Research Article

Melatonin and Outcomes of Assisted Reproductive Technologies: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Eduardo Carvalho de Arruda Veiga ^{1,2*}, Marise Samama ^{1,3}, Jose Maria Soares Junior ⁴, Fabio Ikeda ¹, Giovanna Santos Cavalcanti ⁴, Amanda Sartor ^{1,3}, Suelen Fernanda Parames ¹, Edmund C Baracat⁴, Joji Ueno ¹

¹GERA Institute of Teaching and Research in Reproductive Medicine of São Paulo, Brazil.

² Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hospital das Clínicas, Faculty of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto, University of São Paulo – FMRPUSP, São Paulo, Brazil.

³ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Escola Paulista de Medicina, Federal University of São Paulo, EPM/UNIFESP

⁴ Discipline of Gynecology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hospital das Clínicas, Faculty of Medicine of the University of São Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

*Corresponding Author: Eduardo Carvalho de Arruda Veiga, GERA Institute of Teaching and Research in Reproductive Medicine of São Paulo, Brazil.

Received date: December 27, 2023; Accepted date: January 15, 2024; Published date: January 29, 2024.

Citation: Eduardo Carvalho de Arruda Veiga, Marise Samama, Soares Junior JM, Fabio Ikeda, Giovanna S. Cavalcanti, et al, (2024), Melatonin and Outcomes of Assisted Reproductive Technologies: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis, *J. Obstetrics Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences*, 8(1) **DOI:**10.31579/2578-8965/196

Copyright: © 2024, Eduardo Carvalho de Arruda Veiga. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of The Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract:

Background: Melatonin is a hormone produced by the pineal gland and it has antioxidant properties.

Aim: This study aimed to evaluate the effects of melatonin on assisted reproductive technologies through a systematic review and a meta-analysis.

Materials and methods: Search strategies were used in PubMed and in other databases covering the last 15 years. After screening for eligibility, 17 articles were selected for the systematic review. For the meta-analysis statistics, two groups were formed, the treatment group (with melatonin) and the control group (without melatonin) for various assisted reproduction outcomes.

Results: The main results were that no statistical differences were found concerning the clinical pregnancy outcome (p = 0.64), but there was a statistical difference with respect to mature oocytes (MII) (p = 0.001), antral follicle count (p = 0.0002), and the fertilization rate ($p \le 0.0001$).

Conclusions: Melatonin had beneficial effects on outcomes in assisted reproductive technologies, but it had no influence on pregnancy.

Keywords: melatonin; ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome; assisted reproduction outcomes; in vitro fertilization; human reproduction

Introduction

Infertility affects millions of women worldwide and is one of the main causes of the unfulfilled dream of having a child (Graham et al, 2023). Assisted reproduction techniques may be accompanied by complications such as ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS), which can be fatal (Zhang et al, 2022). As many as 20% to 33% of in vitro fertilization (IVF) cycles are affected by mild OHSS, whereas moderate to severe OHSS reportedly occurs in 3% to 8% of patients (Mourad et al, 2017).

Melatonin is a hormone that is primarily produced by the pineal gland. Two characteristics worth emphasizing are its antioxidant properties and its capacity to improve mitochondrial functions in female germ cells, which can lead to benefits in human reproduction treatments (Reiter et al, 2018; Tamura et al, 2020; Cheng et al, 2020). Melatonin plays a role in sleep and in physiological oocyte maturation (Yong et al, 2021). Both in vitro and in vivo studies, in animals and in humans, show the benefits of melatonin as a substance that reduces the oxidative stress of cells related to reproduction, even improving fertilization rates (Cosme et al, 2023).

Two recent works have demonstrated that melatonin not only alleviates reactive oxygen species, but also improves apoptosis, and that it may clinically benefit women who have developed OHSS (Li et al, 2019; Zheng et al, 2022).

Copy rights @ Eduardo Carvalho de Arruda Veiga, et al

Among the positive results of using melatonin for women on assisted reproductive technology (ART) are increases in the number of mature oocytes, the fertilization rate, the number of high-quality embryos, and, in some cases, increased pregnancy rates (Tamura et al, 2008; Liu et al, 2016; Ge et al, 2015).

Given the above, this study aimed to evaluate the effects of melatonin on assisted reproductive technologies through a systematic review and a metaanalysis.

Materials and Methods

For the systematic review, we drew on several articles and guidelines, including Berstock et al, 2019 (14), Hennessey et al, 2019 (15), and Page et al, 2021 (16). The meta-analysis was conducted in accordance with Higgins et al, 2022 (17).

Search Strategy

The studies selected for this review were published between January 2008 and April 2023, and they are indexed in PubMed and Scielo (Figure 1). The initial search yielded 127 articles. After applying the eligibility criteria, they were narrowed down to the 17 articles included in this systematic review. Figure 1 shows the selection process in detail.



Figure 1: Flowchart of the systematic review

*Consider, if feasible to do so, reporting the number of records identified from each database or register searched (rather than the total number across all databases/registers).

**If automation tools were used, indicate how many records were excluded by a human and how many were excluded by automation tools. Drawing on Page et al, 2020, the P (population) in the P.I.C.O. of this systematic review is patients, ie, the female research participants who were selected to take exogenous melatonin and who had or had not ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome, depending on the article; the I (intervention) is the administration of exogenous melatonin; the C (comparison) is the comparison of the control group and the experimental group (exposed to melatonin); the O (outcomes) is the outcomes as described in table 1.

J. Obstellics Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences
--

Copy rights @ Eduardo Carvalho de Arruda Veiga, et al

Authors	Years	Study design	Technique	Melatonin Treatment	Justifications for inclusion criteria	Main outcomes
Tamura et al	2008	Controlled Clinical Trial	IVF ET	3 mg/day	The study has results that melatonin improves among other aspects of ARTs and fertility rates	improves oocyte and embryo quality and better fertility taxes.
Unfer et al	2011	Clinical Trial	IVF	3 mg/day	The study has results that melatonin improves oocyte quality	had improved on pregnancy rate
Batioglu et al	2012	Randomized Controlled Trial	IVF ET	3 mg/day	The justification for the inclusion is the efficacy of melatonin administered in improving oocyte quality	improves oocyte and embryo quality
Fernando et al	2014	Clinical Trial	IVF ICSI	4 mg/ twice per day	Double-blind randomized study evaluating melatonin in infertility treatments	Melatonin in ART will be the first trial designed to determine a relationship of melatonin on Clinical pregnancy rates.
Nishihara et al	2014	Clinical Trial	IVF	3 mg/day	The justification for the inclusion is the efficacy of melatonin administered in improving oocyte quality	improves oocyte and embryo quality
Jahromi et al	2017	Randomized Controlled Trial	IVF	3 mg/day	Double-blind randomized study evaluating melatonin in infertility treatments in women with low ovarian reserve	improves oocyte and embryo quality
Tong et al	2017	Clinical Trial	IVF ICSI	Melatonin measument in folicular fluid with range was 2.3-1000 pg/mL.	The justification for the inclusion is that melatonin levels can be markers and predictors of low ovarian reserve and better results in IVF	improves oocyte and embryo quality
Zheng et al	2017	Clinical Trial	IVF	Melatonin measument in folicular fluid.	The work seals the concentrations of melatonin in the follicular fluids and their role in human reproduction	We have demonstrated that higher folicullar fluid melatonin concentrations were related to better ART outcomes
Ma et al	2018	Clinical Trial	IVF ICSI ET		This study was the only one that did not have the presence of melatonin, therefore it was important for the inclusion criteria in the present systematic review because it worked with studies of two fluids of two follicular follicles in women with ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome.	Follicle count measured on the day of hCG administration was the only predictive factor for the occurrence of OHSS
Espino et al	2019	Clinical Trial	IVF	3 mg/day or 6 mg/day	The authors studied the use of melatonin in infertilities with no apparent or apparent cause in unexplained infertilities	improves oocyte and embryo quality
Fernando et al	2019	Randomized Controlled Trial	IVF	2,4 or 8 mg/twice a day	This work, despite having arguments that go against current literature, is important for studying melatonin in ovarian vascular indices	Melatonin and vascular indices cannot predict the number or quality of oocytes or embryos obtained in an IVF cycle.
Li et al	2019	Case control Study	IVF ICSI ET	Melatonin measument in folicular fluid.	The authors studied in the same work the functions of melatonin in ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome	Role of melatonin as a predictor of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome
Zheng et al	2019	Clinical Trial	IVF	Melatonin measument in folicular fluid	This work was important to be selected because it was the first to demonstrate that melatonin in the follicular fluids is significantly increased in women with OHSS	The authors studied in the same work the functions of melatonin in ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome
Espinola et al	2020	Prospective Randomized and Controlled Pilot Study	IVF	1 mg/day	As justifications for the inclusion of this work, there is a randomized study that studied vitamin D,	The main failure was that increased vitamin D levels were positively correlated with IVF implantation rates.

J. (Obstetrics	Gynecology	and Repro	oductive	Sciences
------	------------	------------	-----------	----------	----------

Copy rights @ Eduardo Carvalho de Arruda Veiga, et al

					melatonin, or myo-inositol and folic acid in assisted reproduction	
Wdowiak et al	2020	Prospective Randomized and Controlled Trial	IVF ICSI	1 mg/day	A study comparing other two substances together with melatonin in women with OHSS	A combination of myo-inositol, vitamin D and melatonin including better fertilization and pregnancy outcomes as well as reduced risk of OHSS
Li et al	2021	Randomized Controlled Trial	IVF IVM	MT in fluid folicular in IVF and addition in in vitro culture of 10 ⁻⁵ mol/L melatonina in IVM.	It was a pilot study that compared IVF protocols with in vitro maturation and the presence of melatonin and its results in human reproduction.	Melatonin supplementation has efficacy in clinical results of assisted reproduction as higher rates of oocytes in IVF
Zheng et al	2022	Clinical Trial	-	Melatonin treatment in 10 µM cell culture	The authors studies the functions of melatonin in ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome	Melatonin attenuated reactive oxygen species during apoptosis
			Tabla 1	• Exogenous Melato	onin in Provious Clinical Studies	

This review was conducted following the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis) recommendations (16).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The exclusion criteria covered animal studies (n = 74), articles whose titles or abstracts did not fall within the scope of our study (n = 11), and review articles (n = 8). An additional 16 articles were excluded for lack of access to the full text because they were not freely accessible. The remaining 24 articles were fully read. By applying a second round of exclusion criteria, 7 more studies were screened out. The 17 articles that remained met the inclusion criteria of this study (see table 1 for details) and were thus included in the study (Figure 1). The inclusion and exclusion criteria were based on Page et al, 2020.

Results

Melatonin is involved in a number of the body's physiological processes, one of which is regulating fertility. The articles included in this study are detailed in table 1, which also includes information about the authors, publication year, methods, melatonin treatment, rationale for article inclusion, and key results or outcomes. A significant finding of this systematic review was that melatonin plays a role in the improvement of oocyte and embryo quality. (Tamura et al, 2008; Fernando et al, 2014 Batioglu et al, 2012; Nishihara et al, 2014; Jahromi et al, 2017; Tong et al, 2017; Jing et al, 2017; Espino et al, 2019; Zheng et al, 2017; Unfer et al, 2011; Ma et al, 2020, Fernando et al, 2020, Espinola et al, 2021; Wdowiak et al, 2020; Li et al, 2021, Zheng et al, 2023).

Statistical analysis

For descriptive analysis, calculations were made for means, standard deviations, mean differences, and odd ratios with a 95% confidence interval. Meta-analysis was carried out with the Review Manager 5.4.1 software program (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK). For the 95% CI and the overall effect size, values of $p \le 0.05$ were assumed for significant differences.

Meta-analysis of assisted reproduction outcome variables

The comparison between melatonin intake and the clinical pregnancy rate of assisted reproduction yielded no statistical difference. Only 6 studies included this variable and with the following results: p = 0.64, I2 = 37%(Figure 2); risk ratio of 1.22 [0.71-2.09]. Caution is needed in interpreting this outcome, for there are numerous other variables involved in a healthy pregnancy resulting from assisted reproduction techniques, including the physiological conditions necessary for achieving pregnancy.

	Melat	onin	Cont	rol		Odds ratio	Odds ratio		Ri	sko	f Bi	as	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl	Α	в	с	D	Е	F
Batioglu 2012	20	40	18	45	21.5%	1.50 [0.63 , 3.55]		•	•	•	?	?	•
Espino 2019	3	10	5	10	7.4%	0.43 [0.07 , 2.68]		?	?	?	Ŧ	Ŧ	•
Espinola 2020	12	50	18	50	21.3%	0.56 [0.24 , 1.34]	_ _	•	?	•	?	•	?
Li 2021	8	20	6	14	11.6%	0.89 [0.22 , 3.55]		?	Ŧ	?	Ŧ	•	Ŧ
Tamura 2008	11	56	6	59	16.6%	2.16 [0.74 , 6.30]		?	?	•	?	•	•
Wdowiak 2020	21	50	12	50	21.6%	2.29 [0.97 , 5.41]		÷	÷	÷	?	÷	?
Total (95% CI)		226		228	100.0%	1.22 [0.71 , 2.09]							
Total events:	75		65				Ţ.						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.16; Chi2	= 7.89, di	f = 5 (P = 0	0.16); I ² =	37%								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.70 (F	^o = 0.48)				Favou	rs [experimental] Favours [control	1					
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: No	ot applica	ble					-					
Risk of bias legend													
(A) Random sequence	e generatio	n (selecti	on bias)										

(B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)

(C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)

(D) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) (E) Selective reporting (reporting bias)

(F) Other bias

Figure 2: Meta-analysis of clinical pregnancy rate in patients receiving and not receiving melatonin

Copy rights @ Eduardo Carvalho de Arruda Veiga, et al

The meta-analysis of the fertilization rate outcome in percentage (%) showed a positive effect of the melatonin treatment, as the difference between the melatonin treatment groups and the control groups was statistically significant ($p \le 0.00001$, I2 = 88%, Figure 3; risk ratio = 0.84 [0.79, 0.90]). The fertilization rate is an important indicator of reproductive outcomes, and the group of women who took melatonin had improved results compared to the group of women who did not take it (Figure 3).

	Melato	onin	No mela	atonin		Risk ratio (Non-event)	Risk ratio	(Non-event)		Ri	sk c	of B	ias	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% Cl	Α	в	с	D	Е	F
Espino 2019	67	100	51	100	10.7%	0.67 [0.48 , 0.95]	-•	_	?	?	?	•	•	•
Li 2021	7	100	6	100	20.6%	0.99 [0.92 , 1.06]		•	?	Ŧ	?	•	Ŧ	•
Nishihara 2014	7	100	3	100	21.3%	0.96 [0.90 , 1.02]			?	?	?	•	•	?
Tamura 2016	57	100	43	100	12.5%	0.75 [0.57 , 1.00]	-	-	?	?	?	?	÷	•
Tamura et al 2008	50	100	23	100	16.9%	0.65 [0.52, 0.81]			?	?	•	?	Ŧ	•
Unfer 2011	27	100	18	100	18.0%	0.89 [0.77 , 1.03]	· ·	•	?	?	?	Ŧ	Ŧ	?
Total (95% CI)		600		600	100.0%	0.84 [0.79 , 0.90]								
Total events:	215		144											
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 4	41.35, df =	5 (P < 0	.00001); l²	= 88%			0.01 0.1	1 10 100)					
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 4.92 (P	o < 0.000	01)				Favours decaf	Favours caffein	ie					
Test for subgroup diffe	rences: No	ot applica	ble											
Risk of bias legend														
(A) Random sequence	generatio	n (selecti	on bias)											
(B) Allocation concealr	ment (selec	ction bias	;)											
(C) Blinding of participa	ants and p	ersonnel	(performa	nce bias)										
(D) Incomplete outcom	ne data (att	trition bia	S)											
(E) Selective reporting	(reporting	bias)												
(F) Other bias														

Figure 3: Meta-analysis of fertilization rate in patients receiving and not receiving melatonin

In the only four studies addressing follicle count, melatonin had positive effects on the growth of follicles as shown by $p \le 0.00001$, I2 = 85% (Figure S2), which point to statistical significance.

	Exp	perimenta	al		Control			Mean difference	Mean dif	fference		Ri	sk	of E	lias	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed	, 95% CI	Α	в	С	D	E	F
Batioglu et al 2012	12	6	43	10.91	4	43	5.3%	1.09 [-1.07 , 3.25]			?	•	•	?	?	•
Espinola 2020	4.21	2.11	50	4.61	2.11	50	35.7%	-0.40 [-1.23 , 0.43]			•	?	Ŧ	?	Ŧ	?
Jahromi 2017	5.38	2.37	37	3.71	2.71	39	18.7%	1.67 [0.53 , 2.81]			•	Ŧ	Ŧ	•	•	•
Ma 2018	12.73	5.27	33	7.68	4.26	267	7.0%	5.05 [3.18 , 6.92]			?	?	?	•	•	?
Unfer 2011	3.11	1.45	23	2.35	1.51	23	33.4%	0.76 [-0.10 , 1.62]	•	•	?	?	?	4	•	?
Total (95% CI)			186			422	100.0%	0.83 [0.34 , 1.33]								
Heterogeneity: Chi2 =	30.23, df =	4 (P < 0.	00001); l²	= 87%												
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.30 (P	= 0.0010))					-100	0 -50 0	50 100						
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: No	t applicat	ble					Favours [e	xperimental]	Favours [control]]					
Risk of bias legend (A) Random sequence (B) Allocation conceal (C) Blinding of particip (D) Incomplete outcom (E) Selective reporting (F) Other bias	e generation ment (select pants and p ne data (att g (reporting	n (selection ction bias ersonnel rition bias bias)	on bias)) (performa ;)	nce bias)												

Figure S2: Meta-analysis of antral follicle count in patients receiving and not receiving melatonin

Five studies measured the mature oocyte (MII). Statistically significant values were found, and they are p = 0.001, I2 = 87% (Figure S1). The MII oocyte is the female germ cell in an ideal state of maturation for fertilization.

	Experimental			Control				Mean differenc	e Mean d	Mean difference			Risk of Bia				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% 0	I IV, Fixed	d, 95% CI	Α	в	с	D	Е	F	
Li et al 2019	16.89	4.97	26	13.26	4.75	31	30.1%	3.63 [1.09 , 6.	17]		?	?	?	•	•	•	
Ma 2018	24.82	8.11	33	16.31	8.86	267	22.0%	8.51 [5.55 , 11.	47]	•	?	?	?	•	•	?	
Zheng 2017	19.01	21.12	20	11.01	10.51	22	1.8%	8.00 [-2.25 , 18.	25]		Ŧ	Ŧ	?	?	Ŧ	•	
Zheng 2019	21.01	3.87	26	10.01	4.01	31	46.1%	11.00 [8.95 , 13.	05]	-	÷	Ŧ	?	?	÷	•	
Total (95% CI)			105			351	100.0%	8.18 [6.79 , 9.	57]	+							
Heterogeneity: Chi2 =	19.65, df =	3 (P = 0.0	0002); l² :	= 85%						l'							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 11.52 (P < 0.000	01)						-100 -50	0 50 100							
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: No	t applicat	ole					Fai	ours [experimental]	Favours [control]							
Risk of bias legend																	
(A) Random sequence	e generatior	n (selectio	on bias)														
(B) Allocation conceal	Iment (selec	tion bias))														
(C) Blinding of particip	pants and p	ersonnel	(performa	ince bias)													
(D) Incomplete outcor	me data (att	rition bias	5)														

(E) Selective reporting (reporting bias)

(F) Other bias

Figure S1: Meta-analysis of mature oocyte outcome (MII) in patients receiving and not receiving melatonin

A meta-analysis of maternal age in years was performed in 11 studies, and no statistically significant difference was found (p = 0.64 and I2 = 64%). Body mass index (kg/m2) was a sociodemographic variable analyzed in 8 studies, with no significant differences as outcome (p = 0.59, I2 = 77%). A third sociodemographic variable was women's infertility time, and it approached the significance level at best (p = 0.06, I2 = 0%).

In short, melatonin had beneficial effects as shown by the increased fertilization rate and other outcomes of the reproductive process. The clinical pregnancy rates, however, were not significantly different in the group comparison.

Discussion

Our main findings were that women who took melatonin had an improved fertilization rate and reaped other benefits from assisted reproductive technologies. However, melatonin intake did not result in a higher clinical pregnancy rate.

Of the 17 studies selected for this systematic review, only two articles, those by Li et al, 2019 (26) and Zhang et al, 2022 (27), deal directly with the subject of ovarian hyperstimulation and the way in which melatonin can be a hormone that aids reproductive results. Li et al, 2019, concluded that melatonin produced by the follicular follicle helps predict OHSS, while Zhang et al, 2020, took a deeper approach. They demonstrated how melatonin, in addition to having anti-apoptotic properties, can improve oxidative stress in OHSS and concluded that it can indeed prevent OHSS. In a recent study by Hu et al, 2020, promising results were obtained, but they differ from those of our meta-analysis, in which the clinical pregnancy rate improved with the administration of melatonin to patients with an OR of 1.43. However, their study comprised only articles with an RTC design, an advantage offset mainly by the low-quality bias and the heterogeneity of the articles.

Some recent studies demonstrate that melatonin either from follicular fluid, granulosa cells, or exogenous sources has important roles concerning the quality of oocytes. It can delay the aging of the ovaries and their functions and improve the antioxidant properties of the oocytes, leading to improved reproductive outcomes such as an improved fertilization rate (5) (28, 29, 30, 31). The strength of our work lies in demonstrating through meta-analysis that variables analyzed at the onset of assisted reproduction techniques improved to benefit the women who used exogenous melatonin. On the other hand, in the author's judgement, an important limitation is that in nearly half of the studies the risk of bias was unclear or was not mentioned, impairing the quality of the studies. Another limitation, and the most important one, is that there was no difference in the clinical pregnancy rate between the groups. Further, there were not enough studies among the selected articles to analyze the main variable of assisted reproduction, namely the rate of live births.

Limitations of the study

This study has two main limitations. First, it is a systematic review and as such there is no data collection. Also, the results are those of previously published articles. Second, with respect to the meta-analysis of the clinical rate of pregnancy variable, there is no statistical difference between the use and the nonuse of melatonin, despite its beneficial molecular and cellular effects as judged by the values presented in the articles.

Conclusion and future perspectives

Melatonin is not a substance that has been used frequently in assisted reproduction. However, it has the following advantages for use in clinical practice: it is low cost; it is commercially available; it is a hormone produced by our own body and thus has no side effects; it has, as one of its main physiological actions, the capacity to reduce the oxidative stress of oocytes, but due to the few existing studies, this feature is still being overshadowed by the main results of human reproduction, such as clinical pregnancy rate and live birth rate. Therefore, we suggest that this line of research into melatonin use in assisted reproductive technologies be expanded with double-blind randomized multicenter studies. Through a systematic review and meta-analysis, we have demonstrated that melatonin improves the outcomes of assisted reproductive technologies, but that it has no influence on clinical pregnancy. Additional studies, such as double-blind randomized clinical trials with many participants, are needed, particularly as regards melatonin action on ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding: No funding.

References

- Graham ME, Jelin A, Hoon AH, Wilms Floet AM, Levey E, et al. (2023). Assisted reproductive technology: Short- and longterm outcomes. Vol. 65, Developmental Medicine, and Child Neurology. 65(1), p. 38–49.
- 2. Zhang Q, Ma Y, Bu X, Jia C, Liu Y, et al. (2022). Comparison of bromocriptine and hydroxyethyl starch in the prevention of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome. *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics*. 159(3):944–950.
- Mourad S, Brown J, Farquhar C. (2017). Interventions for the prevention of OHSS in ART cycles: An overview of Cochrane reviews. Vol. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 1(1):CD012103.
- 4. Reiter RJ, Tan DX, Rosales-Corral S, Galano A, Zhou XJ, et al. (2018). Mitochondria: Central organelles for melatonins antioxidant and anti-Aging actions. Molecules. 23(2):509.
- Tamura H, Jozaki M, Tanabe M, Shirafuta Y, Mihara Y, et al. (2020). Importance of melatonin in assisted reproductive technology and ovarian aging. Vol. 21, *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 21(3):1135.
- Cheng JC, Fang L, Li Y, Wang S, Li Y, et al. (2020). Melatonin stimulates aromatase expression and estradiol production in human granulosa-lutein cells: relevance for high serum estradiol levels in patients with ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome. Exp Mol Med. 52(8):1341–1350.
- 7. Yong W, Ma H, Na M, Gao T, Zhang Y, et al. (2021). Roles of melatonin in the field of reproductive medicine. Biomed Pharmacotherapy. 144:112001.
- Cosme P, Rodríguez AB, Garrido M, Espino J. (2023). Coping with Oxidative Stress in Reproductive Pathophysiology and Assisted Reproduction: Melatonin as an Emerging Therapeutical Tool. Vol. 12, Antioxidants. 12(1):86.
- 9. Li Y, Fang L, Yu Y, Shi H, Wang S, et al. (2019). Higher melatonin in the follicle fluid and MT2 expression in the granulosa cells contribute to the OHSS occurrence. *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology*. 12;17(1).
- Zheng M, Jiao S, Liu M, Zhang C. (2023). Melatonin ameliorates ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) through SESN2 regulated antiapoptosis. *Obstet Gynecology* Int. 1121227.
- 11. Tamura H, Takasaki A, Miwa I, Taniguchi K, Maekawa R, et al. (2008). Oxidative stress impairs oocyte quality and melatonin protects oocytes from free radical damage and improves fertilization rate. *J Pineal Res.* 44(3):280–287.
- 12. Liu XJ. (2015). Targeting oocyte maturation to improve fertility in older women. Cell and Tissue Research. 363(1):57-68.
- Ge ZJ, Schatten H, Zhang CL, Sun QY. (2015). Oocyte ageing and epigenetics. Reproduction. 149(3): R103–114.
- Berstock JR, Whitehouse MR. (2019). How to prepare and manage a systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical studies. Vol. 4, EFORT Open Reviews. 4(5):213-220.

- 15. Hennessy EA, Johnson BT, Keenan C. (2019). Best Practice Guidelines and Essential Methodological Steps to Conduct Rigorous and Systematic Meta-Reviews. Appl Psychol Health Well Being. 11(3):353–381.
- 16. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, et al. (2020). The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Syst Rev. 2021 375: n71.
- 17. Higgins JPT, Thomas J (Professor of SR and P, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T (Writer on evidence-based medicine), Page MJ, et al. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. 694 p.
- Fernando S, Osianlis T, Vollenhoven B, Wallace E, Rombauts L. (2014). A pilot double-blind randomised placebo-controlled dose-response trial assessing the effects of melatonin on infertility treatment (MIART): study protocol. BMJ Open. 4(8): e005986.
- Batiioğlu AS, Şahin U, Grlek B, Öztrk N, Ünsal E. (2012). The efficacy of melatonin administration on oocyte quality. *Gynecological Endocrinology*. 28(2):91–93.
- 20. Nishihara T, Hashimoto S, Ito K, Nakaoka Y, Matsumoto K, et al. (2014). Oral melatonin supplementation improves oocyte and embryo quality in women undergoing in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer. *Gynecological Endocrinology*. 30(5):359–362.
- Jahromi BN, Sadeghi S, Alipour S, Mohammad; Parsanezhad E, et al. (2017). Effect of Melatonin on the Outcome of Assisted Reproductive Technique Cycles in Women with Diminished Ovarian Reserve: A Double-Blinded Randomized Clinical Trial. *Irian J Med* Sci. 42(1):73-78.
- 22. Jing T, Shile S, Sun Y, Li H, Li WP, et al. (2017). Melatonin levels in follicular fluid as markers for IVF outcomes and predicting ovarian reserve. *Reproduction*. 153(4):443–451.
- Espino J, Macedo M, Lozano G, Ortiz Á, Rodríguez C, et al. (2019). Impact of melatonin supplementation in women with unexplained infertility undergoing fertility treatment. Antioxidants. ;8(9):338.
- Zheng M, Tong J, Li WP, Chen ZJ, Zhang C. (2018). Melatonin concentration in follicular fluid is correlated with antral follicle count (AFC) and in vitro fertilization (IVF) outcomes in women undergoing assisted reproductive technology (ART) procedures. *Gynecological Endocrinology*. 34(5):446–450.
- 25. Unfer V, Raffone E, Rizzo P, Buffo S. (2011). Effect of a supplementation with myo-inositol plus melatonin on oocyte quality in women who failed to conceive in previous in vitro fertilization cycles for poor oocyte quality: A prospective, longitudinal, cohort study. Gynecological Endocrinology. 27(11):857–861.
- 26. Tong J, Sheng S, Sun Y, Li H, Li WP, et al. (2017). Melatonin levels in follicular fluid as markers for IVF outcomes and predicting ovarian reserve. Reproduction.153(4):443-451.
- 27. Ma T, Niu Y, Wei B, Xu L, Zou L, et al. (2020). Moderate-tosevere ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome: A retrospective

Copy rights @ Eduardo Carvalho de Arruda Veiga, et al

multivariate logistic regression analysis in Chinese patients. Adv Clin Exp Med.29(1):85-90.

- Fernando S, Wallace EM, Rombauts L, White N, Hong J, et al, (2020). The effect of melatonin on ultrasound markers of follicular development: A double-blind placebo-controlled randomised trial. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecology*. 60(1):141-148.
- 29. Li X, Mu Y, Elshewy N, Ding D, Zou H, et al. (2021). Comparison of IVF and IVM outcomes in the same patient treated with a modified IVM protocol along with an oocytesmaturing system containing melatonin: A pilot study. Life Sci. 264:118706.
- Zheng M, Jiao S, Liu M, Zhang C. (2023). Melatonin ameliorates ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) through SESN2 regulated antiapoptosis. 1121227.
- 31. Li Y, Fang L, Yu Y, Shi H, Wang S, et al. (2019). Higher melatonin in the follicle fluid and MT2 expression in the granulosa cells contribute to the OHSS occurrence. *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology*. 17(1):37.
- 32. Reiter RJ, Sharma R, Romero A, Manucha W, Tan DX, et al. (2023). Aging-Related Ovarian Failure and Infertility: Melatonin to the Rescue. Antioxidants. 12(3):695.
- 33. Guo YM, Sun TC, Wang HP, Chen X. (2021). Research progress of melatonin (MT) in improving ovarian function: a review of the current status. Aging 13(13):17930-17947.
- Kloss JD, Perlis ML, Zamzow JA, Culnan EJ, Gracia CR. (2015). Sleep, sleep disturbance, and fertility in women. Sleep Med Rev. 78-87.
- 35. Bezerra Espinola MS, Bilotta G, Aragona C. (2021). Positive effect of a new supplementation of vitamin D3 with myoinositol, folic acid and melatonin on IVF outcomes: a prospective randomized and controlled pilot study. *Gynecology Endocrinol.* 37(3):251-254.
- Wdowiak A, Filip M. (2020). The effect of myo-inositol, vitamin D3 and melatonin on the oocyte quality and pregnancy in in vitro fertilization: a randomized prospective controlled trial. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 24(16):8529-8536.
- 37. Li X, Mu Y, Elshewy N, Ding D, Zou H, Chen B, et al. (2021). Comparison of IVF and IVM outcomes in the same patient treated with a modified IVM protocol along with an oocytesmaturing system containing melatonin: A pilot study. Life Sci.; 264:118706.
- Zheng M, Liu M, Zhang C (2023). Melatonin Ameliorates Ovarian Hyperstimulation Syndrome (OHSS) through SESN2 Regulated Antiapoptosis. *Obstet Gynecology* Int. 1121227.
- Hu KL, Ye X, Wang S, Zhang D. (2020). Melatonin Application in Assisted Reproductive Technology: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Trials. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 11:160. Erratum in: Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 11:333.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

To Submit Your Article, Click Here:

Submit Manuscript

DOI:10.31579/2578-8965/196

Ready to submit your research? Choose Auctores and benefit from:

- ➢ fast, convenient online submission
- > rigorous peer review by experienced research in your field
- > rapid publication on acceptance
- > authors retain copyrights
- > unique DOI for all articles
- immediate, unrestricted online access

At Auctores, research is always in progress.

Learn more <u>https://www.auctoresonline.org/journals/obstetrics-gynecology-and-reproductive-sciences</u>