Kalyani Raju *

Profile of Haematological Parameters in Covid-19 Positive Cases -A Cross Sectional Study

Priyanka P Kamarthi ¹, Prabhakar Kamarthi ², Kalyani Raju ^{2*}

¹ Resident Medical Officer, New Kamadhenu Hospital, Kolar. 563102. Karnataka. India.

² Consultant Physician, New Kamadhenu Hospital, Kolar. 563102. Karnataka. India.

*Corresponding Author: Kalyani Raju, Consultant Pathologist, New Kamadhenu Hospital, Kolar. 563102. Karnataka. India.

Received Date: July 11, 2023 | Accepted Date: August 24, 2023 | Published Date: August 31, 2023

Citation: Priyanka P. Kamarthi, Kamarthi P., Raju K., (2023), Profile of Haematological Parameters in Covid-19 Positive Cases - A Cross Sectional Study, *Journal of Clinical and Laboratory Research*. 6(2); **DOI:**10.31579/2768-0487/110

Copyright: © 2023, Kalyani Raju. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS–CoV–2), a fast spreading and disastrous global pandemic. We have studied changes in haematology parameters in COVID-19 infected patients.

Objective / Aim: To assess haematological Parameters in COVID positive cases and analyse difference in profile of haematological parameters between categories of COVID positive cases.

Methods

This is a laboratory observation retrospective study. All COVID RT-PCR (Real Time Polymerase Chain Reaction) Positive cases from June 2020 to May 2022 was considered for the study. The haematology parameters of cases including blood group and ESR were captured from hospital records and analysed for the difference between mild (Category A), moderate (Category B) and severe cases (Category C). Statistical analysis was done using SPSS software version 22. p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Total WBC count, absolute neutrophil count (ANC), absolute lymphocyte count (ALC), absolute eosinophil count (AEC), absolute monocyte count (AMC), platelet count, neutrophil lymphocyte ratio (NLR), monocyte lymphocyte ratio (MLR), eosinophil lymphocyte ratio (ELR) and platelet lymphocyte ratio (PLR) between the three categories of cases were statistically significant. The commonest blood group was O positive and majority of O positive cases were in Category C. 81.9% cases tested for ESR showed raised ESR.

Conclusion

Haematology parameters can be considered to predict progression of the disease and assess prognosis in COVID-19 infection. Total WBC count, ANC, ALC, AEC, AMC, platelet count, NLR, MLR, ELR and PLR can be considered while monitoring COVID-19 cases.

Key words: blood group in COVID; COVID-19; ESR in COVID; haematological parameters; SARS-CoV-2

Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS–CoV–2), a fast spreading and disastrous global pandemic [1]. In December 2019, an outburst of COVID-19 occurred, caused by SARS-CoV-2 in Wuhan, China and briskly infected people across the globe. The novel virus was named as SARS-CoV-2 2019 by World Health Organization (WHO) due to 79% resemblance with SARS-CoV [2]. Corona virus disease 2019 (COVID-19) clinical features ranges from flu-like symptoms to multiple organ failure and death [3].

Various studies have indicated that the haematological parameters are markers of disease severity and advocated that the parameters arbitrate the progression of the disease.[4] There are less published information about the changes in haematology parameters in COVID-19 infected patients.[5]

Ministry of Health and family welfare guidelines has classified COVID-19 as Mild (Category A), Moderate (Category B) and Severe (Category C) categories. Category C COVID is defined as the patients having fever \geq 38°C (100.4°F), respiratory rate (RR) >30 breaths/min; severe respiratory distress;

J. Clinical and Laboratory Research

or pulse oximeter oxygen saturation $\leq 90\%$ in room air and neutrophil lymphocyte ratio (NLR) of >5. Category A patients are asymptomatic/patients with mild symptoms with RR <24/m, SpO2 >94% in room air and NLR 1-3. Category B patients are symptomatic patient with mild to moderate pneumonia with no signs of severe disease, RR 24-30/m or SPO2 90%-94% at room air and NLR 4-5.[6] Few studies have comprehensively analyzed haematological parameters among patients with Category A, B and C COVID-19 infection.[7] Various studies stated that decreased platelet count is associated with severe COVID-19 and a higher mortality rate.[8,9,10,11,12] So finding efficacious haematological parameters for risk stratification and predicting prognosis is a prime concern.

This is a retrospective analysis to unearth the role of the haematological parameters in COVID-19. Interpreting the changes in haematological parameters in SARS–CoV–2 infected patients may be helpful in understanding the pathophysiology of the disorder and could contribute timely clues to diagnosis and hopefully predicts the prognosis.

Materials and methods

This is a laboratory observation retrospective cross section study for duration of six months from June 2020 to May 2022 performed at New Kamadhenu Hospital, a health care center, in Kolar, Karnataka. The objectives of the study were to assess haematological parameters in COVID positive cases and analyse difference in profile of haematological parameters between three categories of COVID positive cases. All the cases positive for COVID test by RT-PCR (Real Time Polymerase Chain Reaction) was considered for the study. The sociodemographic data of the all cases was captured from hospital records.

Data of haematological parameters (complete blood count) along with blood group and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) was captured from laboratory for each case. Neutrophil lymphocyte ratio (NLR), monocyte lymphocyte ratio (MLR), Eosinophil lymphocyte ratio (ELR) and platelet lymphocyte ratio (PLR) was calculated from the absolute values of each parameter of the case. All the cases were classified into three categories as per the Ministry of Health and family welfare guidelines as Category A, Category B and Category C. Alteration in haematological parameters, blood groups and ESR between categories was noted.

The Data was entered in Microsoft excel sheet. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS software version 22. Continuous variables were expressed as the mean and standard deviation. Categorical variables were presented as counts and percentages in each category. The unpaired Student's t-test was used for continuous variables. Chi-square (χ) and Fisher's exact tests was used for categorical variables. p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Total number of cases analysed was 410 of which 284 (69.2%) cases were males and 126 (38.2%) females with male to female ratio of 2.2:1. Majority of cases were in the age group of 51-60 years (23.9%) and 41-50 years (21.7%) (Table 1).

Age group	No of cases (n%)	Males (n%)	Females (n%)
1 month-10 years	06 (1.4%)	5 (83.3%)	1 (16.66%)
10-20 years	13 (3.1%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.6%)
20-30 years	38 (9.2%)	22 (57.8%)	16 (42%)
30-40 years	59 (14.3%)	47 (79.6%)	12 (20.4%)
41-50 years	89 (21.7%)	65 (73%)	24 (27%)
51-60 years	98 (23.9%)	68 (69.3%)	30 (20.3%)
61-70 years	67 (16.3%)	49 (73.1%)	18 (26.8%)
71-80 years	29 (7.2%)	20 (68.9%)	9 (31.1%)
81-90 years	09 (2.1%)	5 (55.55%)	4 (44.44%)
91-100 years	02 (0.4%)	01(50%)	01 (50%)
Total	410 (100%)	284(69.2%)	126 (30.8%)
Table 1: Age a	and sex distribution an	mong cases in th	e present study

The minimum age was two months and maximum age was 95 years among cases. The mean age among males was 45 years and in females 22 years.

Majority of cases were in Category A (46.3%) followed by Category B (28.6%) and then Category C (25.1%) (Table 2).

Category	No of cases	% of cases
Category A	190	46.3%
Category B	117	28.6%
Category C	103	25.1%
Total	410	100%

Table 2: Distribution of cases category wise in the present study

Mean values of red blood cells (RBC) parameters as RBC count, mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC) and red cell diameter width

(RDW) between three categories of cases were not statistically significant by unpaired student's t-test (Table 3). Only 16 and 4 cases showed MCV ranging from 57-77 fL and 102-104 fL respectively.

RBC Parameters	Category A n=103	Category B n=117	Category C n=190	p value
Count (million/mm3)	4.70±0.889	4.72±0.891	4.75±0.91	0.881
MCV (fl)	91.55±5.89	91.45±4.25	91.35±4.15	0.594
MCH (pg)	30.24±2.63	30.32±1.54	30.42±1.62	0.902
MCHC (g/dl)	32.26±2.62	34.26±2.42	33.26±2.52	0.901
RDW (%)	15.03±3.34	14.68±2.04	14.71 ±2.04	0.682

Table 3: Distribution of mean values of RBC parameters among three categories of cases

Anaemia was noted only in 53 cases (12.9%). Median values of haemoglobin, total white blood cells (WBC) count, differential leukocyte count and platelet count was analysed between three categories of cases

using chi square test / Fisher's exact test. In addition, median values of NLR, MLR, ELR and PLR was analysed between three categories of cases using chi square test / Fisher's exact test. The total WBC count, absolute neutrophil

J. Clinical and Laboratory Research

Copy rights @ Kalyani Raju,

count (ANC), absolute lymphocyte count (ALC), absolute eosinophil count (AEC), absolute monocyte count (AMC), platelet count, NLR, MLR, ELR

and PLR between the three categories of cases were statistically significant (Table 4).

Blood Parameters	Category A n=103	Category B n=117	Category C n=190	p value
Haemoglobin (g/dl)	12.6 (11.2-13.2)	11.9 (10.9-12.8)	11.8 (10.8-12.9)	0.1012
Total WBC Count	5.88 (4.40 - 8.27)	5.02 (3.92- 6.22)	5.84 (4.34-8.24)	<0.0001
(Thousand/mm3)				
ANC 10° cells per L	3.49 (2.37–4.39)	4.39 (2.97-6.33)	8.28 (5.30–12.12)	<0.0001
ALC 10° cells per L	1.8 (0.90–1.59)	0.98 (0.59–1.36)	0.74 (0.30-0.91)	<0.0001
AEC 10° cells per L	0.02 (0.01-0.08)	0.03 (0.01-0.11)	0.05 (0.03-0.12)	<0.0001
ABC 10° cells per L	0.01(0.01-0.03)	0.01(0.01-0.03)	0.01(0.01-0.03)	0.38
AMC 10° cells per L	0.49(0.31-0.63)	0.48(0.34-0.62)	0.51(0.32-0.64)	0.0020
Platelet count	188.00 (145.50-249.50)	247.00 (142.5-328.00)	115.00 (55.75-200.75)	<0.0001
NLR	2.87 (1.69-4.08)	4.91 (2.62–7.78)	17.02 (6.49–24.79)	<0.0001
MLR	0.52 (0.1-3.6)	0.56 (0.1-3.8)	0.58 (0.1-3.8)	0.0040
ELR	0.05 (0-0.15)	0.06 (0-1.2)	0.08 (0-1.4)	<0.0001
PLR	89.1(10.81-1304.1)	158.1(10.81-1304.1)	288.1(10.81-1304.1)	<0.0001
Fable 4: Distribution of median values of haemoglobin, WBC and platelet parameters among three categories of case				

Blood grouping was performed in 74 cases out of 410 cases of which majority were O positive cases (37.8%) followed by B positive (24.3%) and then A positive (20.2%). Majority of cases of O positive (n=18) were in Category C (Table 5). ESR was performed for 157 cases out of 410 of which majority of cases (14%) had ESR between 11-20 mm/hour (Table 6). Out of 157 cases, 127 cases (81.9%) showed raised ESR.

Blood Group	No of cases	% of cases	Category	Category wise distribution		
			A (n)	B (n)	C (n)	
O Positive	28	37.8%	4	6	18	
O Negative	05	6.7%	2	2	1	
A Positive	15	20.2%	5	3	7	
A Negative	02	2.70%	0	0	2	
B Positive	18	24.3%	3	7	8	
B Negative	01	1.35%	0	1	0	
AB Positive	05	6.7%	0	2	3	
Total	74	100%	14	21	39	

Table 5: Distribution of Blood Group among cases in the present study

ESR Range (mm/hour)	No of cases	% of cases
1-10	6	3.8%
11-20	22	14%
21-30	17	10.8%
31-40	12	7.64%
41-50	16	10.1%
51-60	17	10.8%
61-70	15	9.5%
71-80	12	7.64%
81-90	10	6.3%
91-100	9	5.7%
101-110	7	4.45%
111-120	2	1.2%
121-130	7	4.45%
131-140	1	0.63%
141-150	4	2.54%

Discussion

COVID-19 is a viral disease caused by a novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2. Haematology parameter abnormalities are noted in COVID-19 patients. In this study we have analysed RBC, WBC and platelet parameters between categories A, B and C of COVID RT-PCR positive cases.

In the present study all the RBC indices (Table 5) was normal except in 20 cases (4.8% cases) of which 16 cases showed MCV ranging from 57 to 77 fL and 4 cases showed MCV ranging from 102-104 fL. Other cases showed normal RBC indices. This finding was comparable to study done by Lu Chong et al which also showed decrease in MCV values in 9% cases.[13]

In present study anaemia was noted in 53 cases (12.9%). This finding was comparable to the study done by Helms J et al which also showed 12% cases with anaemia.[14] In a study by Tang et al, haemoglobin was decreased in 51% of cases with SARS-CoV-2 infection.[7] Decreased haemoglobin was reported to be more marked in Category C.[15] In a study by Zhou et al, no difference was observed in the incidence of anaemia between categories, but the haemoglobin decreased significantly in more severe cases.[8]

In a study by Li Q et al, 4.14% (17 cases) of the patients had leukopenia (<4.0×109 /L) whereas in a study by Liu et al leukopenia was observed in

J. Clinical and Laboratory Research

33% cases.[16] In the present study, total WBC count was decreased in Category B and C cases compared with Category A cases.

Liu J et al reported that most of the COVID-19 ICU cases had absolute neutrophilia which showed that neutrophilia is an indicator of disease progression.[17] In the present study 393 cases showed absolute neutrophilia (95% cases). The absolute neutrophilia between categories was statistically significant (p value <0.0001) with neutrophilia increasing from Category A to Category B to Category C. A study by Wu CM et al reported that, among the laboratory parameters of COVID-19 patients, 34.5% of patients with ARDS developed higher neutrophil count than those without ARDS and it was statistically significant (p value <0.001).[18] Increase in neutrophil count is usually associated with activation of neutrophils which is required for immune response to fight against the virus. However high neutrophil count results in cytokine storm which leads to severe disease with clinical manifestation.[18] Other studies report that neutrophilia is due to cytokine storm. Coronavirus binds to ACE-2 receptors present on type-2 pneumocytes and releases cytokines especially IL-6 causing cytokine storm which subsequently induces and recruits more neutrophils which could be one of the causes for neutrophilia. Increase in number of neutrophils causes suppression and reduction in other WBCs as lymphocytes, eosinophils and monocytes.[19]

The present study showed lymphopenia (<1.0×109/L) in 60.2% cases (247 cases). The ALC decreased from Category A to B to C with SARS and it was statistically significant (p value <0.0001). Usually, lymphopenia occurs with leucopenia. Lymphopenia can occur even with normal WBC count. Zhongnan Hospital affiliated to Wuhan university reported lymphopenia in 138 patients (70.3%) with COVID-19.[20] A study by Wu C et al reported that in 50% cases of COVID 19 initial laboratory investigation showed lymphopenia (64%).[18] Lymphocytes are the crucial antiviral cells. The lymphocyte count is reported to continuously decline in severe COVID ICU patients in a study by Zhang et al. [20] A study done by Singh et al suggests that the cause of lymphopenia could be due to expression of ACE-2 receptors on lymphocytes and resulting in lymphopenia.[21] Among the cases which showed lymphopenia in present the study, most of the cases were in Category C indicating the disease progression.

In the present study eosinopenia was observed in all categories of COVID-19 cases and eosinophil count was statistically significant between the categories with p value <0.001. In the present study 150 cases (36%) showed eosinopenia of which 130 cases (86%) were in Category C. A study by Yang M et al reported that eosinopenia was observed in severe COVID-19 cases and it improved on discharge of the patient. Thus, improvement of eosinopenia can serve as an indicator of improving clinical condition of the patient.[22]

In the present study basophil count was decreased in all categories of COVID -19 cases and was unrelated to severity of the disease. But study done by Sun Y et al showed lower basophil count in severe COVID-19 cases.[23]

In the present study monocytes were decreased in all categories of COVID-19 and was unrelated to the severity of COVID-19. But study by Sun S. et al showed monocytopenia in severe COVID-19 cases. The cause for monocytopenia can be due to increase in neutrophils following cytokine storm suppressing all other WBCs.[19]

A study by Tang N et al has reported thrombocytopenia in 12% COVID cases, thrombocytosis in 3.9% cases (>450×109/L) and rest of the cases showed normal platelet count.[7] In the present study Category C cases manifested with thrombocytopenia than non-severe cases (Category A and B Cases) and it was statistically significant (p value <0.001) (Table 4). Lung is recognized as an organ with probable hematopoietic function and predominant site for production of platelets, which accounts for fifty percent of the entire production of platelets. Large number of megakaryocytes release platelets in pulmonary circulation. Lungs are considered as pool for hematopoietic progenitor cells and megakaryocytes, suggesting that

Copy rights @ Kalyani Raju,

thrombocytopenia can be due to lung damage in COVID infection causing activation of reticular activating system (RAS) with improper functioning of vascular endothelial cells and coagulation system. This causes activation and aggregation of platelets leading to increase in consumption of platelets resulting in thrombocytopenia. Damaged pulmonary capillary beds lead to rupture of megakaryocyte and block platelet release affecting release of platelets into the pulmonary circulation resulting in decreased platelet synthesis and release into systemic circulation.[24]

It is reported that in severe COVID-19 cases lymphopenia occurs along with rise in neutrophil count and NLR can help in early prediction of the incidence of severe cases.[17] In the present study NLR ratio was 1-3 in Category A and increased subsequently in Category B and C which was statistically significant (p value <0.001) (Table 4). Thus, stratification of COVID-19 cases can be done with NLR and in the present study, it was observed that NLR can be useful as a predictive factor of severe illness.

In the present study MLR and ELR was statistically significant between the three categories (p value 0.0040 and 0.0001 respectively). However, there are no published studies regarding MLR and ELR parameter in COVID-19. Further research is required to assess the value of MLR and ELR parameter in COVID-19.

In present study PLR increased in Category B and C cases and it was statistically significant. PLR value increased with severity of the disease. A study by Erdogan A et al showed similar results of high PLR with severity of COVID. Hence PLR can be a useful marker for predicting severity of the disease and can be helpful prognostic indicator.[25]

In the Present study the most common blood group noted in COVID infected patients was O Positive in 28 cases (37.8%) and among 28 cases 18 were in Category C. Second most common blood group noted was B Positive in 18 cases (24.3%) of which 8 cases were in Category C. 15 cases (20.2%) were A positive of which 7 cases were in category C. O Negative and AB Positive blood group were 5 cases (6.7%) each. Our results were comparable to study done by Almadhi MA et al which showed out of 196 COVID-19 infected ICU patients 80 (40.82%) were of blood group O, 59 (30.10%) blood group B, 46 (23.47%) blood group A and 11 (5.61%) blood group AB. Of 2138 COVID-19 infected non-ICU patients, 980 (45.84%) were of blood group O, 585 (27.36%) blood group B, 467 (21.84%) blood group A and 106 (4.96%) blood group AB. There was no association between severity of COVID-19 infection and blood group or antibodies in their study (p value =0.603).[26] Further research is needed to evaluate the association between blood group and severity of COVID-19 infection. Very few studies are published in English literature regarding COVID-19 and blood groups.

In present study ESR was captured in 157 cases, of which 127 cases (81.9%) showed raised ESR. ESR is altered by shape and size red blood cells. ESR is also altered by plasma characteristics.[27] A study by Sheng Lan Pu et al reported raised ESR in their case report and explained the probable mechanism for increased ESR as, COVID-19 may lead to change of RBC form or change in characteristics of plasma induced by immune system. High level ESR presumably causes a negative impact on COVID-19 infected patients' prognosis.[28] ESR is considered as a precursor of Liver and Kidney dysfunction and hence can be considered as a prognostic marker in COVID-19.[29] But it is difficult to predict the prognosis of COVID-19 patients based only on ESR. Further research considering larger number of cases is required to study role of ESR in COVID -19 infected patients.

The limitations of the present study were, it is unicentric and a cross section study. Similar studies in multicentric health care centers with larger population yields better results to extrapolate the findings to patient care. However, in the present study, total WBC count, ANC, ALC, AEC, AMC, platelet count, NLR, MLR, ELR and PLR between the three categories of cases were statistically significant. The commonest blood group was O positive and majority of O positive cases were in Category C. 81.9% cases tested for ESR showed raised ESR.

Conclusion

Haematology parameters can be considered to predict progression of the disease and assess prognosis in COVID-19 infection. Total WBC count, ANC, ALC, AEC, AMC, platelet count, NLR, MLR, ELR and PLR can be considered while monitoring COVID-19 cases. O positive blood group cases and cases with raised ESR are to be monitored more carefully.

Acknowledgments: Nil.

Conflict of interest: Nil.

Funding Source: Nil.

References

- 1. Stomka A, Kowalewski M, Zekanowska E. (2020). Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): A short Review on Hematological Manifestations. *Pathogens*. 9: 493-512.
- 2. Xu XW, Wu XX, Jiang XG, Xu KJ, Ying LJ, et al. (2020). Clinical findings in a group of patients infected with the 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-Cov-2) outside of Wuhan, China: retrospective case series. *BMJ*; 368:788-792.
- Huang C, Wang Y, Li X. (2020). Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet*; 395: 497–506.
- 4. Ding X, Yu Y, Lu B, Huo J, Chen M, et al. (2020). Dynamic profile and clinical implications of haematological parameters in hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease. *CCLM*; 58: 1365-1371.
- 5. Wang DW, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu FF, Liu X, et al. (2020). Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus–infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*; 11: 1061–1069.
- Clinical Management Protocol: COVID-19 Government of India Ministry of Health and Family Welfare Directorate General of Health Services. (EMR Division).
- 7. Tang N, Li D, Wang X, Sun Z. (2020). Abnormal coagulation parameters are associated with poor prognosis in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. *J Thromb Haemost.* 18: 844–847.
- Zhou F, Yu T, Du R. (2020). Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet*; 395: 1054– 1062.
- 9. Zhang L, Yan X, Fan Q, et al. (2020). D-dimer levels on admission to predict in-hospital mortality in patients with Covid-19. *J Thromb Haemost*; 18: 1324–1329.
- Fogarty H, Townsend L, Ni Cheallaigh C, et al. (2020). COVID19 coagulopathy in Caucasian patients. Br J Haematol; 189: 1044–1049.
- 11. Liao D, Zhou F, Luo L, Xu M, Wang H, et al. (2020). Haematological characteristics and risk factors in the classification and prognosis evaluation of COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Haematol* 7: 671–678.
- 12. Li Q, Cao Y, Chen L, Yu J, Wang H, et al. (2020). Hematological features of Persons with COVID-19. *Virol* J. 34:2163-72
- 13. Chong L, Han YW, Xiao C, Zi LW, Shan M, et al. (2019). Clinical characteristics of 1327 patients with coronavirus

disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the largest Fangcang shelter hospital in Wuhan. 134: 241-242.

- Helms J, Tacquard C, Severac F, et al. (2020). High risk of thrombosis in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter prospective cohort study. *Intensive Care Med*; 46: 1089–1098.
- 15. National Health Commission & National Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine. (2020). Diagnosis and treatment protocol for novel coronavirus pneumonia (trial version 7). *Chin Med J*; 133: 1087–1095.
- Liu X, Zhang R, He G. (2019). Hematological findings in coronavirus disease 2019: indications of progression of disease. *Ann Hematol*;7: 1421-1428.
- Liu J-Y, Liu Y, Xiang P, Pu L, Xiong H-F, et al. (2020). Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio predicts severe illness patients with 2019 novel coronavirus in the early-stage. J Transl Med. 20:206-208.
- Wu CM, Chen XY, Cai YP, Xia JA, Zhou X, et al. (2020). Risk factors associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome and death in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA Intern Med. 7: 934-943.
- Sun S, Cal X, Wang H, He G, Lin Y. Abnormalities in peripheral blood system in patients with COVID-19, Wenzhou china. Clinica chemical Acta 2020; 507:174-180.
- 20. Zhang JJ, Dong X, Cao YY, Yuan YD, Yang YB, Yan YQ, et al. (2020). Clinical characteristics of 140 patients infected with SARSCoV-2 in Wuhan, China. *Allergy*; 1:1–12.
- 21. Singh A, Sood N, Narang V, Goyal A. (2020). Morphology of COVID-19 affected cells in peripheral blood film. *BMJ Case Resp*; 13: 236-237.
- 22. Yang M, Hon Kl, Li K, Fok TF. (2003). The effect of SARS coronavirus on blood system: Its clinical findings and pathophysiologic hypothesis Europe. *PMC*; 11: 217-221.
- 23. Sun Y, Zhou J, Ye K. (2021). White Blood Cells and Severe COVID-19: A Mendelian Randomization Study. *J Pers Med*; 11:195-207.
- 24. Wu YP, Wei R, Liu ZH, et al. (2006). Analysis of thrombotic factors in severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) patients. *Thromb Haemost*; 96: 100–101.
- 25. Erdogan A, Can FE, Gonullu H. (2021). Evaluation of the prognostic role of NLR, LMR, PLR and LCR ration in COVID-19 patients. *J Med Virol*; 93:5555-5559.
- 26. Almadhi MA, Abdulrahman A, Alawadhi A, Rabaan AA, Atkin S, et al. (2021). The effect of ABO blood group and antibody class on the risk of COVID-19 infection and severity of clinical outcomes. *Sci Rep*; 11: 5745-5748.
- 27. Ramsay ES, Lerman MA. (2015). How to use the erythrocyte sedimentation rate in paediatrics. *Arch Dis Child Educ Pract Ed*; 100: 30–36.
- 28. Pu LS, Zhnag YX, Liu DS, Ye NB, Li JQ. (2021). Explained elevation of erythrocyte sedimentation rate in a patient recovering from COVID-19: A case report. *World J Clin Cases.* 9: 1394-1401.
- 29. Stojan G, Fang H, Magder L, Petri M. (2013). Erythrocyte sedimentation rate is a predictor of renal and overall SLE disease activity. *Lupus*. 22: 827–834.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

To Submit Your Article Click Here:

Submit Manuscript

DOI:10.31579/2768-0487/110

Ready to submit your research? Choose Auctores and benefit from:

- ➢ fast, convenient online submission
- > rigorous peer review by experienced research in your field
- > rapid publication on acceptance
- > authors retain copyrights
- > unique DOI for all articles
- immediate, unrestricted online access

At Auctores, research is always in progress.

Learn more https://auctoresonline.org/journals/journal-of-clinical-and-laboratory-research-