

Immunotherapy in the Scenario of Prostate Cancer an Update

Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo

Research and Training Center 'Physical and Chemical Materials Science' Under Kyiv Taras Shevchenko University and NAS of Ukraine, Kiev, Ukraine.

***Corresponding Author:** Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo. Research and Training Center 'Physical and Chemical Materials Science' Under Kyiv Taras Shevchenko University and NAS of Ukraine, Kiev, Ukraine.

Received Date: February 22, 2025; **Accepted Date:** March 03, 2025; **Published Date:** March 10, 2025

Citation: Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo, (2025), Immunotherapy in the Scenario of Prostate Cancer an Update, *J Cancer Research and Cellular Therapeutics*, 9(2); DOI:10.31579/2640-1053/229

Copyright: © 2025, Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Over the last few years, immunotherapy had become an important cancer treatment option, and even though the principles of immunotherapy had evolved over many decades, the FDA approvals of sipuleucel-T and ipilimumab had commenced a new wave in immuno-oncology. Despite the current enthusiasm, it is unlikely that any of the immunotherapy treatments alone could dramatically change the outcomes of prostate cancer; nevertheless, combination therapeutic strategies had been more promising and they do provide a reason for optimism. Many completed and ongoing studies have demonstrated that the combination of cancer vaccines or checkpoint inhibitors with different immunotherapy agents, hormonal therapy (enzalutamide), radiotherapy (radium 223), DNA-damaging agents (olaparib), or chemotherapy (docetaxel) could enhance immune responses and induce more dramatic, long-lasting clinical responses without significant toxicity. The goal of prostate cancer immunotherapy does not have to be complete eradication of advanced disease, but instead the return to an immunological equilibrium with an indolent disease state. Further to determining the optimal combination of therapy regimens, efforts are also being made to ascertain to discover biomarkers of immune response. With such concerted efforts, it is expected that the future of immunotherapy in prostate cancer would be brighter in the future than earlier.

Keywords: prostate cancer; immunotherapy; sipuleucil-t; ipilimad; combination treatment; immune profile; promising

Introduction

Immunotherapy encompasses a wide variety of treatments to engage the immune system to target malignancies. Over recent years, immunotherapy has made a major impact upon therapy of metastatic cancer and has changed the standard of care for many types of neoplasms. Nevertheless, predicting and understanding of responses across tumour types has been a challenge. While some metastatic cancers have demonstrated dramatic responses to immunotherapy, such as melanoma, lung cancer, and renal cell carcinoma, prostate cancer in Prostate Cancer. [1] Nevertheless, small series of prostate cancer patients have demonstrated impressive responses to cellular and immunotherapy. [1,2] Maselli et al. [2] made the ensuing iterations:

- Prostate cancer (PC) is the most common type of malignant neoplasm in men.
- In the early stage of the PC disease, it is sensitive to androgen deprivation therapy.
- In patients with metastatic castration-sensitive prostate cancer (mHSPC), chemotherapy and second-generation androgen receptor therapy had led to increased survival.
- Nevertheless, despite advances in the management of mHSPC, castration resistance is unavoidable and many patients do develop metastatic castration-resistant disease (mCRPC).

- Over the recent past few decades, immunotherapy had dramatically changed the oncology landscape and had increased the survival rate of many types of cancer. Nevertheless, immunotherapy in prostate cancer had not yet given the revolutionary results it had in other types of tumours.
- Research into new treatments is very important for patients with mCRPC because of its poor prognosis.

The ensuing article contains an update on immunotherapy in malignant neoplasm of the prostate gland including adenocarcinoma of the prostate gland and other cell types of prostate cancer.

Aim

To provide an update on immunotherapy of prostate cancer.

Methods

Internet databases were searched including Google, Google Scholar, Yahoo, and PUBMED. The search words that were used included: Immunotherapy of prostate cancer; immunotherapy of carcinoma of the prostate gland, immunotherapy of malignant neoplasm of the prostate gland; immunotherapy of prostatic malignant tumour; and immunotherapy of prostatic cancer. One hundred and ten (110) references

were identified which were used to write the article which has been divided into two parts: (A) Overview, and (B) Miscellaneous narrations and discussions from some case reports, case series, and studies related to immunotherapy of prostate cancer.

Results

[A] Overview

Definition / General Statements

- Immunotherapy or biological therapy is the terminology which is used for the treatment of disease by the activation of or suppression of the immune system. [3].
- It has been iterated that immunotherapy is designed to elicit or amplify an immune response which are classified as activation immunotherapies, while immunotherapies which reduce or suppress are classified as suppression immunotherapies. [3]
- It had been pointed out that immunotherapy is under preliminary research for its potential to treat various forms of malignant neoplasms. [3,4,5,6,7]
- Cell-based immunotherapies had been documented to be effective for some cancers. [8,9]
- Immune effector cells such as lymphocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, natural killer cells, and cytotoxic lymphocyte

had been iterated to work together to defend the body against cancer by targeting abnormal antigens which are expressed upon the surface of tumour cells. [3]

- Vaccine-induced immunity to COVID-19 is stated to rely mostly upon an immunomodulatory T-cell response.[3,10]
- Treatments including granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF), interferons, imiquimod and cellular membrane fractions from bacteria had been documented to be licensed for medical use. [3]
- Others including IL-2, IL-7, IL-12, various chemokines, synthetic cytosine phosphate-guanosine (CpG) oligodeoxynucleotides and glucans had been iterated to be involved in clinical and preclinical studies. [3]

Immunomodulators

- It has been iterated that the terminology immunomodulators refers to the active agents of immunotherapy. [3]
- It has been pointed out that immunomodulators are a diverse array of recombinant, synthetic, and natural preparations. [3,11]

The ensuing summations had been made regarding the class of immunomodulators and their examples: [3]

Class	Example agents
Interleukin	IL-2, IL-7, IL-12.
Cytokines	Interferons, G-CSF.
Chemokines	CCL3, CCL26, CXCL7
Immunomodulatory imide drugs (ImiDs)	Thalidomide and its analogues (lenalidomide, pomalidomide, and apremilast), BCG vaccine, [12,13] as well as Covid vaccines [3,12,14,15]
Others	Cytosine phosphate-guanosine, oligodeoxynucleotides, glucans

Activation immunotherapies – also exist for utilization.

History of Cancer Immunotherapy

- It had been pointed out that previously the treatment of cancer had been focused upon the killing or removal of cancer cells and tumours, by the undertaking of chemotherapy or surgery or radiotherapy. [3]
- In 2018 the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine was awarded to James P Allison and Tasuku Honjo "for their discovery of cancer treatment by inhibition of negative immune regulation." [3]
- Cancer immunotherapy does attempt to stimulate the immune system in order to destroy tumours. [3]
- Various types of immunotherapy strategies are being utilised or are undergoing research and testing. [3]
- Randomized controlled studies in different cancers emanating in significant increase in survival and disease free period had been documented [3,5] and its efficacy has been enhanced by 20% to 30% when cell-based immunotherapy is combined with conventional treatment methods. [3,5]
- It has been pointed out that one of the oldest forms of cancer immunotherapy is utilization of Bacillus Calmette Guerin (BCG) vaccine, which was originally used to vaccinate against tuberculosis and subsequently was found to be useful in the treatment of urinary bladder cancer. [3,16]

- BCG immunotherapy does induce both local and systemic immune responses.
- The mechanisms by which BCG immunotherapy mediates tumour immunity had been widely studied, but they had still not been completely understood.[3,17]
- It had been pointed out that utilization of monoclonal antibodies in cancer therapy was first introduced in 1997 with rituximab which is an anti-CD20 antibody for treatment of B cell lymphoma. [3,18] Pursuant to that many monoclonal antibodies had been approved for treatment of a variety of haematology malignancies as well as for solid tumours. [3,19,20]
- Some types of immunotherapies entail the extraction of G-CSF lymphocytes from the blood and expanding in vitro against a tumour antigen preceding reinjecting of the cells with appropriate stimulatory cytokines. The cells then destroy the tumour cells that express the antigen. [3,21]
- Topical immunotherapy utilises an immune enhancement cream (imiquimod) which produces interferon that causes the recipient's killer T cells to destroy warts, [3,22] actinic keratoses, basal cell cancer, vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia, [3,23] squamous cell cancer, [3,24,25] cutaneous lymphoma, [3,26] and superficial malignant melanoma. [3,27] Injection immunotherapy ("intra-lesional" or "intra-tumoral") uses

mumps, candida, the HPV vaccine [3,28,29] or trichophytin antigen injections to treat warts (HPV induced tumours).

- It had been iterated that adoptive cell transfer had been tested on the lung [3,30] and other cancers, with greatest success achieved in melanoma.

Dendritic cell-based pump-priming or vaccination

- It has been iterated that dendritic cells (DCs) could be stimulated to activate a cytotoxic response towards an antigen. [3]
- Dendritic cells, which are a type of antigen-presenting cells, are harvested from the person needing the immunotherapy. These cells are then either pulsed with an antigen or tumour lysate or they are transfected with a viral vector, which causes them to display the antigen. Upon transfusion into the person, these activated cells present the antigen to the effector lymphocytes (CD4+ helper T cells, cytotoxic CD8+ T cells as well as B cells). This initiates a cytotoxic response against tumour cells that express the antigen (against which the adaptive response has now been primed). The first FDA-approved cell-based immunotherapy, [3,31] the cancer vaccine Sipuleucel-T is stated to be one example of this approach. [3,32]
- The Immune Response Corporation [3,33] (IRC) developed this immunotherapy and licensed the technology to Dendreon, which obtained FDA clearance.

The current approaches for DC-based vaccination have been mainly based upon antigen loading on in vitro-generated DCs from **monocytes** or **CD34+** cells, then activating them with different **TLR** ligands, **cytokine** combinations, and then injecting them back to the patients. The in vivo targeting approaches do comprise of administering specific cytokines (for example, **Flt3L**, **GM-CSF**) and THEN targeting the DCs with antibodies to C-type lectin receptors or agonistic antibodies (for example, anti-CD40) which are then conjugated with antigen of interest. Multiple, next-generation anti-CD40 platforms are being actively developed. [3,34] Future approach might target DC subsets based upon their specifically expressed **C-type lectin receptors** or **chemokine-receptors**. Another potential approach is stated to be the generation of genetically engineered DCs from induced pluripotent stem cells and utilisation of **neo-antigen**-loaded DCs for the induction of better clinical outcome. [3,35]

T-cell adoptive transfer

- It has been iterated that Adoptive cell transfer in vitro does cultivate autologous, extracted T cells for later transfusion. [3,36]
- It had also been stated that alternatively, **Genetically-engineered T cells** are created by harvesting T cells and then infecting the T cells with a **retrovirus** which contains a copy of a **T cell receptor** (TCR) gene which is specialised to recognise tumour antigens. [3] The virus integrates the receptor into the T cells' **genome**. [3] The cells are then expanded non-specifically and/or stimulated. The cells are then reinfused and produce an immune response against the tumour cells. [3,37] The technique has been tested on refractory stage IV metastatic melanomas. [3,36] and advanced **skin (cutaneous) cancer**. [3,38,39,40] The first FDA-approved CAR-T drug, Kymriah, used this approach. It has been stated that in order to obtain the clinical and commercial supply of this CAR-T, Novartis had purchased the manufacturing plant, the distribution system and hired the production team that produced Sipuleucel-T developed by Dendreon and the Immune Response Corporation. [3,41]

- It had been pointed out that whether T cells are genetically engineered or not, before re-infusion, lympho-depletion of the recipient is required to eliminate regulatory T cells as well as unmodified, endogenous lymphocytes which compete with the transferred cells for homeostatic cytokines. [3,36,42-44] It had also been stated that lymphodepletion might be achieved by **myeloablative** chemotherapy, to which total body radiotherapy might be added for greater effect. [3,45] Transferred cells that are multiplied in vivo and had persisted within peripheral blood in many people, sometimes representing levels of 75% of all CD8+ T cells at 6 months to 12 months after infusion. [3,46] It was stated that as of 2012, clinical trials for metastatic melanoma were ongoing at many sites. [3,47] Clinical responses to adoptive transfer of T cells were observed in patients with metastatic melanoma resistant to multiple immunotherapies. [3,48]

Checkpoint inhibitors

- It has been pointed out that **Anti-PD-1 / PD-L1** and anti-CTLA-4 antibodies are the two types of checkpoint inhibitors which had been currently available to patients.
- It has been iterated that the approval of anti-cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4) as well as anti-programmed cell death protein 1 (PD1) antibodies for human use had already resulted in significant improvements in disease outcomes for various cancers. [3,49]
- It has been documented that even though these molecules were originally discovered as molecules playing a role in **T cell activation** or **apoptosis**, subsequent preclinical research had demonstrated their important role in the maintenance of peripheral immune tolerance. [3,50]
- It has been pointed out that immune checkpoint inhibitors had been approved to treat some patients with a variety of cancer types, including: **melanoma**, breast cancer, urinary bladder cancer, cervical cancer, colon cancer, lung cancer, head and neck cancer, or Hodgkin lymphoma. [3,51,52]
- It had also been stated that these treatments had revolutionized **cancer immunotherapy** as they had been shown for the first time in many years of research in metastatic **melanoma**, which is regarded as one of the most **immunogenic** human cancers, an improvement in overall survival, with an increasing group of patients benefiting long-term from these treatments, although caution remains needed for specific subgroups. [3,50,53,54]
- It had been pointed out that the next generation of checkpoint inhibitors targets other receptors such as lymphocyte-activation gene 3 (**LAG-3**), T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3 (**TIM3**), and T cell immunoreceptor with Ig and ITIM domains (**TIGIT**). It had also been documented that: antibodies against these receptors had been evaluated in clinical studies, but had not yet been approved for widespread utilisation. [3,55]

Immune enhancement therapy

- It had been explained that **autologous immune enhancement treatments** use a person's own peripheral blood-derived **natural killer cells**, cytotoxic T lymphocytes, epithelial cells and other relevant immune cells are expanded in vitro and then re-infused. [3,56] It has also been pointed out that the treatment had been tested against **hepatitis C**, [3,57,58,59] **chronic fatigue syndrome**, [3,60,61] and **HHV6** infection. [3,62]

Suppression immunotherapies

It had been iterated that **immune suppression** does dampen an **abnormal immune response** in **autoimmune diseases**, or reduces a **normal immune response** to prevent **rejection** of **transplanted** organs or cells. [3]

Immunosuppressive drugs

- It has been pointed out that immunosuppressive drugs can be utilised to control the immune system with organ transplantation and with autoimmune disease. Immune responses depend on lymphocyte proliferation.
- Lymphocyte proliferation is stated to be the multiplication of lymphocyte cells that are used to fight and remember foreign invaders. [3,63]
- Cytostatic medicaments are a type of immunosuppressive drug which aids in slowing down the growth of rapidly dividing cells.
- Another example of an immunosuppressive medicament is stated to be Glucocorticoids that are more specific inhibitors of lymphocyte activation. [3] Glucocorticoids are stated to work by emulating actions of natural actions of the body's adrenal glands in order to help suppress the immune system, which is helpful with autoimmune diseases, [3,64]
- Alternatively, inhibitors of immunophilins more specifically target T lymphocyte activation, the process by which T-lymphocytes stimulate and commence to respond to a specific antigen, [3,65]
- There are also immunosuppressive antibodies that target steps in the immune response so as to prevent the body from attacking its tissues, which is a problem with autoimmune diseases, [3,66]
- There are many other medicaments that modulate immune responses and could be utilised to induce immune regulation. It was ascertained in a pre-clinical trial that regulation of the

immune system by small immunosuppressive molecules such as vitamin D, dexamethasone, and curcumin could be helpful in the prevention of or treatment of chronic inflation. Given that the molecules are administered under a low-dose regimen and subcutaneously. A study had been stated to provide a promising preclinical demonstration of the effectiveness and ease of preparation of Valrubicin-loaded immunoliposomes (Val-ILs) as a novel nanoparticle technology to target immunosuppressive cells. Val-ILs do have the potential to be used as a precise and effective treatment based upon targeted vesicle-mediated cell death of immunosuppressive cells. [3,67]

Immune tolerance

- It had been pointed out that the body naturally does not launch an immune system attack on its own tissues.
- Models generally identify **CD4+ T-cells** at the centre of the **autoimmune response**. [3] Loss of T-cell tolerance then unleashes B-cells and other immune effector cells on to the target tissue. [3] The ideal **tolerogenic therapy or treatment** would target the specific T-cell clones co-ordinating the autoimmune attack. [3,68]
- It had been stated that **immune tolerance therapies or treatments** are stated to seek to reset the immune system so that the body stops mistakenly attacking its own organs or cells in **autoimmune disease** or accepts foreign tissue in **organ transplantation**. [3,69] A recent therapeutic or treatment approach is stated to be the infusion of **regulatory immune cells** into transplant recipients [3]. The transfer of regulatory immune cells is stated to have the potential to inhibit the activity of effector. [3,70,71]
- Creating immune tolerance is stated to reduce or eliminate the need for lifelong immunosuppression and attendant side effects. [3] It had been tested upon transplantations, **rheumatoid arthritis, type 1 diabetes mellitus** and other **autoimmune disorders**. [3]

Approaches to therapeutic tolerance induction [3,68,72,73]			
	Modality	Details	
Non-antigen specific	Monoclonal Antibodies	Depleting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anti-CD52 • Anti-CD4 • Anti-LFA-2 	Non-depleting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anti-CD4 • Anti-CD3 • Anti-LFA-1 • CTLA4-Ig • Anti-CD25
•	Haematopoietic stem cell transplantation	Non-myeloablative	Myeloablative
	Mesenchymal stem cell transplantation		
	Regulatory T cell therapy	Non-antigen specific	Antigen-specific
	Low dose IL-2 to expand regulatory T cells		
	Microbiome manipulation		
Antigen specific	Peptide therapy	Subcutaneous, intradermal, transmucosal (oral, inhaled) Tolerogenic dendritic cells, liposomes and nanoparticles	
	Altered peptide ligands		

Allergen immunotherapy

- It has been stated that immunotherapy could also be utilised to treat **allergies**. [3]
- While allergy treatments (such as **antihistamines** or **corticosteroids**) are used treat allergic symptoms, it has been pointed out that immunotherapy could reduce sensitivity to **allergens**, which lessens its severity. [3]

- It has been pointed out that allergen immunotherapy could also be referred to as allergen desensitization or hypo-sensitization. [3,74]
- It had also been stated that immunotherapy might produce long-term benefits. [3,75]
- Immunotherapy is stated to be partly effective in some people and ineffective in other people, but immunotherapy offers people with allergies a chance to reduce or stop their symptoms.[3]
- It has been documented that subcutaneous allergen immunotherapy was first introduced in 1911 through the postulate that people with hay fever were sensitive to pollen from grass. A process was developed to create an extract by drawing out timothy pollen in distilled water and then boiling it. This was injected into patients in increasing doses to help alleviate symptoms. [3,76]
- Allergen Immunotherapy is stated to be indicated for people who are extremely allergic or who cannot avoid specific **allergens** and when there is evidence of an IgE-mediated reaction which correlates with allergen symptoms. [3] These IgE-mediated reactions could be identified through a blood IgE test or skin testing. [3]
- It had been pointed out that if a specific IgE antibody is negative, there is no evidence that allergen immunotherapy would be effective for that patient. Nevertheless, there are risks associated with allergen immunotherapy as it is the administration of an agent the patient is known to be highly allergic to. It has been pointed out that patients are at increased risk of fatal anaphylaxis, local reaction at the site of injection, or life-threatening systemic allergic reactions. [3,74]
- A promising approach to the treatment of food allergies is stated to be the use of **oral immunotherapy** (OIT). OIT is stated to consist of a gradual exposure to increasing amounts of allergen which could lead to the majority of subjects tolerating doses of food sufficient to prevent reaction on accidental exposure. [3,77] Dosages of **oral immunotherapies** increase over time, as the person becomes desensitized. This technique has been tested on infants to prevent peanut allergies. [3,78]

Helminthic therapies

- It had been pointed out that **Whipworm ova** (*Trichuris suis*) and **hookworm** (*Necator americanus*) had been tested for immunological diseases and allergies, and had proved beneficial on multiple fronts, yet it is not entirely understood. [3]
- It had also been stated that scientists had found that the immune response that is triggered by the burrowing of hookworm larvae to pass through the lungs and blood so the production of mast cells and specific antibodies are now present. They also reduce inflammation or responses ties to autoimmune diseases, but despite this, the hookworm's effects are considered to be negative typically. [3,79]
- It had been stated that helminthic treatment had been investigated as a treatment for relapsing remitting **multiple sclerosis**, [3,80] Crohn's disease, [3,81,82,83] allergies and asthma. [3,84]
- It had also been stated that whilst there is much to be learned about this, many researchers had the opinion that the change in the immune response is thanks to the parasites shifting to a more anti-inflammatory or regulatory system, that would in turn decrease inflammation and self-inflicted immune damage as

seen in Crohn's and multiple sclerosis. [3] It had also been documented that specifically: MS patients saw lower relapse rates and calmer symptoms in some cases when experimenting with helminthic therapy. [3,85] Hypothesized mechanisms had been documented to include: re-polarisation of the T_H1 / T_H2 response [3,86] and modulation of dendritic cell function.[3,87,88] The helminths downregulate the pro-inflammatory T_H1 cytokines, **interleukin-12** (IL-12), **interferon-gamma** (IFN- γ) and **tumour necrosis factor-alpha** (TNF- α), while promoting the production of regulatory T_H2 cytokines such as **IL-10**, **IL-4**, **IL-5** and **IL-13**. [3,86,89]

- It had been iterated that co-evolution with helminths had shaped some of the genes that are associated with **interleukin** expression and immunological disorders, such **Crohn's disease**, **ulcerative colitis** and **celiac disease**. [3] It has been advised that: Helminths' relationship to humans as hosts should be classified as mutualistic or **symbiotic**. [3,90] It had been explained that in some ways, the relationship is symbiotic because the worms themselves need the host (humans) for survival, because this body supplies them with nutrients and a home. [3] It had also been pointed out that from another perspective, it could be reasoned that it is mutualistic, being that the above information about benefits in autoimmune disorders continues to remain true and supported. [3] Also, some authors had said that the worms could regulate gut bacteria. [3,91] Another possibility is stated to be one of this being a parasitic relationship, arguing that the possible risks of anaemia and other disorders outweighs the benefits, yet this is significantly less supported, with the research alluding to the mutualistic and symbiotic approach being much more likely. [3]

Other reported studies related to immunotherapy can be found in: [91-98].

[B] Miscellaneous Narrations And Discussions From Some Case Reports, Case Series, And Studies Related To Immunotherapy Of Prostate Cancer.

Chen et al. [99] made the ensuing iterations:

- Incidences of rectal infiltration by prostate cancer (PCa) are reported to afflict up to 12% of patients studied.
- PCa invading the rectum is prone to cause difficulty in defecation, bloody stool and pain, emanating in a decline in patients' quality of life.
- Unfortunately, the prognosis for these patients has tended to be poor and the survival period is short.
- Total pelvic exenteration (TPE) has been shown to mitigate pain and improve symptoms such as defecation difficulty, dysuria, and haematuria. Nevertheless, majority of patients still harbour residual tumour and fail to exhibit any improvement in long-term survival.

Chen et al. [99] reported a case of PCa invading the rectum with focal neuroendocrine differentiation, which was characterized by clinical presentations of defecation difficulties and rectal bleeding. A TPE procedure was undertaken, with a whole exome sequencing (WES) assay indicating that the patient had exhibited a high tumour mutation burden (TMB) and high microsatellite instability (MSI-H). Subsequently, the patient received androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) which was combined with adjuvant immunotherapy following the procedure. At his subsequent six-year follow-up, no local or systemic recurrence was observed, and his serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) level had remained undetectable. Chen et al. [99] made the ensuing conclusions:

- This disease entity remains relatively rare in the prognosis.

- It is of utmost importance to establish an accurate differential diagnosis, which necessitates the collaboration of multiple disciplinary teams and the performance of requisite tests, including immunohistochemistry staining studies and genetic testing.

Shi et al. [100] made the ensuing iterations:

- Cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) immunotherapy is an autologous cellular immune therapy which had been approved for treating patients with malignant tumours.
- Nevertheless, there is still limited information regarding the impact of CTL on metastatic prostate cancer (PC) patients with bone metastatic lesions.

Shi et al. [100] reported an 82-year-old male patient, who had manifested with interrupted micturition, dysuria, and significant dysuria on November 24, 2014. He underwent trans-urethral resection of the prostate (TURP) and postoperative pathological examination of the prostatic chips showed prostatic adenocarcinoma, and he underwent a SPECT/CT scan which demonstrated multiple bone metastases. In addition, his serum prostate specific antigen (PSA) and free PSA (FPSA) levels were 54.54 µg/mL and 2.63 µg/mL, respectively, at the beginning of his treatment. His main diagnosis was adenocarcinoma of prostate gland and multiple bone metastases. He received 30 cycles of alloreactive CTL (ACTL) immunotherapy regularly. Over the course of his 2-year treatment, he exhibited diminished bone metastasis which was accompanied by a marked reduction of serum PSA and FPSA from 54.54 and 2.63 µg/ml to 0.003 and <0.006 µg/ml, respectively. Shi et al. [] concluded that:

- Their clinical observations had demonstrated that CTL immunotherapy is a viable treatment option for PC patients, particularly those with bone metastatic lesions and high serum levels of PSA and FPSA.

Idossa et al. [101] made the ensuing iterations:

- High-grade treatment-emergent neuroendocrine prostate cancer (T-NEPC) is a rare sub-type of prostate cancer which has limited therapeutic options and which is associated with poor prognosis.
- Understanding biomarkers that influence the efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors (IO) is vital to form a better therapeutic arsenal for these patients.

Idossa et al. [101] reported an impressive response to IO combination immunotherapy with ipilimumab plus nivolumab (Ipi/nivo) in a patient who had T-NEPC who had failed standard treatment approaches. The patient was manifesting signs of a rapid decline in quality of life despite his serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels remaining undetectable and he had no previous response to standard therapies. The results of the next-generation sequencing DNA analysis demonstrated the presence of intermediary tumour burden, an ATM mutation and a rare SF3B1 (G742D) mutation, and had served as rational for IO therapy in the patient. Idossa et al. [101] concluded that:

- Their reported case had highlighted the genetic profile of tumour with a rare combination of ATM and SF3B1 mutations that could be further explored as biomarkers for IO therapy in T-NEPC and other tumour types.

Sharan et al. [102] made the ensuing iterations:

- Prostate cancer along with colorectal and lung cancers accounts for 42% of cancer cases in men globally.
- It is the first cancer indication for which utilization of active immunotherapy, Sipuleucel-T (Provenge) was granted by the FDA in 2010.

- They had presented a case of prostate carcinoma and the tumour remission which was observed after administration of a personalised Dendritic cell vaccine (APCEDEN).

Sharan et al. [102] reported a 58 years old Caucasian male, who was diagnosed with prostate carcinoma with GLEASON score 8. The patient had previously been diagnosed with Renal Cell Carcinoma (RCC) in 1996 and he had undergone nephrectomy of the right kidney. He had PET CT scan, which demonstrated multiple intensely PSMA avid lesions which were identified in both lobes of the prostate gland with SUVmax -28.3 and the prostate gland measuring 3.2 × 3.2 cm displaying maximum dimensions. Pathology examination of his prostate biopsy specimens which were obtained by FNAC followed by PETCT confirmed CA Prostate and the diagnosis was further supported by his increased serum PSA level. He underwent personalised Dendritic Cell Immunotherapy APCEDEN regimen of six doses biweekly, in a time frame of 3 months were given both via intravenous and intradermal route. Six months pursuant to completion of APCEDEN, the patient was administered 6 booster shots for 6 months. Progressive remission of carcinoma was observed together with reduction in his PSA and Testosterone levels. He had PET CT scan which demonstrated decline in PSMA avidity by 50% with SUVmax -14.0 and normal size and shape of prostate gland. Sharan et al. [102] made the ensuing discussions, declaration of lessons to learn and conclusions:

- Carcinoma of the prostate gland is the second most common cancer in men with majority of them exhibiting locally advanced disease.
- Apparently 20% to 30% of them are categorized as relapsed cases after various treatment interventions.
- Modulating immune system is an emerging treatment which is termed as Immunotherapy and potentiates the killing cancer cells via immune activation.
- Interestingly, prostate cancer is slow growing and it does provide the scope and time to mount an anti-tumour response that makes it an attractive target for immunotherapy.
- Their reported case had demonstrated the efficacy of APCEDEN Immunotherapy regimen resulting in a significant disease remission benefiting the patient

Reed-Perino et al. [103] made the ensuing iterations:

- While checkpoint inhibitor therapy had revolutionized the therapy landscape of some solid tumours, it has demonstrated limited efficacy in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancers (mCRPC).
- A small (about 3% to 5%) but clinically distinct subset of mCRPC tumours have a DNA mismatch repair deficiency (dMMR) and develop a hypermutation phenotype with elevated tumour mutational burden and high microsatellite instability (MSI-H).
- Retrospective analyses had demonstrated dMMR/MSI-H status to be a predictive biomarker for response to pembrolizumab in prostate tumours.

Reed-Perino et al. [103] reported a case of a patient with mCRPC harbouring a somatic dMMR who had progressed on pembrolizumab after an initial response. He enrolled on a clinical trial with JNJ-081, a prostate-specific membrane antigen-CD3 bispecific T-cell engager antibody and had experienced a partial response with the course complicated by cytokine release syndrome. On progression, he was re-initiated on pembrolizumab and he experienced an exceptional second response, with his prostate-specific antigen falling from a high level of 20.01 to undetectable level after 6 weeks and his serum PSA LEVEL HAD

remained undetectable for more than (>)11 months. Reed-Perino et al. [103] concluded that:

- To their knowledge, their reported case represented the first reported case of bispecific T-cell engager-mediated re-sensitization to checkpoint inhibitor therapy in any cancer.

Cabel et al. [104] made the ensuing iterations:

- Prostate cancer is one of the most common cancers in men and the fourth leading cause of cancer mortality in the world.
- Even though major progress had been achieved over the last years for patients with metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC), thanks to next-generation androgen receptor axis targeted drugs, taxanes, and bone-targeted agents, immunotherapy had not been widely approved and utilised for the treatment of prostate cancer.
- Two large studies with ipilimumab, an anti-CTLA-4 (cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4) antibody had reported improved progression-free survival, but not statistically improved overall survival at the primary analysis (CA184 043 and CA184 095).

Cabel et al. [104] reported on two patients who had received ipilimumab in these trials and who were still in long-term complete remission with a follow-up of 64 months and 52 months respectively after the commencement of ipilimumab. Immunohistochemical staining for hMLH1, hMSH2, hMSH6 and PMS2 was undertaken on archival prostate biopsy samples from one of the two patients; they exhibited normal protein expression. Interestingly for this patient, a high CD3+ and CD8+ T cell infiltration was identified on archival prostate biopsies as well as Treg FoxP3+ T cells. Cabel et al. [104] concluded that:

- Ipilimumab produces clinical activity in patients with CRPC, including very long responders with no detectable residual disease.

Ashraf et al. [105] made the ensuing iterations:

- Prostate cancer (PCa) is the second leading cause of cancer-causing death in the United States of America (USA).
- As the most common malignancy in men, it is pertinent to explore whether novel immunotherapies might improve the quality of life and overall survival (OS) of patient populations.
- They had undertaken a systematic review and post hoc analysis curates of a patient-by-patient pool of evidence adhering to PRISMA Statement 2020 guidelines.

Ashraf et al. [105] reported their findings as follows:

- In total, 24 patients were analysed for treatment history and associated variables including serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels at the time of diagnosis and post-treatment, Gleason score, secondary tumour locations, success/failure of therapy, and post-immunotherapy outcomes including OS.
- In total, 10 types of immunotherapies were identified with Pembrolizumab (among 8 patients) followed by IMM-101 (among 6 patients) being the most commonly administered.
- The mean overall survival (OS) for all patients was 27.8 months (24 patients) with the relatively highest mean OS reported with IMM-101 (56 months) followed by tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes (30 months).

Ashraf et al. [105] concluded that:

Their research article had provided critical insights into the evolving landscape of immunotherapies being tested for PCa and had addressed gaps in oncological research to advance the understanding of PCa.

Schirmmacher et al. [106] reported the case of a patient with hormone-refractory metastatic prostate cancer who had failed standard treatment, but then achieved complete remission ensuing combined therapy with local hyperthermia (LHT), Newcastle disease virus and dendritic cell (DC) vaccination, which was an unusual combination. In August 2005, the patient had undergone a radical prostatectomy. Despite standard treatment, the patient subsequently developed progressive bone metastases and had stopped conventional therapy in June 2007. Commencing in October 2007, he was treated with LHT, oncolytic virotherapy and DC vaccination. His serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA)-levels, with the highest level of 233.8 ng/ml in January 2008, had decreased to 0.8 ng/ml in late February 2008. In March 2008, a reduction in his bone metastases could be identified by positron emission tomography/computed tomography. Since then, his PSA levels had remained low and the patient was doing well. The treatment had induced a long-lasting antitumor memory T-cell response. This possibly had explained the long-term effectiveness of this novel experimental combined treatment approach.

Ferreira Bruzzi Porto et al. [107] made the ensuing iterations:

- High-grade treatment-emergent neuroendocrine prostate cancer (T-NEPC) is a rare subtype of prostate cancer with limited therapeutic options and poor prognosis.
- Understanding of biomarkers which influence the efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors (IO) is vital to form a better therapeutic arsenal for these patients.

Ferreira Bruzzi Porto et al. [107] described an impressive response to IO combination immunotherapy with ipilimumab plus nivolumab (Ipi/nivo) in a patient who had T-NEPC and who had failed standard treatment approaches. The patient was demonstrating signs of a rapid decline in quality of life despite his serum prostate-specific antigen levels remaining undetectable and he had no previous response to standard therapies. The results of his next-generation sequencing DNA analysis had demonstrated the presence of intermediary tumour burden, an ATM mutation and a rare SF3B1 (G742D) mutation, and served as rational for IO therapy in this patient. Ferreira Bruzzi Porto et al. [107] concluded that:

- Their reported case had highlighted the genetic profile of tumour with a rare combination of ATM and SF3B1 mutations that could be further explored as biomarkers for IO therapy in T-NEPC and other tumour types.

Zhang et al. [108] made the ensuing iteration:

- Epithelioid hemangioendothelioma is a rare vascular malignancy, and currently, there is no standard treatment regimen for this disease and existing treatment options do have limited efficacy.

Zhang et al. [108] reported a patient with lung and lymph node metastases from prostate epithelioid hemangioendothelioma who had achieved a significant partial response. This was accomplished via alternating nivolumab therapy with ipilimumab and liposomal doxorubicin, resulting in a progression-free-survival more than 6 months up to the time of the report of his case. The treatment was well-tolerated throughout. Zhang et al. [108] made the ensuing conclusions:

- Their report had suggested that dual immunotherapy alternating with anti-PD-1 antibody plus doxorubicin might be a potential treatment modality for epithelioid hemangioendothelioma.
- Nevertheless, larger sample studies are necessary to ascertain the effectiveness of this treatment strategy and it is pivotal to continue monitoring this patient in order to sustain progression-free survival and overall survival.

Fei et al. [109] made the ensuing iterations:

- Primary small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma of the prostate is very rare, highly aggressive, and has a very poor prognosis, with an overall survival typically not exceeding one year.
- Standard treatment is generally based upon the regimen for small cell lung cancer (SCLC), with guidelines recommending etoposide combined with cisplatin (EP regimen) as the first-line treatment.
- Nevertheless, their therapeutic effects are limited.
- For primary small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma of the prostate gland which has failed the EP regimen treatment, there is currently a lack of relevant treatment methods.

Fei et al. [109] reported a case of small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma of the prostate gland with multiple metastases, whose disease had rapidly progressed despite receiving EP and second-line systemic chemotherapy. The patient was then administered a combination of anlotinib and tislelizumab. Following this treatment, the patient's symptoms were controlled, his tumour marker levels had decreased, and his radiology-imaging showed significant improvement. The patient had a progression-free survival time of more than 22 months and he had continued to receive treatment. Fei et al. [109] concluded that:

- Their reported case had presented the first report of utilisation of anlotinib combined with tislelizumab for the treatment of primary small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma of the prostate, providing a new therapeutic option for patients with this disease.

Rehman et al. [110] made the ensuing iterations:

- Prostate cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer in men globally, making up 21% of all cancer cases.
- With 345,000 deaths per year owing to the disease, there is an urgent need to optimize prostate cancer care.

Rehman et al. [110] undertook a systematic review which collated and synthesized findings of completed Phase III clinical trials administering immunotherapy; a current clinical trial index (2022) of all ongoing Phase I–III clinical trial records that were also formulated. Rehman et al. [110] summarized their discussions as follows:

- A total of four Phase III clinical trials with 3588 participants were included administering DCVAC, ipilimumab, personalized peptide vaccine, and the PROSTVAC vaccine.
- In this original research article, promising results were seen for ipilimumab intervention, with improved overall survival trends.
- A total of 68 ongoing trial records pooling in 7923 participants were included, spanning completion until June 2028.
- Immunotherapy is an emerging option for patients with prostate cancer, with immune checkpoint inhibitors and adjuvant therapies forming a large part of the emerging landscape.
- With a variety of ongoing trials, the characteristics and premises of the prospective findings would be key in improving outcomes in the future.

Conclusions

- The immune microenvironment of prostate cancer and the various strategies are currently being developed to promote immunotherapy of prostate cancer.
- By means of immune checkpoint blockade, induction of tumour cell ICD, reversal of the immunosuppressive TME, tumour vaccine therapy, immune adjuvants, CAR-T therapy, and overcoming penetration barriers, it has been found that there is

a potential to sensitize prostate cancer to immunotherapy, transforming it from an immunosuppressive “cold” tumour to an immune-responsive “hot” tumour.

- Understanding the connections between treatment of prostate cancer outcomes and antigen presentation, the activation of CD8⁺ T lymphocytes, the maintenance of cytotoxic function, and the release of related pro-inflammatory cytokines provides necessary insights for the design of novel immunotherapy approaches for prostate cancer.
- Despite some progress which had been made in prostate cancer immunotherapy over the recent years, there are still many challenges in enhancing clinical immunotherapy outcomes.
- irAEs are a significant concern in immunotherapy.
- Uncontrolled activation of immune cells could lead to excessive inflammatory responses, resulting in damage and inflammation of normal tissues, such as in hepatitis, colitis, and pneumonitis, potentially affecting any organ or system.
- The severity of irAEs is based on the type and dose of ICIs administered, and combination with other therapies has a higher incidence rate.
- Guidelines recommend the discontinuation of treatment upon the occurrence of irAEs (grade ≥ 2), which can be handled and treated according to the guidelines.
- Further research and clinical trials are required to validate the safety and efficacy of these new methods and materials. Nevertheless, during the treatment process, it is important to take into consideration the heterogeneity of patients and to adopt a combination of multiple treatment options to promote immunotherapy for prostate cancer, thereby offering favourable treatment outcomes for patients with advanced or metastatic tumours
- Immunotherapy in combination with other therapies had been demonstrated to be effective in the management of some cases of adenocarcinoma of the prostate gland as well as in other cell-types of prostate cancer. Nevertheless, in some reported cases of metastatic or advanced prostate cancer, immunotherapy had been found not to be effective.

Conflict Of Interest - NIL

References

1. Fay EK, Graff JN. (2020), Immunotherapy in Prostate Cancer. *Cancers* (Basel). 12(7):1752.
2. Maselli FM, Giuliani F, Laface C, Perrone M, Melaccio A, (2023), Immunotherapy in Prostate Cancer: State of Art and New Therapeutic Perspectives. *Curr Oncol*. 30(6):5769-5794.
3. Wikipedia the Free Encyclopaedia. Immunotherapy. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Immunotherapy>
4. Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center. (2025), Immunotherapy Cancer Treatment.
5. Syn NL, Teng MW, Mok TS, Soo RA. (2017), De-novo and acquired resistance to immune checkpoint targeting. *The Lancet. Oncology*. 18 (12): e731 – e741.
6. Conforti L. (), The ion channel network in T lymphocytes, a target for immunotherapy. *Clinical Immunology*. 2012 142 (2): 105–106.
7. Wang S, Zimmermann S, Parikh K, Mansfield AS, Adjei AA. (2019), Current Diagnosis and Management of Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*. 94 (8): 1599–1622.
8. Riley RS, June CH, Langer R, Mitchell MJ (2019), Delivery technologies for cancer immunotherapy. *Nature Reviews. Drug Discovery*. 18 (3): 175–196.

9. Li Y, McBride DW, Tang Y, Doycheva D, Zhang JH, Tang Z. (2023), Immunotherapy as a treatment for Stroke: Utilizing regulatory T cells. *Brain Hemorrhages*. 4 (3): 147–153.
10. Geers D, Shamier MC, Bogers S, den Hartog G, Gommers L, Nieuwkoop NN, et al. (2021), SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern partially escape humoral but not T-cell responses in Covid-19 convalescent donors and vaccines. *Science Immunology*. 6 (59): eabj1750.
11. Rizk JG, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Mehra MR, Lavie CJ, Rizk Y, Forthall DN. (2020), Pharmacologic-Immunomodulatory Therapy in COVID-19. *Drugs*. 80; (13): 1267–1292.
12. Immunomodulators and Their Side Effects. www.cancer.org. Archived from the original on 2023-04-08. Retrieved 2021-06-06.
13. Martino A, Casetti R, Poccia F. (2007), Enhancement of BCG-induced Th1 immune response through Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cell activation with non-peptidic drugs. *Vaccine*. 25 (6): 1023–1029.
14. Sahin U, Muik A, Derhovanessian E, Vogler I, Kranz LM, Vormehr M, et al. (2020), COVID-19 BNT162b1 elicits human antibody and TH1 T cell responses. *Nature*. 586 (7830): 594–599.
15. Woldemeskel BA, Garliss CC, Blankson JN. (2021), SARS-COV-2 mRNA vaccines induce broad CD4+4 cell responses that recognize SARS-CoV2 variants and HCoV-NL63. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 131:10.
16. Fuge O, Vasdey N, Allchorne P, Green JS. (2015), Immunotherapy for bladder cancer. *Research and Reports in Urology*. 7: 65–79.
17. Pectenati C, Ingersoll MA (2018), Mechanisms of BCG immunotherapy and its outlook for bladder cancer. *Nature Reviews. Urology*. 15 (10): 615–625.
18. Salles G, Barrett M, Foà R, Maurer J, O'Brien S, Valente N, et al. (2017), Tituximab in B-Cell Hematologic Malignancies: A Review of 20 years of Clinical Experience. *Advances in Therapy*. 34 (10): 2232–2273..
19. Hoos A. (2016), Development of immuno-oncology drugs - from CTLA4 to PD1 to the next generations. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. Apr;15(4):235-47.
20. Pento JT. (2017), Monoclonal Antibodies for the Treatment of Cancer. *Anticancer Res*. Nov;37(11):5935-5939.
21. Simpson RJ, Bigley AB, Agha N, Hanley PJ, Bollard CM. (2017), Mobilizing Immune Cells With Exercise for Cancer Immunotherapy. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev*. 45(3):163-172.
22. van Seters M, van Beurden M, ten Kate FJ, Beckmann I, Ewing PC. (2008), Treatment of vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia with topical imiquimod. *N Engl J Med*. 358(14):1465-1473.
23. Buck HW, Guth KJ. (2003), Treatment of vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia (primarily low grade) with imiquimod 5% cream. *J Low Genit Tract Dis*. 7(4):290-293.
24. Järvinen R, Kaasinen E, Sankila A, Rintala E; Finn. (2009), Bladder Group. Long-term efficacy of maintenance bacillus Calmette-Guérin versus maintenance mitomycin C instillation therapy in frequently recurrent TaT1 tumours without carcinoma in situ: a subgroup analysis of the prospective, randomised Finn Bladder I study with a 20-year follow-up. *Eur. Urol*. 56(2):260-5.
25. Davidson HC, Leibowitz MS, Lopez-Albaitero A, Ferris RL. (2009), Immunotherapy for head and neck cancer. *Oral Oncol*. 45(9):747-751.
26. Dani T, Knobler R. (2009), Extracorporeal photoimmunotherapy-photopheresis. *Front Biosci (Landmark Ed)*. Jan 1;14(12):4769-4777.
27. Eggermont AM, Schadendorf D. (2009), Melanoma and immunotherapy. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am*. 23(3):547-564.
28. Chuang CM, Monie A, Wu A, Hung CF. (2009), Combination of apigenin treatment with therapeutic HPV DNA vaccination generates enhanced therapeutic antitumor effects. *Journal of Biomedical Science*. 16 (1): 49.
29. Pawlita M, Gissmann L. (2009), Recurrent respiratory papillomatosis: indication for HPV vaccination?]. *Deutsche Medizinische Wochenschrift (in German)*. April. 134 (Suppl 2): S100 – S102.
30. Kang N, Zhou J, Zhang T, Wang L, Lu F, Cui Y, (2009), Adoptive immunotherapy of lung cancer with immobilized anti-TCRgammadelta antibody-expanded human gammadelta T-cells in peripheral blood. *Cancer Biol Ther*. 8(16):1540-1549.
31. Cheever MA, Higano CS. (2011), PROVENGE (Sipuleucel-T) in prostate cancer: the first FDA-approved therapeutic cancer vaccine. *Clin Cancer Res*. 17(11):3520-3526.
32. Di Lorenzo G, Buonerba C, Kantoff PW. (2011), Immunotherapy for the treatment of prostate cancer. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 8(9):551-561.
33. Sipuleucel-T: (2006), APC 8015, APC-8015, prostate cancer vaccine--Dendreon. *Drugs R D*.;7(3):197-201.
34. Andersson H, Nyesiga B, Hermodsson T, Enell Smith K, Hägerbrand K, (2024), Next-generation CD40 agonists for cancer immunotherapy. *Expert Opin Biol Ther*. 24(5):351-363.
35. Sabado RL, Balan S, Bhardwaj N. (2017), Dendritic cell-based immunotherapy. *Cell Res*. 27(1):74-95.
36. Rosenberg SA, Restifo NP, Yang JC, Morgan RA, Dudley ME. (2008), Adoptive cell transfer: a clinical path to effective cancer immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 8(4):299-308.
37. Morgan RA, Dudley ME, Wunderlich JR, Hughes MS, Yang JC, (2006), Cancer regression in patients after transfer of genetically engineered lymphocytes. *Science*. 314(5796):126-129.
38. Hunder NN, Wallen H, Cao J, Hendricks DW, Reilly JZ, (2008), Treatment of metastatic melanoma with autologous CD4+ T cells against NY-ESO-1. *N Engl J Med*. 358(25):2698-703.
39. Symposium Program and Speakers. *Cancer Research Institute*. Archived from the original on 2008-10-15.
40. Highfield R (2008), Cancer patient recovers after injection of immune cells. *Telegraph*.
41. Updated: Novartis buys Dendreon New Jersey Plant. *Fierce Pharma*. 20 December 2012.
42. Antony PA, Piccirillo CA, Akpınarlı A, Finkelstein SE, Speiss PJ, (2005), Klebanoff CA, Overwijk WW, Rosenberg SA, Restifo NP. CD8+ T cell immunity against a tumor/self-antigen is augmented by CD4+ T helper cells and hindered by naturally occurring T regulatory cells. *J Immunol*. 174(5):2591-2601.
43. Gattinoni L, Finkelstein SE, Klebanoff CA, Antony PA, Palmer DC, (2005), Removal of homeostatic cytokine sinks by lymphodepletion enhances the efficacy of adoptively transferred tumor-specific CD8+ T cells. *J Exp Med*. 202(7):907-912.
44. Dummer W, Niethammer AG, Baccala R, Lawson BR, Wagner N, (2002), T cell homeostatic proliferation elicits effective antitumor autoimmunity. *J Clin Invest*. 110(2):185-192.
45. Dudley ME, Yang JC, Sherry R, Hughes MS, Royal R, (2008), Adoptive cell therapy for patients with metastatic melanoma: evaluation of intensive myeloablative chemoradiation preparative regimens. *J Clin Oncol*. Nov 10;26(32):5233-5239.
46. Dudley ME, Wunderlich JR, Robbins PF, Yang JC, Hwu P, (2002), Cancer regression and autoimmunity in patients after clonal repopulation with antitumor lymphocytes. *Science*. 298(5594):850-854.
47. Pilon-Thomas S, Kuhn L, Ellwanger S, Janssen W, Royster E, Marzban S, (2012), Efficacy of adoptive cell transfer of tumor-

- infiltrating lymphocytes after lymphopenia induction for metastatic melanoma. *J Immunother.* 35(8):615-20.
48. Andersen R, Borch TH, Draghi A, Gokuldass A, Rana MAH, (2018), T cells isolated from patients with checkpoint inhibitor-resistant melanoma are functional and can mediate tumor regression. *Ann Oncol.* 29(7):1575-1581.
 49. Seidel JA, Otsuka A, Kabashima K (2018-03-28). "Anti-PD-1 and Anti-CTLA-4 Therapies in Cancer: Mechanisms of Action, Efficacy, and Limitations". *Frontiers in Oncology.* 8: 86.
 50. Jump up to: a b Haanen JB, Robert C (2015). "Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors". *Progress in Tumor Research.* 42: 55–66.
 51. "Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors - National Cancer Institute". National Cancer Institute. 2019-09-24. Archived from the original on 2023-10-22. Retrieved 2020-08-24.
 52. "Immunotherapy By Cancer Type". Cancer Research Institute.
 53. Queirolo P, Boutros A, Tanda E, Spagnolo F, Quagliano P (2019). "Immune-checkpoint inhibitors for the treatment of metastatic melanoma: a model of cancer immunotherapy". *Seminars in Cancer Biology.* 59: 290–297.
 54. Moyers JT, Glitza Oliva IC (2021). "Immunotherapy for Melanoma". *Immunotherapy. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology.* Vol. 1342. pp. 81–111.
 55. Cai L, Li Y, Tan J, Xu L, Li Y (2023). "Targeting LAG-3, TIM-3, and TIGIT for cancer immunotherapy". *Journal of Hematology & Oncology.* 16 (1): 101.
 56. Manjunath SR, Ramanan G, Dedeepiya VD, Terunuma H, Deng X, Baskar S, et al. (2012). "Autologous immune enhancement therapy in recurrent ovarian cancer with metastases: a case report". *Case Reports in Oncology.* 5 (1): 114–118.
 57. Li Y, Zhang T, Ho C, Orange JS, Douglas SD, Ho WZ (2004). "Natural killer cells inhibit hepatitis C virus expression". *Journal of Leukocyte Biology.* 76 (6): 1171–1179.
 58. Daskali M, Tanaka Y, Ohira M, Ishiyama K, Tashiro H, Chayama K, et al. (2011). "Possibility of adoptive immunotherapy with peripheral blood-derived CD3–CD56+ and CD3+CD56+ cells for inducing antihepatocellular carcinoma and antihepatitis C virus activity". *Journal of Immunotherapy.* 34 (2): 129–138.
 59. Terunuma H, Deng X, Dewan Z, Fujimoto S, Yamamoto N (2008). "Potential role of NK cells in the induction of immune responses: implications for NK cell-based immunotherapy for cancers and viral infections". *International Reviews of Immunology.* 27 (3): 93–110.
 60. See DM, Tilles JG (1996). "alpha-Interferon treatment of patients with chronic fatigue syndrome". *Immunological Investigations.* 25 (1–2): 153–164.
 61. Ojo-Amaize EA, Conley EJ, Peter JB (1994). "Decreased natural killer cell activity is associated with severity of chronic fatigue immune dysfunction syndrome". *Clinical Infectious Diseases.* 18 (Suppl 1): S157 – S159.
 62. Kida K, Isozumi R, Ito M (2000). "Killing of human Herpes virus 6-infected cells by lymphocytes cultured with interleukin-2 or -12". *Pediatrics International.* 42 (6): 631–636.
 63. "Lymphocyte Proliferation - an overview *ScienceDirect Topics*". www.sciencedirect.com. Retrieved 2024-05-10.
 64. "Lymphocyte Proliferation - an overview *ScienceDirect Topics*". www.sciencedirect.com. Retrieved 2024-05-10.
 65. Alberts B, Johnson A, Lewis J, Raff M, Roberts K, Walter P (2007-12-31). *Molecular Biology of the Cell.*
 66. Qian Y, Dupps WJ, Meisler DM, Jeng BH (2010). "Epithelial Debridement for Epithelial Basement Membrane Abnormalities Associated with Endothelial Disorders". *European Ophthalmic Review.* 04 (1): 70.
 67. Georgievski A, Bellay PS, Tournier B, Choubley H, Pais de Barros JP, Herbst M, et al. (2024). "Valrubicin-loaded immunoliposomes for specific vesicle-mediated cell death in the treatment of hematologic cancers". *Cell Death*
 68. Jump up to: a b Rayner F, Isaacs JD (2018). "Therapeutic tolerance in autoimmune disease". *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism.* 48 (3): 558–562.
 69. Rotrosen D, Matthews JB, Bluestone JA (2002). "The immune tolerance network: a new paradigm for developing tolerance-inducing therapies". *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology.* 110 (1): 17–23.
 70. Stolp J, Zaitis M, Wood KJ (2019). "Immune Tolerance and Rejection in Organ Transplantation". *Immunological Tolerance. Methods in Molecular Biology.* Vol. 1899. pp. 159–180.
 71. McMurchy AN, Bushell A, Levings MK, Wood KJ (2011). "Moving to tolerance: clinical application of T regulatory cells". *Seminars in Immunology. Advances in Transplantation.* 23 (4): 304–313.
 72. Baker KF, Isaacs JD (2014). "Prospects for therapeutic tolerance in humans". *Current Opinion in Rheumatology.* 26 (2): 219–227.
 73. Cooles FA, Isaacs JD (2010). "Treating to re-establish tolerance in inflammatory arthritis - lessons from other diseases". *Best Practice & Research. Clinical Rheumatology. Pharmacotherapy: Concepts of Pathogenesis and Emerging Treatments.* 24 (4): 497–511.
 74. Persaud Y, Memon RJ, Savliwala MN (2024). "Allergy Immunotherapy". *StatPearls.* Treasure Island (FL): *StatPearls Publishing.*
 75. Durham SR, Walker SM, Varga EM, Jacobson MR, O'Brien F, Noble W, et al. (August 1999). "Long-term clinical efficacy of grass-pollen immunotherapy". *The New England Journal of Medicine.* 341 (7): 468–475.
 76. James C, Bernstein DI (2017). "Allergen immunotherapy: an updated review of safety". *Current Opinion in Allergy & Clinical Immunology.* 17 (1): 55–59.
 77. MacGinnitie AJ, Rachid R, Gragg H, Little SV, Lakin P, Cianferoni A, et al. (March 2017). "Omalizumab facilitates rapid oral desensitization for peanut allergy". *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology.* 139 (3): 873–881.e8.
 78. "Oral immunotherapy for peanut allergy in young children". National Institutes of Health (NIH). 2022-02-07. Archived from the original on 2023-07-12. Retrieved 2022-06-06.
 79. Loukas A, Prociv P (2001). "Immune responses in hookworm infections". *Clinical Microbiology Reviews.* 14 (4): 689–703.
 80. Correale J, Farez M (2007). "Association between parasite infection and immune responses in multiple sclerosis". *Annals of Neurology.* 61 (2): 97–108.
 81. Croese J, O'neil J, Masson J, Cooke S, Melrose W, Pritchard D, et al. (2006). "A proof of concept study establishing *Necator americanus* in Crohn's patients and reservoir donors". *Gut.* 55 (1): 136–137.
 82. Reddy A, Fried B (2009). "An update on the use of helminths to treat Crohn's and other autoimmune diseases". *Parasitology Research.* 104 (2): 217–221.
 83. Laclotte C, Oussalah A, Rey P, Bensenane M, Pluvinage N, Chevaux JB, et al. (2008). "[Helminths and inflammatory bowel diseases]". *Gastroenterologie Clinique et Biologique (in French).* 32 (12): 1064–1074.
 84. Zaccone P, Fehervari Z, Phillips JM, Dunne DW, Cooke A (2006). "Parasitic worms and inflammatory diseases". *Parasite Immunology.* 28 (10): 515–523.
 85. Donkers SJ, Kirkland MC, Charabati M, Osborne LC (2020). "Perspectives of People with Multiple Sclerosis About Helminth Immunotherapy". *International Journal of MS Care.* 22 (1): 43–51.

86. Jump up to: a b Brooker S, Bethony J, Hotez PJ (2004). "Human hookworm infection in the 21st century". *Advances in Parasitology*. 58: 197–288.
87. Fujiwara RT, Cançado GG, Freitas PA, Santiago HC, Massara CL, Dos Santos Carvalho O, et al. (2009). "Necator americanus infection: a possible cause of altered dendritic cell differentiation and eosinophil profile in chronically infected individuals". *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 3 (3): e399.
88. Carvalho L, Sun J, Kane C, Marshall F, Krawczyk C, Pearce EJ (2009). "Review series on helminths, immune modulation and the hygiene hypothesis: mechanisms underlying helminth modulation of dendritic cell function". *Immunology*. 126 (1): 28–34.
89. Fumagalli M, Pozzoli U, Cagliani R, Comi GP, Riva S, Clerici M, et al. (June 2009). "Parasites represent a major selective force for interleukin genes and shape the genetic predisposition to autoimmune conditions". *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*. 206 (6): 1395–1408.
90. Reynolds LA, Finlay BB, Maizels RM (November 2015). "Cohabitation in the Intestine: Interactions among Helminth Parasites, Bacterial Microbiota, and Host Immunity". *Journal of Immunology*. 195 (9): 4059–4066. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1501432. PMC 4617609. PMID 26477048.
91. Loke P, Lim YA (June 2015). "Helminths and the microbiota: parts of the hygiene hypothesis". *Parasite Immunology*. 37 (6): 314–23.
92. Hong CH, Tang MR, Hsu SH, Yang CH, Tseng CS, Ko YC, et al. (September 2019). "Enhanced early immune response of leptospiral outer membrane protein LipL32 stimulated by narrow band mid-infrared exposure". *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology. B, Biology*. 198: 111560.
93. Chang HY, Li MH, Huang TC, Hsu CL, Tsai SR, Lee SC, et al. (2015). "Quantitative proteomics reveals middle infrared radiation-interfered networks in breast cancer cells". *Journal of Proteome Research*. 14 (2): 1250–1262.
94. Nagaya T, Okuyama S, Ogata F, Maruoka Y, Choyke PL, Kobayashi H (2019). "Near infrared photoimmunotherapy using a fiber optic diffuser for treating peritoneal gastric cancer dissemination". *Gastric Cancer*. 22 (3): 463–472.
95. Mitsunaga M, Ogawa M, Kosaka N, Rosenblum LT, Choyke PL, Kobayashi H (2011). "Cancer cell-selective in vivo near infrared photoimmunotherapy targeting specific membrane molecules". *Nature Medicine*. 17 (12): 1685–1691.
96. Sato K, Sato N, Xu B, Nakamura Y, Nagaya T, Choyke PL, et al. (2016). "Spatially selective depletion of tumor-associated regulatory T cells with near-infrared photoimmunotherapy". *Science Translational Medicine*. 8 (352):
97. Nagaya T, Nakamura Y, Sato K, Harada T, Choyke PL, Kobayashi H (2016). "Improved micro-distribution of antibody-photon absorber conjugates after initial near infrared photoimmunotherapy (NIR-PIT)". *Journal of Controlled Release*. 232: 1–8.
98. Zhen Z, Tang W, Wang M, Zhou S, Wang H, Wu Z, et al. (2017). "Protein Nanocage Mediated Fibroblast-Activation Protein Targeted Photoimmunotherapy to Enhance Cytotoxic T Cell Infiltration and Tumor Control". *Nano Letters*. 17 (2): 862–869.
99. Chen H, Qu M, Wang Y, Gao X. (2024), Immunotherapy in the treatment of rectal invasion by prostate cancer with focal neuroendocrine differentiation: a case report and literature review. *Transl Androl. Urol.* 13(9):2153-2159.
100. Shi J, Chen Y, Chen Y, Shen Y, Zhao H, (2018), Alloreactive cytotoxic T lymphocyte immunotherapy treatment of a patient with metastatic prostate cancer: A case report. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 97(24):e11111.
101. Idossa D, Friedlander T, Paller CJ, Ryan CJ, Borno HT. (2022), Case report: clinical characteristics and outcomes of HIV positive patients with metastatic prostate cancer treated with immunotherapy: A case series and literature review. *Frontiers in Oncology*.
102. Sharan B, Chiliveru S, Bagga J, Kohli S, Bharadwaj A. (2020), Substantial tumor regression in prostate cancer patient with extensive skeletal metastases upon Immunotherapy (APCEDEN): A case report. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 99(8):e18889.
103. Darien E Reed-Perino, Michael Lai, Evan Y Yu and Michael T Schweizer. (2023), Re-sensitization to pembrolizumab following PSMA-CD3 T-cell redirection therapy with JNJ-081 in a patient with mismatch repair-deficient metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: a case report. *BMJ Journals. Journal for Immunotherapy of Cancer*. 11(5): 1- 4.
104. Cabel L, Loir E, Gravis G, Lavaud P, Massard C. (2017). Long-term complete remission with Ipilimumab in metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer: case report of two patients. *J Immunother Cancer*. Apr 18; 5:31.
105. Ashraf MU, Farwa U, Siddiqi M, Sarfraz A, Azeem N. (2023), Has the Landscape of Immunotherapy for Prostate Cancer Changed? A Systematic Review and Post Hoc Analysis. *Am J Mens Health*. Mar-Apr;17(2):15579883231165140.
106. Schirrmacher V, Bihari AS, Stücker W, Sprenger T. (2014) Long-term remission of prostate cancer with extensive bone metastases upon immuno- and virotherapy: A case report. *Oncol Lett*. 8(6):2403-2406.
107. Ferreira Bruzzi Porto H, C K Lopes G, B V Bekierman H, Altino De Almeida S, Da Matta Andreiuolo F, (2024). A Complete Response to Combined Immunotherapy in a Patient with an ATM plus SF3B1 Mutation and a Moderate Tumor Mutational Burden with a High-Grade Treatment-Emergent Neuroendocrine Prostate Cancer: Case Report and Review of the Literature. *Case Rep Oncol*. Aug 29;17(1):950-959.
108. Zhang J, Ye Q, Yang X, Li T, Huang S, (2024), Dual immunotherapy alternating with anti-PD-1 antibody plus liposomal doxorubicin show good efficacy in prostate epithelioid hemangioendothelioma: a case report. *Front Immunol*. 15:1384111.
109. Fei X, Zheng Z, Zhao Z-y, Ren D-w, Wang S-y, (2024), Anlotinib combined with tislelizumab in the treatment of primary small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma of the prostate: a case report and literature review. *Cancer Immunity and Immunotherapy*. *Front. Immunol Sec.* 23; 15 – 2024.
110. Rehman LU, Nisar MH, Fatima W, Sarfraz A, Azeem N, (2023), Immunotherapy for Prostate Cancer: A Current Systematic Review and Patient Centric Perspectives. *J Clin Med*. Feb 11;12(4):1446.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

To Submit Your Article Click Here:

Submit Manuscript

DOI: [10.31579/2640-1053/229](https://doi.org/10.31579/2640-1053/229)

Ready to submit your research? Choose Auctores and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- rigorous peer review by experienced research in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- authors retain copyrights
- unique DOI for all articles
- immediate, unrestricted online access

At Auctores, research is always in progress.

Learn more <https://www.auctoresonline.org/journals/cancer-research-and-cellular-therapeutics>