs. 2023 to 2024 of previous studies. For the calculation of NNT and NNH of SARS-CoV-2 infections, cases in 2020-2022 period were considered control group with respect to cases in 2023, and cases in 2023 were considered control group with respect to cases in 2024.

Results

Lower NNT figures (greater magnitude of the hybrid immunity effect) and higher NNH figures (lower risk of harm with hybrid immunity) were found since the period 2020-2022 to 2023 versus 2024. 2023 to 2024. Since 2020-2022 period to 2023 the lowest NNT figures were for women (17) and People with some type of labor specialization (59). And the highest NNH figures were for men (1000) and Moderate-severe severity of reinfection (500). Since 2023 to 2024 the lowest NNT figures were Socio-Health Care Workers (5) and Complex families (111). And the highest NNH figures were for population >14 years (500) and People with some type of labor specialization (333).

Conclusion

In the context of this general practice setting in Toledo, Spain, there was a lower magnitude of the beneficial effect of hybrid immunity from 2023 to 2024 vs. from 2020-2022 to 2023. In any case, the greatest benefit in 2024 is for Socio-Health Care Workers and the least harm is for the population >14 years old.

Keywords: covid-19; sars-cov-2; hybrid immunity; risk and benefit data; population surveillance/methods; epidemiological characteristic; public health practice; general practice

Introduction

Hybrid immunity, resulting from a combination of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection and vaccination, offers robust protection against coronavirus disease 2019 (covid-19) in the community. A large proportion of the world's population has acquired

immunity through vaccination, infection, or a combination of both, i.e., hybrid immunity against SARS-CoV-2 by the end of 2022 [1]. But the temporal evolution of this natural and hybrid immunity is unknown [2].

Decreased immunity as a result of new variants must be taken into account.

The efficacy of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection in preventing reinfection varies along the spectrum spanning alpha, beta, delta, and omicron (3). There is increasing scientific evidence showing that the protection generated by vaccination decreases over time, although it is restored with booster dose inoculation [4, 5].

Five years after the pandemic began, new SARS-CoV-2 infections continue to occur despite advances in vaccines. SARS-CoV-2 reinfections became common with the arrival of omicron [6-9]. Knowledge about immune protection induced by vaccines, previous infection or hybrid immunity is of great importance for intervention policies against covid-19 and for a better understanding of the immunological mechanisms that protect against infectious diseases (1).

Although various measures can be used to describe the benefits and harms of treatments or preventive interventions, not all of them clearly show the benefits or harms of these interventions in a clinically useful way. Relative risk and relative risk reduction (RRR) are commonly used to describe study results, but they have limited clinical utility as they do not take into account baseline risks and tend to exaggerate study results. Absolute risk measures, such as number needed to treat (NNT) and number needed to harm (NNH), allow risk to be expressed in a much more clinically relevant way. Absolute risk measures reflect baseline risk and more accurately indicate the magnitude of the treatment effect [10].

Thus, in SARS-CoV-2 infection, it may be more intuitive to give the results of the intervention (community hybrid immunity: vaccination and natural immunity from having had the infection) over time, in the form of ARR, NNT and NNH. Consequently, measuring the ARR and NNT (people to be vaccinated and/or with past infection at the community level) may be more appropriate for prioritizing vaccination of vulnerable populations than relative measures, such as RRR [11, 12].

In this scenario, we present a comparative study, based on previously published data, to evaluate the NNT and the NNH of covid-19 reinfections since period 2020-2022 until 2023 [with alpha, delta and omicron SARS-CoV-2 variants; and in 2020 without vaccination, in 2021 with 1 or 2 dose vaccination and in 2022 with first booster] and since 2023 until 2024 [in 2023 omicron variant and with second booster -4th dose-, and in 2024 omicron variant with third booster -5th doses- of vaccine], from the same population attended in a general medicine consultation.

Material And Methods

Design and emplacement

This study compares data from previous observational, longitudinal and prospective studies of covid-19 reinfections since Marzo 2020 to October, 2024, already published [13, 14]. These previous studies were conducted on the same population: patients saw in a general medicine office in Toledo, Spain, which has a list of 2,000 patients > 14 years of age (in Spain, general practitioner (GP) care for people > 14 years of age, except for exceptions). The GPs in Spain work within the National Health System, which is public in nature. GPs are the gateway for all patients to the system and each person is assigned a GP. The methodology of all studies has been previously published, but the main elements will be repeated here to facilitate understanding of the current study.

Outcome of interest

To compare the number needed to treat (NNT) and the number needed to harm (NNH) for SARS-CoV-2 reinfections, associated with acquired immunity by vaccination and/or infection) since March 2020-October 2022 period to October 2023 with October 2023 to October, 2024.

Definition, calculation and interpretation of NNT and NNH

NNT (defined as the number of individuals that must be treated with the experimental therapy to produce, or prevent, one additional event over those that would occur with the control treatment; it represents the number needed to treat to produce an effect in 1 of them) and NNH (number needed to treat

Copy rights@ Jose Luis Turabian,

to produce some harm in 1 of them; that is, a negative NNT indicates that the treatment has a harmful effect; the experimental treatment achieves less benefit than the control or standard; or that the adverse effects inherent to the treatment are greater in the experimental group. The NNH will have a negative absolute risk reduction) were calculated as the inverse of the Absolute Risk Reduction (ARR), or 1/ARR. The lower the NNT, the greater the magnitude of the effect of the intervention in question. The higher the NNH, the lower the risk of harm from the intervention [15]. NNT and NNH were calculated using the online Clinical Calculators at ClinCalc.com [16].

Definition of reinfection

SARS-CoV-2 reinfection was defined as a documented infection occurring at least 90 days after a previous infection [17-19].

Diagnosis of covid-19

The diagnosis was performed with reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction oropharyngeal swab tests or antigen testing [20] performed in health services or at home.

Covid-19vaccination

Patients could have received 1, 2 doses of vaccine, first booster for fallwinter 2021, fourth dose (second booster) for fall-winter 2022 [21] and fifth dose (third booster) for fall-winter 2023. In our study, only Pizfer / BioNTech, Spikevax (mRNA-1273- Moderna), Vaxzevria, Oxford / AstraZeneca and Janssen (Johnson & Johnson) vaccines were used for the first and second doses. For the first booster, only messenger RNA (mRNA) was used. And only Moderna and Pfizer-BioNTech's bivalent covid-19 vaccines were used for the second booster. Omicron XBB.1.5 adapted vaccines Pfizer / BioNTech y Spikevax (Moderna) were used for the third booster in autumn-winter 2023-2024 [22-25].

Collected variables

The following variables were collected:

-Age and sex

-Chronic diseases (defined as "any alteration or deviation from normal that has one or more of the following characteristics: is permanent, leaves residual impairment, is caused by a non-reversible pathological alteration, requires special training of the patient for rehabilitation, and / or can be expected to require a long period of control, observation or treatment" [26]

-Social-occupancy class (according to the Registrar General's classification of occupations and social status code) [27]

-If they were Health Care Workers

-Disease severity (classified according to: 1. mild cases: clinical symptoms are mild and no manifestation of pneumonia can be found on images; 2. moderate cases: with symptoms such as fever and respiratory tract symptoms and the manifestation of pneumonia can be seen on the imaging tests; and 3. severe cases: respiratory distress, respiratory rate \geq 30 breaths / min., pulse oxygen saturation \leq 93% with room air at rest, arterial partial pressure of oxygen / oxygen concentration \leq 300 mmHg.) [28]; to simplify comparison, moderate and severe cases were counted together

-Problems in the family context based on the genogram. It was understood that "complex" genograms present families with psychosocial problems) [29, 30]

-Ethnic minority (defined as a "human group with cultural, linguistic, racial values and geographical origin, numerically inferior compared to the majority group") [31]

Epidemiological analysis

Definition, calculation and interpretation of NNT and NNH was carried out as explained above. Figures with decimals were rounded to facilitate a more intuitive comparison. Similarly, to facilitate understanding of the data, the periods compared were rounded to full years: the period from October 1,

2022 to September 30, 2023 was labeled 2023; and from October 1, 2023 to September 30, 2024 was labeled 2024.

Ethical issues

No personal data of the patients were used, but only group results, which were taken from the clinical history.

Results

Lower NNT figures (greater magnitude of hybrid immunity effect) and higher NNH figures (lower risk of harm with hybrid immunity) were found since 2020-2022 to 2023 versus 2023 to 2024. From 2020-2022 to 2023, the

lowest NNT figures were for women (NNT= 17), People with some type of labor specialization (NNT= 59), and total population >14 years (NNT= 77). And the highest NNH figures were for men (NNT= 1000) and Moderate-severe severity of reinfection (NNH= 500). From 2023 to 2024, the lowest NNT figures were for Socio-Health Care Workers (NNT= 5) and Complex families (NNT= 111). And the highest NNH figures were for population >14 years (NNH= 500), People with some type of labor specialization (NNH= 333) and carriers of chronic diseases (NNH= 200). The NNT and NNH of >= 65 years and Ethnic minority, from 2023 to 2024, were not calculable because they had the same incidence rate in both periods (TABLE 1, FIGURE 1).

VARIABLES	INCIDE NCE RATES OF COVID- 19 RE- INFECT ION 2020- 2022 (control)	INCIDENC E RATES OF COVID-19 RE- INFECTION 2023 (Intervention for 2020-22, and control for 2024)	REDUCTI ON 2020-2022 vs. 2023 (Control incidence minus	[1/ABSOLUTE RISK REDUCTION] 2020-2022 (control) vs. 2023 (intervention) (The lower the NNT, the greater the magnitude of the treatment effect in	CE RATES OF COVID-19 RE- INFECTI ON 2024 (interventi on)	ABSOLU TE RISK REDUCTI ON 2023 vs. 2024 (Control incidence minus interventio n incidence)	[1/ABSOLUTE RISK REDUCTION]
>=14 years	1.9%	0.6%	1.9-0.6=1.3	On average, 77 patients would have to receive the 2023 hybrid immunity level (instead of the 2020- 2022 level) for an additional person >14 years of age to NOT have the covid-19 reinfection.	0.8%	-0.2	On average, 500 people >14 years of age would need to receive the 2024 level of hybrid immunity (instead of the 2023 level) for one additional person >14 years of age to HAVE covid-19 reinfection
>= 65 years	0.9%	1.1%	-0.2	On average, 500 patients would have to receive the 2023 hybrid immunity level (instead of the 2020- 2022 level) for one additional person to HAVE covid-19 reinfection	1.1%	0	Not calculable
Women	6.3%	0.6%	5.7	On average, 17 patients would have to receive the 2023 hybrid immunity level (instead of the 2020- 2022 level) for one additional woman to NOT have covid-19 reinfection	2.1%	-1.5	On average, 67 women would need to receive the 2024 level of hybrid immunity (instead of the 2023 level) for one additional woman to HAVE covid-19 reinfection
Men	0.8%	0.9%	-0.1	On average, 1000 patients would have to receive the 2023 hybrid immunity level (instead of the 2020- 2022 level) for one additional man to HAVE covid-19 reinfection	0.5%	0.4	On average, 250 men would have to receive the 2024 level of hybrid immunity (instead of the 2023 level) for one additional man to NOT have covid-19 reinfection
Socio-Health Care Workers	29%	37%	-8	On average, 13 socio- health care workers would have to receive the 2023 hybrid immunity level (instead of the 2020-2022 level) for one additional Socio-Health Care Workers to HAVE covid- 19 reinfection	17%	20	On average 5 socio-health workers would have to receive the 2024 hybrid immunity level (instead of the 2023 level) for one additional socio- health workers to NOT HAVE covid-19 reinfection

Moderate-	0	0.2%	-0.2	On average, 500 patients	0	0.2	On average, 500 patients with
severe severity of reinfection	-	0.270	0.2	with moderate severe severity would have to receive the 2023 hybrid immunity level (instead of the 2020-2022 level) for one additional patient with moderate severe severity to HAVE covid-19 reinfection	~	0.2	moderate severe severity would have to receive the 2024 level of hybrid immunity (instead of the 2023 level) for one additional patient with moderate severe severity to not HAVE covid-19 reinfection
Chronic disease carriers	1.7%	0.3%	1.4	On average, 71 patients with chronic diseases would have to receive the 2023 hybrid immunity level (instead of the 2020- 2022 level) for one additional patient with chronic diseases to NOT have covid-19 reinfection	0.8%	-0.5	On average, 200 patients with chronic diseases would have to receive the 2024 hybrid immunity level (instead of the 2023 level) for one additional patient with chronic diseases to HAVE covid-19 reinfection
People with some type of labor specialization		0.1%	1.7	On average, 59 people with some type of labor specialization would have to receive the 2023 hybrid immunity level (instead of the 2020-2022 level) for one additional patient to NOT have covid-19 reinfection	0.4%	-0.3	On average, 333 people with some type of labor specialization would have to receive the 2024 level of hybrid immunity (instead of the 2023 level) for one additional patient to HAVE covid-19 reinfection
Complex families	0.5%	1.2%	-0.7	On average, 143 people with complex families would have to receive the 2023 hybrid immunity level (instead of the 2020- 2022 level) for one additional people with complex families to HAVE covid-19 reinfection	0.3%	0.9	On average, 111 people with complex families would have to receive the 2024 hybrid immunity level (instead of the 2023 level) for one additional people with complex families to NOT have covid-19 reinfection
Ethnic minority	6.2%	1.2%	5	On average, 20 ethnic minority people would have to receive the 2023 hybrid immunity level (instead of the 2020-2022 level) for one additional ethnic minority people to NOT have covid-19 reinfection	1.2%	0	Not calculable

 Table 1: Nnt or nnh by hybrid immunity for covid-19 reinfections since 2020-2022 period to 2023 and since 2023 to 2024



Figure 1: Nnt or nnh by hybrid immunity for covid-19 reinfections since 2020-2022 period to 2023 and since 2023 to 2024

Discussion

1. Main findings

Our main findings were:

1. Lower NNT figures (greater magnitude of hybrid immunity effect) and higher NNH figures (lower risk of harm with hybrid immunity) were found since 2020-2022 to 2023 versus 2023 to 2024.

2. Since 2020-2022 to 2023, the lowest NNT figures were for women, people with some type of labor specialization, and total population >14 years. And the highest NNH figures were for men and moderate-severe severity of reinfection.

3. Since 2023 to 2024, the lowest NNT figures were for Socio-Health Care Workers and Complex families. And the highest NNH figures were for population >14 years, people with some type of labor specialization, and carriers of chronic diseases.

To interpret the results, it is necessary to take into account SARS-CoV-2 lineages that were successively prevalent [32] and the vaccines received. In the period 2020-2022 SARS-CoV-2 variants were successively alpha, delta and omicron, and the population had received only 1, 2 or 3 doses of vaccine (14). In Spain, in April 2022, the population vaccinated with the complete regimen (2 or 3 doses) was more than 85% [33]. In November 2022, more than 60% of people over 80 years of age, and 37% of people over 60 years of age, already had the second booster dose of the covid-19 vaccine [34, 35]. In 2023, the omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant predominated and the population received the 4th booster dose was 56% of the population [36]. In 2024, the omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant predominated and the population received the 5th booster dose of the vaccine. 60% of the population over 80 years of age had received the vaccine adapted against the covid-19 subvariants of the 2023/2024 campaign [37].

In any case, the results must be evaluated with caution. In Spain, since April 28, 2022 there was a new "Surveillance and Control Strategy Against Covid-19" that includes the non-performance of diagnostic tests, except on over 60 years of age [38]. This means that positive cases have been counted with tests carried out in health services and with tests carried out at home and later reported to the GP. Thus, there is probably an underreporting.

2. Comparison with other studies

Auctores Publishing LLC – Volume 12(4)-264 www.auctoresonline.org ISSN: 2693-4779

Understanding the protection that prior infection provides or vaccination against repeat infection, disease, and severe disease, in the transition from an epidemic to an endemic state, where a pathogen is stably maintained in a population. is key to projecting the future epidemiology of coronavirus disease 2019 (covid-19), and guiding decisions on vaccination policies (12).

One of the key questions in predicting the course of covid-19 is how well and how long immune responses protect the host from reinfection [39]. Reinfection occurs in an individual is not only determined by the magnitude and duration of specific immunity, but also by the various circumstances of their risk of exposure to the virus [40].

Omicron variants have demonstrated their potential to escape vaccineinduced humoral immunity, leading to many post-vaccination infections and the development of hybrid immunity (1). Reinfections before the omicron variant were very rare, but as new subvariants appeared, immune escape is greater; Not only from vaccines, but also from natural immunity [41-43]. Neither natural immunity nor first-generation vaccine-induced immunity have been able to effectively prevent transmission. Booster doses (third or fourth) of the vaccine played an important role in preventing symptomatic infection, although the booster effect only lasts several months [44, 45].

A systematic review of the magnitude and duration of protective efficacy of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection and hybrid immunity against infection and severe disease caused by the omicron variant showed that all estimates of protection against reinfection declined within months [46]. Clinical trials for covid-19 vaccines by 2021 reported impressive efficacy in preventing symptomatic disease: 95% RRR for the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine and 94% for the Moderna vaccine. If ARR were calculated in the same population of these clinical trials, this reduction provided by the vaccines would be much less striking [47, 48]. The RRR has the disadvantages that it does not differentiate very large from very small risks or benefits and does not vary according to sample size (15). The calculation of the NNT allows to evaluate not only the magnitude of the effects but also the cost-benefit of the intervention (15).

In some cases where the risk is greater in the exposed group, some authors prefer to speak of relative risk increase (instead of RRR) and absolute risk increase (instead of ARR) [49]. A negative NNT indicates that the treatment has a harmful effect (the experimental treatment achieves less benefit than the control or standard), or that the adverse effects inherent to the treatment

are greater in the experimental group. The lower the NNT, the greater the magnitude of the treatment effect in question. The higher the NNH, the lower the risk of harm with the new treatment (15).

In summary, in a general practice setting in Toledo, Spain, we found a greater magnitude of hybrid immunity effect and lower risk of harm with hybrid immunity, since 2020-2022 to 2023 versus 2023 to 2024. Greatest benefit since 2023 to 2024 is in Socio-Health Care Workers and the least harm in the population >14 years. This data suggests that covid-19 vaccination should be maintained, at least in Socio-Health Care Workers. In any case figures since 2023 and 2024 may have a bias due to underreporting.

Study limitations and strengths

1. Absolute risk measures reflect baseline risk and more accurately indicate the magnitude of the treatment effect. However, because they vary according to the population's baseline risk, their generalizability is limited and the published NNT of a treatment in one population cannot be directly applied to another population with a different baseline risk.

2. The sample was small, so some data may cause misinterpretation.

3. Asymptomatic cases were missing because they did not attend GP consultation, as no surveillance or systematic screening was done.

4. There may be an underreporting of infections to GP of patients with a positive test at home.

5. The great accessibility of patients to the GP, and the fact of continuity of care that characterizes family medicine, have important epidemiological connotations, presenting a unique opportunity to study benefit-risk values of interventions.

Conclusion

In the context of this general practice setting in Toledo, Spain, there is a lower magnitude of beneficial effect and lower harm of hybrid immunity since 2023 to 2024 versus 2020-2022 to 2023. In any case, the greatest benefit in 2024 is in Socio-Health Care Workers and Complex families, and the least harm in the population >14 years old. Two future strategies are suggested; 1) prioritize vaccination in Socio-Health Care Workers, or 2) vaccinate the entire population >14 years old.

References

- 1. den Hartog G, Andeweg SP, Hoeve CE, et al. (2023) Assessment of hybrid population immunity to SARS-CoV-2 following breakthrough infections of distinct SARS-CoV-2 variants by the detection of antibodies to nucleoprotein. Sci Rep; 13: 18394.
- Goldberg Y, Mandel M, Bar-On YM, et al (2022) Protection and Waning of Natural and Hybrid Immunity to SARS-CoV-2. N Engl J Med; 386(23):2201-2212.
- 3. Jolobe OMP (2022) Protection Due to Previous SARS-CoV-2 Infection. N Engl J Med; 386(25): 2444.
- 4. Pérez-Cortés Villalobos A (2021) [A new disease that we must know: COVID-19 after being vaccinated].
- Feikin DR, Higdon MM, Abu-Raddad LJ, et al. (2022) Duration of effectiveness of vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 disease: results of a systematic review and metaregression. Lancet; 399(10328): 924-944.
- 6. Zoco M, Jetelina K (2022) [Epidemiology of reinfections]. Su Epidemiólogo Local; 08,
- Chen Y, Zhu W, ¿Han X, et al. (2024) How does the SARS-CoV-2 reinfection rate change over time? The global evidence from systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Infect Dis; 24(1): 339.
- 8. Blum D (2023) How Bad Is a Second (or Third or Fourth) Case of Covid? The New York Times; 17 ago.
- Medić S, Anastassopoulou C, Lozanov-Crvenković Z, et al. (2022) Risk and severity of SARS-CoV-2 reinfections during 2020–2022 in Vojvodina, Serbia: A population-level

Copy rights @ Jose Luis Turabian,

observational study. Lancet Regional Health – Europe; 20.

- 10. Akobeng AK (2008) Communicating the benefits and harms of treatments. Arch Dis Child; 93(8): 710-713.
- Fassler E, Larkin A, Rajasekharan Nayar K, et al. (2022) Using absolute risk reduction to guide the equitable distribution of COVID-19 vaccines. BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine; 27: 330-333.
- 12. Cohen C, Pulliam J (2023) COVID-19 infection, reinfection, and the transition to endemicity. Lancet; 401(10379): 798-800.
- Turabian JL (2025) Covid-19 reinfections clinicalepidemiological characteristics trend between 2020-2022, 2023 and 2024 in a general medicine clinic in Toledo (Spain). International Journal of Clinical Epidemiology; In Press.
- Turabian JL (2024) Covid-19 Reinfection Incidence Trend From 2020-2022, 2023 And 2024 In A General Medicine Clinic in Toledo (Spain). Journal of Public Health Research and Epidemiology; 1(2).
- 15. Garlos Manterola D (2011) How to asses and read a therapy article. Revista Médica Clínica Las Condes; 22(1): 118-126.
- 16. (2020). Evidence-based clinical decision support tools and calculators for medical professionals. Clinical Calculators.
- Slezak J, Bruxvoort K, Fischer H, Broder B, Ackerson B, Tartof S (2021) Rate and severity of suspected SARS-Cov-2 reinfection in a cohort of PCR-positive COVID-19 patients. Clin Microbiol Infect; 27(12):.7-10.
- Altarawneh HN, Chemaitelly H, Ayoub Hh, et al. (2022) Effects of Previous Infection and Vaccination on Symptomatic Omicron Infections. N Engl J Med; 387: 21-34.
- 19. Ayoub HH, Tomy M, Chemaitelly H, et al. (2023) Estimating protection afforded by prior infection in preventing reinfection: applying the test-negative study. Am J Epidemiol; kwad239.
- 20. Ministerio de Sanidad (2021) [COVID-19 early detection, surveillance and control strategy.
- 21. Mallapaty S (2022) COVID reinfections surge during Omicron onslaught. Immunity acquired through previous infection is less effective against Omicron than against other variants, but the risk of severe COVID-19 remains low.
- 22. Consejo Interterritorial de Salud (2022) [COMIRNATY BIVALENTE, Original/Omicron BA.4-5 (COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine, Pfizer-BioNTech) Technical Guide December 27, 2022].
- Consejo Interterritorial de Salud (2023) [BIVALENT SPIKEVAX, Original/Omicron BA.1 and Original/Omicron BA.4-5 (Modern COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine) Technical Guide January 23, 2023].
- Lin D-Y, Xu Y, Gu Y, et al. (2023) Effectiveness of Bivalent Boosters against Severe Omicron Infection. N Engl J Med 2023; 388: 764-766.
- 25. Consejo Interterritorial (2022) [Update of the vaccination recommendations against COVID-19 for autumn-winter in Spain Approved by the Public Health Commission on December 15, 2022. Prepared by the Report on the Vaccination Program and Registry]. Sistema Nacional de Salud. España.
- 26. Strauss AL (1984) Chronic illness and the quality of life. St Louis: The C.V. Mosby Company.
- 27. Royal Collage of General Practitioners (1986) The Classification and Analysis of General Practice Data.
- Mao S, Huang T, Yuan H, et al. (2020) Epidemiological analysis of 67 local COVID-19 clusters in Sichuan Province, China. BMC Public Health; 20: 1525.
- 29. Turabian JL (2017) Family Genogram in General Medicine: A Soft Technology that can be Strong. An Update. Res Med Eng Sci; 3(1).
- 30. Watts C, Shrader E (1998) How to do (or not to do) ... The genogram: a new research tool to document patterns of decisionmaking, conflict and vulnerability within households. Health Policy Plan; 13: 459-464.

- Diccionario panhispánico del español jurídico (2022) [Ethnic minority].
- 32. Naveed Siddiqui A, Musharaf I, Gulumbe BH (2025) The JN.1 variant of COVID-19: immune evasion, transmissibility, and implications for global health. **Ther Adv Infect Dis**; 12: 20499361251314763.
- Datadista (2022) Evaluation of Covid-19 vaccination in Spain].
 22 abr.
- 34. Vacuna Covid-19 (2021) [Covid-19 vaccination strategy in Spain]. Ministerio de sanidad.
- 35. Notas de Prensa (2022) [More than 60% of people over 80 years of age now have a second booster dose against COVID-19]. Ministerio de Sanidad. España; 11.11.
- GIV COVID-19 (2023) [COVID-19 Vaccination Executive Report]. Ministerio de Sanidad. Gobierno de España; 30 jun 2023.
- Noticias (2023) [60% of the population over 80 years old has already been vaccinated against Covid 19 in 2023]. Ministerio de Sanidad, Gobierno de España; 15 de diciembre.
- Turabian JL (2022) An ostrich strategy for covid-19 is too risky. BMJ; 377: o1112.
- Iwasaki A (2020) What reinfections mean for COVID-19. Lancet Infect Dis; 21(1): 3 - 5.
- Ju Zhang, Nan Ding, Lili Ren, et al. (2021) COVID-19 reinfection in the presence of neutralizing antibodies, Natl Sci Rev; 8(4): nwab006.

Copy rights @ Jose Luis Turabian,

- 41. Roa R (2022) [Covid: increased risk of complications with reinfections]. La biblioteca de Springfield: 29 de junio.
- 42. Wise J (2022) Covid-19: Omicron infection is poor booster to immunity, study finds. BMJ; 377: 01474.
- 43. Pulliam JRC, van Schalkwyk C, Govender N, et al. (2022) Increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection associated with emergence of Omicron in South Africa. Science; 376(6593): eabn4947.
- 44. Hui DS (2023) Hybrid immunity and strategies for COVID-19 vaccination. Lancet Infect Dis; 23(1): 2 3.
- Spinardi JR, Srivastava A (2023) Hybrid Immunity to SARS-CoV-2 from Infection and Vaccination-Evidence Synthesis and Implications for New COVID-19 Vaccines. Biomedicines; 11(2): 370.
- 46. Bobrovitz N, Ware H, Ma X, et al. (2023) Protective effectiveness of previous SARS-CoV-2 infection and hybrid immunity against the omicron variant and severe disease: a systematic review and meta-regression. Lancet Infect Dis; 23(5): 556-67.
- 47. AMERICAN PHARMACISTS ASSOCIATION (2024) [How to use numbers to communicate COVID-19 risk to patients]. Vaccine Confident Playbook.
- 48. Gómez Marcos J, Lasarte Sanz I (2021) [SARS-CoV-2 vaccines]. AMF; 17(2).
- 49. Molina Arias M (2012) [Calculating risk reduction and number needed to treat]. Rev Pediatr Aten Primaria; 14(56).

Commons Attribution 4.0 License

To Submit Your Article Click Here:

Submit Manuscript

DOI:10.31579/2693-4779/264

Ready to submit your research? Choose Auctores and benefit from:

- ➢ fast, convenient online submission
- > rigorous peer review by experienced research in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- > authors retain copyrights
- unique DOI for all articles
- immediate, unrestricted online access

At Auctores, research is always in progress.

Learn more https://auctoresonline.org/journals/clinical-research-and-clinical-trials