

Clinicoepidemiological Study of Oral Mucosal Lesions and Its Impact on Quality of Life in Patients Attending Skin OPD At Rural Based Tertiary Health Care Centre

Patel Jalpa K ¹, Pillai Devna S ², Sharma Yojana A ³, Prajapati Aalap S ⁴, and Vora Rita V ^{5*}

¹ Third year resident, Department of Dermatology, Shree Krishna Hospital, Karamsad, India.

² First year resident, Department of Dermatology, Shree Krishna Hospital, Karamsad, India.

³ Professor and Head, Department of ENT, Shree Krishna Hospital, Karamsad, India.

⁴ Head of Dentistry Department, Shree Krishna Hospital, Karamsad, India.

⁵ Professor and Head, Department of Dermatology, Shree Krishna Hospital, Karamsad, India.

***Corresponding Author:** Vora Rita V, Professor and Head, Department of Dermatology, Shree Krishna Hospital, Karamsad, India.

Received date: July 11, 2023; **Accepted date:** August 09, 2023; **Published date:** January 02, 2024

Citation: Patel Jalpa K., Pillai Devna S., Sharma Yojana A., Prajapati Aalap S., and Vora Rita V., (2024), Clinicoepidemiological Study of Oral Mucosal Lesions and Its Impact on Quality of Life in Patients Attending Skin OPD at Rural Based Tertiary Health Care Centre, *Dermatology and Dermatitis*, 10(1); DOI:10.31579/2578-8949/120

Copyright: © 2024, Vora Rita V. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of The Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Background

Oral mucosa is one of the first barriers to the outside world which encounters various antigens, microorganisms and physical agents and it is in direct continuity with the skin externally and with the mucosa of oropharynx and nasopharynx internally.

Objectives

The objective of our study was to study the prevalence of oral mucosal lesion, its association with various dermatoses and quality of life in patients with oral mucosal lesions attending outpatient department of dermatology at a tertiary care health centre.

Methods

This study was an observational, purposive type of study conducted in Department of Dermatology at a tertiary health care centre. All the patients with oral mucosal lesion were included with full history and detailed examination noted in a prestructured proforma. Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) and Children's Life Quality Index (CDLQI) both were used to assess quality of life.

Results: Among 202 (prevalence-0.8%) patients, maximum numbers of patients 53 (26.23 %) belonged to age group 31-40 years followed by 49(24.25 %) patients with age group of 21-30 years. Maximum numbers of patients were female 108 (53.46 %). Among oral ulcers recurrent aphthous stomatitis was the commonest finding seen in 56 (27.72%). Among cutaneous conditions associated with oral lesions oral lichen planus 50(24.75%) was the most common condition followed by pemphigus in 15(7.42%) patients. Out of 195 patients, maximum 86 (44.10%) patients were categorised as a moderately affected DLQI group.

Conclusion: Oral ulcers were the most common finding seen in our study. There were a significant number of patients of cutaneous conditions associated with oral lesions seen.

Keywords: oral mucosal lesions; oral lichen planus; dermatology life quality index; patients; tongue abnormality

Introduction

Oral mucosa is one of the first barriers to the outside world which encounters various antigens, microorganisms and physical agents and it

is in direct continuity with the skin externally and with the mucosa of oropharynx and nasopharynx internally, yet, the specialized nature of the

oral environment carries with it distinct adaptations responsible for its unique properties and functions [1]. The oral mucosa serves as a protective barrier against trauma, pathogens, and carcinogenic agents [2] Oral mucosal manifestation may be the initial feature, most florid clinical feature or the only sign of such disease and sometimes lesions occur in both skin and mucous membrane [3].

Oral lesions have a great impact on quality of life of an individual. Quality of life (QL) is a multidisciplinary and multi-dimensional assessing concept that refers to the subjective and objective conditions related to physical, emotional and social factors [4].

Materials and Methods

This study is an observational, purposive type of study conducted in Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology at a tertiary healthcare centre over a period of Twelve months from April 2021 to March 2022 after approval from ethical committee. (IEC/BU/127/Faculty/7/73/2021) All the patients with oral mucosal

lesion were included. Informed written consent was taken in vernacular language from all patients. Patient's full history and detailed examination was noted in a prestructured proforma. The parameters included in proforma are age, sex, occupation, duration of lesion, past history, family history, habits and oral hygiene status. Oral cavity examination was carried out according to prestructured proforma and findings were recorded. Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) and Children's Life Quality Index (CDLQI) both were used to assess quality of life. Descriptive Statistics [Mean (SD), Frequency (%)] were used to depict the baseline profile of the study participants. Used Quality of life scale was analysed by reporting Descriptive Statistics [Median, Mode].

Results

We have examined a total of 24,868 patients from April 2021 to March 2022 over a period of twelve months. Out of which, 202 (prevalence-0.8%) patients presented with various oral mucosal lesions. Demographic details are summarised in Table 1.

Demographic Details	N=202		
Gender	Male	94	46.54%
	Female	108	53.46%
Age group	1- 10	2	0.99%
	11-20	18	8.91%
	21-30	49	24.25%
	31-40	53	26.23%
	41-50	39	19.30%
	51-60	25	12.37%
	61-70	8	3.96%
	71-80	8	3.96%
Residence	Urban	110	54.46%
	Rural	92	45.54%
Occupation	Housewife	59	29.21%
	Students	41	20.30%

Table 1: Demographic Details

In present study most common chief complaint was burning sensation (n=73, 36.14%) followed by difficulty in eating (n=46, 22.77%) and multiple raw area in oral cavity (n=40, 19.80%). Most common oral complaint was difficulty in eating (n=183, 90.59%) followed by intolerance to food (n=82, 40.59 %) and redness (n=76, 37.62 %). In present study 59(29.21%) patients had gradual onset while 143 (70.79 %) had sudden onset. The duration of complaint were < 10 days in 127 (62.87%) patients followed by 11 days to 1 month in 53(26.24%) and 1-3 months in 19(9.41%). Among 202 cases 190 (94.06%) lesions were progressive and 12(5.94%) were non progressive. Tobacco chewing was the most common habit(n=23,11.38%) followed by cigarette smoking (n=21, 10.40%). Oral hygiene was fair in 158(78.22%) patients and poor in 44(21.78%). Among Oral lesions buccal mucosa (n=154, 76.24%) followed by lips (n=59, 29.21%) and floor of mouth (n=53, 26.24%) were the common sites involved. The most common morphology was ulcer (n=62,30.69%) and erosion (n=56, 27.72%). Other mucosal sites affected were genital(n=14,22.95%) and conjunctival mucosa (n=3, 4.92%).

In present study we have divided oral lesions in 10 groups according to etiological factors and morphology of lesions. Maximum numbers of

patients were seen in group of oral ulcers (n=70, 34.65%) followed by cutaneous conditions associated with oral lesion (n=67, 33.16%) and Infections (n=33, 16.33%).

Among pigmented oral lesion smoking melanosis [Figure 1A] was seen in 4(1.98%) patients. In oral ulcers, recurrent Aphthous stomatitis [Figure 1B] was the commonest finding seen in 56 (27.72%) and drug induced in 14(6.93%) patients [Figure 1C]. Among infectious condition, in viral infection most common findings were herpes simplex stomatitis 6(2.97%) [Figure 1D] and hand foot mouth disease in 2(1%). In fungal infection oral candidiasis was seen in 23(11.38%) patients [Figure 2A]. Iron deficiency anemia was seen in 10(4.95%) patients among oral manifestation of systemic disease. Among abnormalities of tongue, geographic tongue [Figure 2B] was seen in 1(0.5%) patient. Among disorder of lip group 13(6.43%) patients were of angular cheilitis and 2(1%) of granulomatous cheilitis [Figure 2C and D]. Among cutaneous conditions associated with oral lesions oral lichen planus 50(24.75%) [Figure 3(A and B)] was the most common condition followed by pemphigus in 15(7.42%) patients [Figure 3(C and D)]. Among nodule and swelling group mucocele [Figure 4 (A&B)] was seen in 2(1%) patients.



Figure 1 (A): Smoking Induced Pigmentation; **(B):** Recurrent aphthous Stomatitis; **(C):** Drug Induced Oral Ulcers; **(D):** Herpes Simplex Stomatitis



Figure 2(A): Oral Candidiasis; **(B):** Geographic Tongue; **(C):** Angular Cheilitis; **(D):** Granulomatous Cheilitis




Figure 3 (A&B): Oral Lichen Planus; **(C&D):** Oral Pemphigus



Figure 4 (A&B): Mucocoele

Out of 195 adult patients, maximum 86 (44.10%) patients were categorised as a moderately affected DLQI group followed by 70 (35.90%) patients as a small affected DLQI group. Among 7 children's patients maximum 5 (71.43%) patients were categorised as a moderately

affected CDLQI group followed by 2 (28.57%) patients as a not affected CDLQI group.

We have done DLQI wise distribution of various oral dermatoses and summarized it in Table 2.

DERMATOSES		0-1	2-5	6-10	11-20	21-30	TOTAL	%
1.Pigmented Lesions of Oral Cavity								
[a] Chemical induced	Smoking Melanosis	0	2	2	0	0	4	1.98
2.Oral Ulcers	Recurrent Aphthous Stomatitis	6	21	24	5	0	56	27.72
	Drug Induced	0	3	3	5	3	14	6.93
3.Infectious								
[a] Viral	Herpes Simplex Stomatitis	0	1	4	0	0	5	2.97
	Varicella	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.5
	Hand, Foot and Mouth Disease	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Warts	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.5
[b] Fungal	Oral Candidiasis	0	15	5	3	0	23	11.38
4. Cutaneous Conditions Associated with Oral Lesions	Oral LP	2	15	27	3	0	50	24.75
	Pemphigus	0	3	5	7	0	15	7.42
	Paraneoplastic pemphigus	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.5
	Mucous membrane pemphigoides	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.5
5. Oral Manifestation of Systemic Disease								
[a] Hematological	Iron deficiency anemia	3	2	4	0	0	9	4.95
6. Abnormalities of Tongue	Geographic tongue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
7. Disorders of Lip	Angular Cheilitis	0	7	6	0	0	13	
	Granulomatous Cheilitis	0	1	1	0	0	2	1

Table 2: DLQI Wise Distribution of Various Oral Dermatoses

Discussion

Mucocutaneous lesions commonly manifest as erosive, ulcerative, pigmented and sometimes as vesicular lesions. Various etiological factors contribute to the development of these lesions and encompass autoimmune, infectious, neoplastic, hematologic, reactive, nutritional, and idiopathic causes [5]. The oral cavity is vulnerable to a limitless number of environmental insults because of its exposure to the external world [6].

In our study total of 202 patients were enrolled. In present study maximum number of patients were seen in age group of 31-40 years, that is 53(26.23%) which is similar to Modi et al. [7] study (21.8%) and

Gambhir et al. [8] study (21.5%). Out of 202 patients, 94 (46.5%) were males and 108 (53.4%) were females which was comparable to study done by Modi et al. [7] where males were 39.5% and females were 60.5%. In Andrej et al. [9] study males were 37.4% and females were 62.6%. While in Byakodi et al. [10] study males were 66.6% and females were 33.4% which could be due to higher number of males examined in this study.

In present study the most common site of involvement was buccal mucosa in 76.24% of patients, which was comparable to Roy et al. [11] (68%) and Scully et al. [12] study (71%). In present study smoking was reported in 18.32% patients which is comparable to Suliman et al. [13] where it was seen in 17.7% patients. Total 4.46% patients were taking alcohol while tobacco chewing was seen in 11.38% patients which is comparable to

Suliman et al. [13] that is 4.3% and 12.7% respectively. In present study smoking melanosis was seen in 4 (1.98%) patients which is comparable to Mohammad et al. [14] study where pigmentation was seen in 3(2.4%) patients and Kamble et al. [15] where it was seen in 2.7% patients.

In our study among oral ulcers, recurrent aphthous stomatitis 56 (27.72%) was the most common finding which is similar to Byakodi et al. [10] 31.3% and Mohammad et al. [14] 22.4% while in Kamble et al. [15] study it was seen in 3.57% patients. Our study was conducted in area which is the hub of tobacco production. This might be the reason for higher number of cases of recurrent aphthous stomatitis.

Drug induced oral ulcers were seen in 14(6.93%) patients while in Babu RA et al.[16] study it was 15 (23.08%) which might be due to small sample size of Babu RA et al. [16] study .Among viral infection herpes simplex stomatitis (2.97%) was the most common finding in our study which is comparable to Kamble et al. [15] (2.89%) and Suliman et al. [13] (6.2%).Oral candidiasis was present in 23(11.38%) patients in this study which is similar to Mohammad et al. [14] study (12%). In Kamble et al. [15] 6(1.02%) patients had oral candidiasis. Ours is a tertiary care health centre so reference from other specialities were also included in the study which might be the reason for higher number of oral candidiasis.

Among cutaneous conditions associated with oral lesions, oral lichen planus 50(24.75%) was the most common finding which is comparable to Babu RA et al. [16] study where it was seen in 18% patients and 22.2% in Gambhir et al. [8] study. Pemphigus vulgaris was seen in 15(7.42%) patients in present study which is similar to Mohammad et al. [14] study where it was seen in 4.8% patients.

Among tongue abnormality, geographic tongue was seen in 1(0.5%) patient in our study while in Andrej et al. [9] and Kamble et al. [15] it was 2.81% and 4.25% respectively. It might be due to larger sample size in this study. In our study angular cheilitis was seen in 13(6.43%) patients which is similar to Mohammad et al. [14] where it was seen in 6.48% patients and 10.71% in Roy et al. [11] Mucocele was seen in 2(1%) patients in present study which is comparable to Mohammad et al. [14] and Kamble et al. [15] where it was seen in 0.4% and 1.29% patients respectively.

Strengths of the study are already included in the above details. This is a single centred study so results could not be generalised, hence multicentric study is required. There were no controversies related to the study. Future research prospects of this study are it can be helpful in diagnosing various pattern of oral lesions in Anand district.

Conclusion

This study provides an idea about pattern of oral mucosal lesions in Anand district. Oral ulcers were the most common finding seen in our study. A significant number of patients of cutaneous conditions associated with oral lesions were seen. Oral manifestations can be a clue to a difficult to diagnose the dermatological conditions.

References

1. Ship JA, Phelan J, Kerr AR. Biology and pathology of the Oral Mucosa. In: Freedberg IM, Eisen AZ, Wolff K, Austen KF,

Goldsmith LA, Katz SI, editors. Fitzpatrick's Dermatology in General Medicine. 6th ed., Vol. 112. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2003. p. 1077-1091.

2. Ali M, Joseph B, Sundaram D. Prevalence of oral mucosal lesions in patients of Kuwait University Dental Centre. Saudi Dent J 2013; 25:111-118.
3. Ramírez-Amador VA, Esquivel-Pedraza L, Orozco-Topete R. Frequency of oral conditions in a dermatology clinic. Int J Dermatol. 2000;39:501-505.
4. Acharya S. Oral health-related quality of life and its associated factors in an Indian adult population. Oral Health Prev Dent. 2008; 6:175-184.
5. Sangeetha S, Victo SD. The molecular aspects of oral mucocutaneous diseases: A review. Int J Genet Mol. Biol 2011; 3:141-148.
6. Simi S, Nand kumar G, Anish TS. White lesions in oral cavity: A clinicopathological study from a tertiary care dermatology centre in Kerala, India. Indian J Dermatol 2013; 58:269-274.
7. Modi D, Laishram RS, Sharma LD, Debnath K. Pattern of oral cavity lesions in a tertiary care hospital in Manipur, India. J Med Soc 2013; 27:199-202.
8. Gambhir RS, Veerasha KL, Sohi R, Kakkar H, Aggarwal A, Gupta D. The prevalence of oral mucosal lesions in the patients visiting a dental school in Northern India in relation to sex, site and distribution: A retrospective study. J ClinExp Dent. 2011; 3(1):e10-17.
9. Andrej Aleksander Kinsky, Vojko Diadanovic. Epidemiology of oral mucosal lesions in Slovenia. Radiol Oncol 2018;52(3):263-266.
10. Byakodi R, Shipurka A, Marathe K. Prevalence of Oral Soft Tissue Lesions in Sangli, India. J Community Health .2011; 36:756-759.
11. Roy S, Varshney S. Oral Dermatological Conditions: A Clinical Study. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg .2013; 65(2):97-101.
12. Scully C, DePaes Almeida O, Porter SR. Pemphigus vulgaris ,the manifestations and long term management of 55 patients with oral lesions. British J Dermatol. 1999;140:84-89.
13. Nada M Suliman, Anne N Åström, Raouf W Ali, Hussein Salman and Anne C Johannessen. Oral mucosal lesions in skin disease patients attending a dermatologic clinic: a cross-sectional study in Sudan. BMC Oral Health. 2011; 11-24.
14. Mohammed Abu El-Hamd, Soha Aboeldahab. Clinicoepidemiological analysis of patients with oral mucosal lesions attending dermatology clinics. Egyptian Journal of Dermatology and Venereology .2018;38:73-79.
15. Kamble KA, Guddad SS, Nayak AG, Suragimath A, Sanade AR. Prevalence of Oral Mucosal Lesions in Western Maharashtra: A Prospective Study. J Indian Acad Oral Med Radiol 2017; 29:282-287.
16. Babu RA, Chandrashekar P, Kumar KK. A study A Study on Oral Mucosal Lesions in 3500 Patients with Dermatological Diseases in South India. Ann Med Health Sci Res. 2014;4:84-93.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

To Submit Your Article Click Here:

Submit Manuscript

DOI: [10.31579/2578-8949/120](https://doi.org/10.31579/2578-8949/120)

Ready to submit your research? Choose Auctores and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- rigorous peer review by experienced research in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- authors retain copyrights
- unique DOI for all articles
- immediate, unrestricted online access

At Auctores, research is always in progress.

Learn more <https://auctoresonline.org/journals/dermatology-and-dermatitis>