

Assessment of Oral Hygiene Practice and Associated Factors among Middle-aged People in a Rural Municipality, Nepal

Maheshor Kaphle ^{1*}, Achel Tamang ², Rajesh Karki ³, Nirmala Regmi ⁴, Kishor Adhikari ⁵, Mangesh Bajracharya ⁶

¹ Department of Public Health, Peoples Dental College and Hospital, Kathmandu

² Department of Public Health, CiST College, Kathmandu

³ Department of Public Health, Yeti Health science Academy, Kathmandu

⁴ Department of Oncology, Kanti Children's Hospital, Kathmandu

⁵ Department of Community Medicine, School of Public Health, Chitwan Medical College, Chitwan, Nepal

⁶ Department of Dentistry, Peoples Dental College and Hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal.

***Corresponding Author:** Maheshor Kaphle; Associate Professor of Public Health, Peoples Dental college and Hospital, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu.

Received date: August 11, 2023; **Accepted date:** August 17, 2023; **Published date:** August 22, 2023

Citation: Maheshor Kaphle, Achel Tamang, Rajesh Karki, Nirmala Regmi, Kishor Adhikari (2023), Assessment of Oral Hygiene Practice and Associated Factors among Middle-aged People in a Rural Municipality, Nepal, *J Clinical Research and Reports*, 14(1); DOI:10.31579/2690-1919/333

Copyright: © 2023, Maheshor Kaphle. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Good oral hygiene practice helps to prevent oral cavity diseases and dental problems.

Objectives: This study is aimed to assess oral hygiene practices and their associated factors among middle-aged people in a rural municipality of central Nepal.

Methods: This study collected data from 291 middle-aged adults in rural Nepal using a semi-structured questionnaire. The data was entered into Epi-data 3.1 and analyzed using SPSS 22. An oral hygiene score was calculated based on good oral hygiene practices, and chi-square tests and logistic regression models were used to measure associations between variables. Adjusted odds ratios were calculated to measure net effects, with a *p*-value of 0.05 considered significant.

Result: The study found that the majority of respondents (80%) brushed their teeth once a day, with over half (56.7%) having poor oral hygiene practices. The majority (60%) changed their toothbrush every three months, and most (98%) used toothpaste but did not know about fluoride's benefits. A significant association was found between age, sex, education, dental caries, toothache, and regular dental visits with oral hygiene practices. Females had three times higher odds of good oral hygiene practices than males, literate individuals had higher odds of good oral hygiene.

Conclusions: The study found that most respondents brushed their teeth once a day, with over half having poor oral hygiene practices. Gender, education, and toothache were associated with good oral hygiene practices. The study suggests the need for oral health services and hygiene awareness programs in rural areas.

Keywords: adults; dental caries; nepal; oral hygiene; rural population

Introduction:

The experience of pain, and problems with eating, chewing, smiling, and communication due to lost discolored, or damaged teeth have a major impact on people's daily lives and well-being [1]. Oral diseases are still public health problems with high prevalence and incidence all around the world [2]. Poor oral hygiene practice leads to periodontal disease which is one of the most frequent dental problems [3]. Oral health problems are

mostly preventable through good oral hygiene habits and regular preventive dental visits [4]. Cleanliness of teeth, gum, tongue, and all part of the oral cavity is considered oral hygiene[5]. Proper oral hygiene is important for preventing oral and dental disease including gingivitis periodontal diseases and dental caries[6, 7]. Good oral hygiene practices promote a good personality, prevent oral cavity diseases, and promote

quality of life[8]. So, good oral hygiene is the baseline foundation for controlling more than 80% of oral diseases[6]. Oral health problems due to poor oral hygiene practices are considered many other co-morbidities such as offensive breath, cardiovascular diseases, respiratory diseases, kidney diseases, and oral cancers [9-11]. Oro-pharyngeal cancers are the most serious oral health problems which cause more death than cervical cancer[12].

The most important oral health global burden of disease in oral health is due to periodontal diseases and dental caries among industrialized developed countries as well as other developing countries [1]. Oral diseases affect nearly 3.5 billion people. Untreated dental caries in permanent teeth is the most common health condition according to the Global Burden of Disease 2019[13]. Globally, the greatest burden of oral diseases lies on disadvantaged and poor populations [14]. Poor oral hygiene can have an adverse effect on the overall health and quality of life of a person[15]. Nearly every adult has at least one dental caries, almost 30% of people in the world aged between 65 to 80 do not have any natural teeth left and more than 50% of the school children have at least one dental caries [16]. Most people practice cleaning their teeth at least once a day in Nepal and a substantial number of the population use fluoridated toothpaste [17]. According to Nepal national pathfinder survey, the prevalence of dental caries among adults aged 35-49 years was 57.5% and those aged >50 years were 69.6%[18]. People in rural areas have comparatively poor oral hygiene practices[19] and have high oral care needs[20]. According to the study in Nepal, more than fifty percent of the participant have below-average practice in oral hygiene (55.8%) and only 44.2% of the participant have above-average practice in oral hygiene [21]. Another study conducted in the Kaski district of Nepal showed that education is an important factor associated with oral health status[22]. Individuals with higher education had significantly higher odds of getting good oral hygiene practices compared to those with lower education levels[23].

This study was conducted in a rural municipality, where the majority of the population had little to no knowledge about good oral hygiene practices, and very few practiced dental flossing on a daily basis. People tended to visit a dentist only when they experienced severe pain or toothache. Moreover, the majority of the people in this study area belonged to marginalized castes in Nepal. The study revealed that poor and marginalized people were more likely to experience oral health problems in Nepal [18]. They consume alcohol and are addicted to smoking for enjoyment, to get drunk, to escape problems, or for ritualistic reasons [24]. Additionally, most studies have focused on school children in urban areas, with very few community-based studies conducted in rural areas and among marginalized ethnic groups. Therefore, the aim of this study is to assess oral hygiene practices and their associated factors among middle-aged people in a rural municipality in the Dhading district of Nepal.

Methods and materials

2.1. Study area and period: The study was conducted in a community setting in the central part of the country, Netrawati Dabjong rural municipality, Bagmati province, Dhading district from May to October 2022. This rural municipality was 85 km northwest of the capital city. The majority of the community are Tamang tribal ethnic group, thus this rural municipality was chosen for study. Tamang community is marginalized, has low socioeconomic poor health status, and low literacy group, so this group was taken for study[25, 26]. Groups are coded as the Janjati group in this study. Two wards among five were selected randomly by lottery method.

2.2. Study design, participants, and variables: Community-based quantitative cross-sectional study design was conducted among middle-aged adults (40-60 years). Patients taking medication for severe mental illness and who refused to participate in the study were excluded. One individual from each household was included. We took sociodemographic characteristics such as age, sex, occupation, income, employment status, education, ethnicity, and dental condition such as dental caries, toothache, gum bleeding, and previous dental visit as independent variables and oral hygiene practice as dependent variables. Behavioral patterns and eating practices such as frequency of brushing, use of toothpaste, fluoride in toothpaste, the technique of brushing, time of changing brush, and doing mouth rinse were also used as dependent variables.

2.3. Sample size and sampling procedure:

Sample size was calculated by using single population proportion formula. A previous study found that 41.9% of people used toothbrushes and fluoridated toothpaste and not using any tobacco products [27]. By considering this as good oral hygiene practice, the sample size was 375. The household population of selected wards was found to be 1195. By applying the formula for finite population ($n = \frac{n_0}{1 + n_0/N}$) the sample size was calculated. Where n = sample size, n_0 = 375, and N = 1195. By calculation, the final sample size was 286 which was further rounded to 291 by taking some surplus data. All people aged between 40 to 60 years were included and those participants who refuse to participate in this study were excluded.

Two wards were randomly selected from a total of five wards. All the eligible participants from the selected household were identified from the selected wards and a sampling frame was constructed. If there were more than one eligible member, only one most senior (age-wise) individual was taken as a sample from each selected household. We used a systematic random sampling technique for the selection of households. The center place was identified from each selected ward to start the data collection. The sampling interval was calculated by total household number i.e., 1195 divided by sample size 291 and obtained 4, so data was collected every 4th household until the sample size was reached. First household and direction were identified by pencil spin method.

2.4. Data collection tools and procedure:

Semi-structured questionnaire was formulated and finalized by the study team in consultation with another public health expert. The data collection tool consisted following parts: socio-demographic characteristic was in the first part; behavioral practice was in the second and eating practice was in the third part. The dependent variable (oral hygienic practice) was assessed by behavioral and eating practices. Oral hygiene practice-related questions such as 'Do you brush your teeth?' 'How often do you clean your teeth?' (Frequency of brushing), 'Do you use toothpaste/brush?', 'Do you use fluoridated toothpaste?', use a toothpick, 'Explain the technique of brushing'(right/wrong), 'time duration of changing brush', 'use of dental floss', and 'mouth rinse' etc., and eating practice related questions were: 'Do you consume alcohol', 'smoking', 'tobacco chewing', 'Do you use of biscuit', 'candy', 'sugar', 'sweets', 'nut'. One score was provided for good oral hygiene practice. Data collectors were properly trained and questions were clearly explained during data collection to maintain data integrity, improve data quality, enhance reliability and validity, promote participant understanding, and ensure adherence to ethical standards. The face-to-face interview was conducted with one respondent from each household. Senior (age-wise) member of the household was interviewed if there were more than one member aged 40-60 years within the family. One health professional was recruited for data collection and a BDS doctor was supervised.

2.5. Measurement of oral hygiene practice

i. Poor oral hygienic practice: There were 18 items to assess hygiene practices. Questions from behavioral and eating practice were summed by providing a 'one' score for hygienic and a 'zero' for unhygienic practices for calculation of poor and good hygienic practices. The total score was obtained from 18 practice questions. Cut-off scores below average/mean (mean=9.91) were categorized as poor oral hygiene practice [28].

ii. Good oral hygienic practice: Cut-off scores equal and more than the mean were categorized as good oral hygiene practice[28].

2.6. Data quality control: The questionnaire was prepared after intensive discussions among the study team and was finalized after pretesting with 10% of the population. Initially, the questionnaire was prepared in English and then back-translated to Nepali by collaborating closely with a local language expert, an English language expert, and a dental healthcare professional. This collaboration ensured technical consistency and reliability. One researcher was responsible for data collection to maintain the validity of the questionnaire. The collected data were promptly checked and rechecked by a dental doctor to ensure data quality.

2.7. Data processing and analysis: Epi-Data version 3.1 was used to enter the data after the necessary validation and generation of check files. Data were then transferred, cleaned, and analyzed in SPSS 22. Descriptive statistics such as frequency, percentage, a measure of central tendency, etc. were calculated according to the characteristics of variables. The information was presented in a summary and descriptive table for further interpretation. We used bivariate analysis to measure the association between independent and dependent variables by chi-square test. We calculated the odds ratio and 95% confidence interval to find out the significant association between the study and outcome variables. The variables which were significantly associated with dependent variables with $p < 0.05$ in bivariate analysis were further analyzed using the logistic regression model in multivariate analysis. Adjusted odds ratio (AOR) was calculated to measure the net effect with independent variables. In multivariate analysis, the variance inflation factor (VIF) was performed to check the multicollinearity among independent variables. The Hosmer-Lemeshow test (0.859 for this study) was performed to test the goodness of fit for the regression model.

2.8. Ethical consideration:

A verbal explanation of objectives was given to the rural municipality office for permission for data collection. The purpose and objectives of the study were explained to all the respondents. Written consent was taken from each respondent. Confidentiality of information from respondents was highly maintained. Ethical approval was taken from IRC-CiST (Ref. no: 135/078/079)

Result

3.1. Sociodemographic characteristics: Altogether 291 respondents were included in this study. Among all respondents, 52% were male and 40.9% were illiterate and 37% completed primary education with a mean age of 50.55(± 4.89). Only 14.4% of respondents were employed. More than 76% were Janjati caste followed by the upper caste group. A total of 70.8% of respondents followed the Buddhism religion followed by the Hindu religion (28.5%). Nearly half of the respondents were from nuclear (Table 1).

3.2 Respondents practice oral hygiene: The majority of respondents, i.e., 80% practiced brushing their teeth once a day. Among them, 60% of respondents changed their toothbrush every three months. Surprisingly, 1.4% of participants responded that they never brush their teeth. The majority of respondents, i.e., 98% didn't know about fluoride and its benefits. Most of the respondents (98.6%) used toothpaste while brushing their teeth. The majority i.e., 79.8% of respondents reported that they have fluoride in their toothpaste. A high proportion of respondents (97.6%) brushed their teeth in the horizontal and vertical directions. Almost all (98.6%) of the population rinses their mouth after their meal. The majority of (62.2%) respondents have visited a dentist only when they have a toothache and surprisingly, 37.5% of respondents never visited a dentist (Table 2). Moreover, a person's dietary habits can have an impact on oral hygiene as well. The research findings showed that more than two-thirds of the respondents used tobacco products, while over half (54%) reported consuming biscuits. Additionally, nearly one-third (32%) of the participants included alcohol and sweet candy in their daily food intake (Table 3).

3.3 The overall level of oral hygiene practices: Among all 291 respondents, 56.7% have poor, while 43.3% have good oral hygiene practices (Figure 1

Variables	Characteristics	Number (%)
Age (mean \pm SD)	50.55(± 4.89) years	
Age group	40-50	146(50.2)
	51-60	145(49.8)
Gender	Male	153(52.6)
	Female	138(47.4)
Education status	Illiterate	119(40.9)
	Non formal	20(6.9)
	Primary level	109(37.5)
	Secondary level	42(14.4)
	Bachelor	1(0.3)
Monthly income (In Nepali currency)	Up to10,000	244(83.8)
	>10,000	47(16.2)
Employment status	Employed	42(14.4)
	Unemployed	249(85.6)
Religion	Hindu	83(28.5)
	Buddhist	206(70.8)
	Christian	1(0.3)
	Others	1(0.3)
Ethnicity	Dalit	25(8.6)
	Janjati	222(76.3)
	Upper caste group	44(15.1)
Family type	Nuclear	140(48.1)
	Joint	151(51.9)

Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of respondents among middle aged people in rural Nepal 2022(n=291)

Variables	Characteristics	Number (%)
Frequency of tooth brushing	Never	4(1.4)
	Once a month	19(6.5)
	Once a week	29(10.0)
	Once a day	234(80.4)
	Twice a day or more	5(1.7)
Time taken for tooth brush	<than 2 min	247(84.9)
	At least 2 min	44(15.1)
Time of changing toothbrush(n=287)	Every one month	24(8.4)
	Every three month	174(60.6)
	Every six months	63(22.0)
	Every year	26(9.1)
Use of toothpaste(n=287)	Yes	287(98.6)
	No	4(1.4)
Fluoride in toothpaste(n=287)	Yes	229(79.8)
	No	58(20.2)
Technique of toothbrushing(n=287)	Circular	2(0.7)
	Horizontal	3(1.0)
	Vertical	2(0.7)
	Horizontal and vertical	280(97.6)
Dental floss	Yes	1(0.3)
	No	290(99.7)
Mouth rinse (n=291)	Yes	287(98.6)
	No	4(1.4)
Use of tooth pick	Yes	278(95.5)
	No	13(4.5)
Times of visiting dentist	Never	109(37.5)
	During/after toothache	181(62.2)
	Once a year	1(0.3)

Table 2: Respondents practice on oral hygiene

Variables n=291	number (%)
Smoking habit	196(67.4)
Tobacco chewing	230(79.0)
Use of alcohol	95(32.6)
Use of Biscuit	157(54.0)
Use of sweet candy	93(32.0)
Use of Jam/Honey	56(19.2)
Use of coke and soda	123(42.3)

Table 3: Respondents behavioral practice on food habit related oral hygiene




Figure 1: Level of oral hygiene of respondents among middle age people in rural Nepal 2022 (n=291)

3.4 Affecting factors with oral hygienic practice among middle age people:

Association between socio-demographic variables such as age, sex, education, monthly income, and employment status were identified to be significantly associated to oral hygienic practice (Table 4).

Variables	Attributes	Practice		p-value
		Poor n (%)	Good n (%)	
Age	40-50	100(68.5)	46(31.5)	<0.001**
	50-60	65(44.8)	80(55.2)	
Gender	Male	76(49.7)	77(50.3)	0.011*
	Female	89(64.5)	49(35.5)	
Education	Illiterate	109(63.4)	63(36.6)	<0.006**
	Literate	56(47.1)	63(52.9)	
Monthly income	Up to 10,000	131(53.7)	113(46.3)	0.018*
	More than 10000	34 (72.3)	13 (27.7)	
Ethnicity	Under Privilege	128(57.7)	94(42.3)	.555
	Privileged	37(53.6)	32(46.4)	
Employment status	Employed	30(71.4)	12 (28.6)	0.037*
	Unemployed	135 (54.2)	114 (45.8)	

Table 4: Association between socio-demographic variables and oral hygiene

3.5. Associated factors with oral hygienic practice among middle age people:

In the multivariate analysis, a variance inflation test (VIF) was performed among the independent variables, and the highest reported VIF was 2.87, suggesting no issues of multicollinearity. Regarding socio-demographic variables, the results indicate no significant difference between oral hygiene practices and age group, income, and employment groups. Similarly, the results reveal that females have three times higher odds of

having good oral hygiene practices compared to males (AOR: 3.51, 95% CI: 1.86-6.62), and literate individuals have higher odds of having good oral hygiene practices compared to illiterate individuals (AOR=2.04, p=0.032). In terms of dental condition and oral hygiene practices, the results demonstrate that individuals with toothache have higher odds of having poor oral hygiene practices compared to those without toothache (AOR=3.93, p=0.002) (see Table 5).

Variables		Practice		COR		AOR	
		Poor n (%)	Good n (%)	p-value	(95%CI)	p-value	(95%CI)
Age(year)	40-50	100(68.5)	46(31.5)	<0.01**	1 (Ref.)		1
	50-60	65(44.8)	80(55.2)		2.67(1.65-4.31)	0.183	1.47(.83-2.63)
Gender	Male	76(49.7)	77(50.3)	0.011	1.84(1.14-2.94)	<0.01**	3.51(1.86-6.62)
	Female	89(64.5)	49(35.5)		1(Ref.)		
Education	Illiterate	109(63.4)	63(36.6)	0.01**	1(Ref.)	.321	1
	Literate	56(47.1)	63(52.9)		1.94(1.21-3.13)	.032*	2.04(1.06-3.92)
Monthly income	Up to 10,000	131(53.7)	113(46.3)	0.020*	1(Ref.)	.284	1
	>10000	34 (72.3)	13 (27.7)		2.25(1.13-4.48)		.67(.25-1.78)
Employment status	Employed	30(71.4)	12 (28.6)	0.04*	0.47(.23-.96)	.37	.63(.23-1.72)
	Unemployed	135 (54.2)	114 (45.8)		1(Ref.)		1

Table 5: Logistic regression analysis of factors associated with oral hygiene practice

Discussion

In our study, the majority of respondents i.e., 80% practiced brushing their teeth once a day. According to the study conducted in India, the majority of respondents brushed their teeth once a day[20, 29]. It shows that people have normalized brushing their teeth once a day in both study areas.

In this study, the majority of respondents (60%) changed their toothbrush every three months and the majority of respondents i.e., 98% didn't know about fluoride and its benefits. According to the study in Mangalore South India, only 22.4% of the participants knew that fluoride strengthens the teeth[30]. According to a study among students in Odisha (India), nearly 34.53% of the students changed their toothbrushes once in 2 months and nearly 45.6% of the students always used fluoridated toothpaste[29]. Our

finding revealed that the majority of the population brushed their teeth in the horizontal and vertical directions was supported by the literature found[31].

As per the study findings, about 62.2% of respondents have visited a dentist only when they have dental pain and 37.5% never visited a dentist which is similar to the findings of the study conducted in Saudi Arabia [32] and Dharan, Nepal[33]. This shows that people visit the dentist mostly when they have oral health problems which could be due to the very expensive cost of treatment. This study revealed that more than half (56.7%) were practicing poor oral hygienic practice. The study conducted in Ethiopia found that more than 27% had poor knowledge[28]. This huge difference is due to different study settings. But another study from Saudi Arabia found nearly the same result[34]. Half of the respondents i.e.,

65.6% had oral health-related problems. Among them 61.5% had dental caries. According to the study done among German refugees, 79% of the participants had dental caries [35].

As per our results, oral hygiene practice was found to be statistically significant to the age of the respondents, which is in agreement with the literature found [36-38]. Association between socio-demographic variables such as sex and education were identified to be significantly associated with oral hygienic practice in this study. The study conducted among USA ethnic group [39], STEPS survey Nepal [17], and a study in Burkino Faso [40] supports our result that more knowledgeable and educated people are performing better hygiene practices than uneducated people. Socio-economic status and oral hygiene index are statistically significant [41]. According to the study conducted UAE in being employed is significant with oral hygiene practice [42] which was consistent with our study.

Many study found that dental caries and periodontal diseases are significantly associated with oral hygiene-related behavior [43]. Dental problems and dental visits were significantly associated [44]. People who were facing problems related to oral health were practicing better oral hygiene behavior.

This study found that the odds of using good oral hygiene practices are increasing with age (AOR: 2.67, 95% CI: 1.65-4.31) in bivariate analysis which is supported by the study done in American middle-aged (35-44 years). Navajo Native Americans were showing better oral hygiene scale scores compared with the older age group in multivariate analysis [45]. Oral hygiene practice gets better as age increases (AOR=0.722 (0.277-1.880) [28].

In this study, people with good income are more likely to adopt better oral hygiene practices (AOR: 2.25 (1.13-4.48) which is supported by the study in India which revealed, more affluent people have better oral health status [46].

Limitations and strength

The limitation of this study is the possibility of information bias because we have only collected self-recorded dental conditions. We did not perform clinical examinations to confirm the reported dental conditions. Generally, there are nine wards are there in a rural municipality of Nepal. So due to the limited sample size and area, the findings of this study may not be generalizable to the large population of urban areas. However, this study has conducted this study in a rural area of Nepal and among the adult population, which is the strength of this study. This study finding helps rural municipalities to emphasize following good oral hygiene practices to reduce dental diseases.

Conclusion and recommendations

In this study, middle-aged adults, most of the respondents brushed their teeth once a day, and all the people who brushed their teeth used toothpaste. The majority of respondents had no knowledge about fluoride and its benefits. More than half of the respondents had poor oral hygiene practices. Among oral health-related problems, dental caries was the most prevalent problem. Sex, education, and suffering from toothache were factors associated with oral hygiene practice. Oral health services, awareness programs, and campaigns need a special focus on low-education clusters to be organized by the rural municipality to improve oral hygiene practice.

References

- Petersen PE, Bourgeois D, Ogawa H, Estupinan-Day S, Ndiaye C (2005). The global burden of oral diseases and risks to oral health. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 83:661-669.
- Kassebaum NJ, Smith AG, Bernabé E, Fleming TD, Reynolds AE, Vos T, Murray C, Marcenes W, Collaborators GOH (2017). Global, regional, and national prevalence, incidence, and disability-adjusted life years for oral conditions for 195 countries, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the global burden of diseases, injuries, and risk factors. *Journal of Dental Research*. 96(4):380-387.
- Ahmad FA, Alotaibi MK, Baseer MA, Shafshak SM (2019). The Effect of Oral Health Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice on Periodontal Status among Dental Students. *Eur J Dent*. 13(3):437-443.
- Al-Shammari KF, Al-Ansari JM, Al-Khabbaz AK, Dashti A, Honkala EJ (2007). Self-reported oral hygiene habits and oral health problems of Kuwaiti adults. *Medical Principles and Practice*. 16(1):15-21.
- Bains VK, Bains R (2020). Is oral hygiene as important as hand hygiene during COVID-19 pandemic? *Asian Journal of Oral Health and Allied Sciences*. 10.
- Pereira WD (2017). Knowledge, attitude and practice on oral hygiene measures among students in rural areas: Kanchipuram. *The Pharma Innovation*.;6(9, Part F):382.
- Choo A, Delac DM, Messer LB (2001). Oral hygiene measures and promotion: review and considerations. *Australian Dental Journal*. 46(3):166-173.
- Kandelman D, Petersen PE, Ueda H (2008). Oral health, general health, and quality of life in older people. *Special Care in Dentistry*. 28(6):224-236.
- Kotronia E, Brown H, Papacosta AO, Lennon LT, Weyant RJ, Whincup PH, Wannamethee SG, Ramsay SE (2021). Oral health and all-cause, cardiovascular disease, and respiratory mortality in older people in the UK and USA. *Scientific Reports*. 11(1):1-10.
- Akar H, Akar GC, Carrero JJ, Stenvinkel P, Lindholm B (2011). Systemic consequences of poor oral health in chronic kidney disease patients. *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*. 6(1):218-226.
- Oji C, Chukwunke F (2012). Poor oral hygiene may be the sole cause of oral cancer. *Journal of Maxillofacial and Oral Surgery*. 11(4):379-383.
- Eaton KA (2012). Global oral public health--the current situation and recent developments. *Journal of Public Health Policy*. 33(3):382-386.
- WHO. Oral health 2022
- Petersen PE (2008). World Health Organization global policy for improvement of oral health--World Health Assembly 2007. *International Dental Journal*. 58(3):115-121.
- Oral Hygiene Practices and Teeth Cleaning Techniques Among Medical Students.
- Sakha AK, Menariya PDSL. Knowledge of Oral Health Among the People of Rural Nepal.

17. Thapa P, Aryal KK, Mehata S, Vaidya A, Jha BK, Dhimal M, Pradhan S, Dhakal P, Pandit A, Pandey AR, Bista B, Pokhrel AU, Karki KB (2016). Oral hygiene practices and their socio-demographic correlates among Nepalese adult: evidence from non communicable diseases risk factors STEPS survey Nepal 2013. *BMC Oral Health*. 16(1):105.
18. Yee R, Mishra P; (2004). Nepal national oral health pathfinder survey 2004. Ministry of Health, HMG Nepal.
19. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Oral Hygiene among Rural and Urban Secondary School Students in Lagos State.
20. Avasthi A, Veerasha KL, Bilal D (2019). Oral Health Status of Middle-Aged (45-55 Years) Rural Women: A Cross-Sectional Study from North India. *Contemporary Clinical Dentistry*. 10(4):595-599.
21. Oral hygiene practices, its associated factors and evaluation of oral health education package among 6-8 grade students of bajrabarahi municipality, Lalitpur.
22. Lin LC, Ketkar A, Achalu P, Alqaderi H, Diamond S, Spero L, Turton B, Sokal-Gutierrez K (2021). Oral health knowledge and practices in the Kaski District of Nepal. *Commun Dent Health*. 38(2):105-111.
23. Thapa P, Aryal KK, Mehata S, Vaidya A, Jha BK, Dhimal M, Pradhan S, Dhakal P, Pandit A, Pandey AR (2016). Oral hygiene practices and their socio-demographic correlates among Nepalese adult: evidence from non communicable diseases risk factors STEPS survey Nepal 2013. *BMC Oral Health*. 16(1):1-8.
24. Tanner T, Pääkkilä J, Karjalainen K, Kämppi A, Järvelin MR, Patinen P, Tjäderhane L, Anttonen V (2015). Smoking, alcohol use, socioeconomic background and oral health among young Finnish adults. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*. 43(5):406-414.
25. Yadav S (2008). Popularly used medicinal plants by Tamang ethnic group at three VDCs (Chilime, Thuman and Gatlang) of Rasuwa District: Department of botany.
26. Tamang MS (2009). Tamang activism, history, and territorial consciousness. *Ethnic activism and civil society in South Asia*. 269-290.
27. Basnet BB, Sharma KR (2020). Oral hygiene and tobacco use practices in rural villages of Jhapa district, Nepal. *Journal of Nepal Health Research Council*. 18(1):59-63.
28. Beyene DH, Shashamo BB, Digesa LE, Tariku EZ (2021). Oral Hygiene Practices and Associated Factors among Patients Visiting Private Dental Clinics at Hawassa City, Southern Ethiopia, 2018. *Int J Dent*. 2021:8868308.
29. Bandyopadhyay A, Bhuyan L, Panda A, Dash KC, Raghuvanshi M, Behura SS (2017). Assessment of Oral Hygiene Knowledge, Practices, and Concepts of Tobacco Usage among Engineering Students in Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India. *Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*. 18(6):423-428.
30. Rao A, Lalithambigai G, Rajesh G, Shenoy R, Pai MH (2019). Dental health behavior pertaining to fluoridated toothpaste usage among the parents of private and government school students in Mangalore, South India. *Journal of Indian Association of Public Health Dentistry*. 17(3):218.
31. Tecleab Okubai Gebregiorgis ASH, Tekle BM, Ghebremedhin BT, Haile LH. Level of Oral Hygiene Practices and its Association with Socio-Demographic Characters Among Middle School Students in Low Income Countries.
32. Kannan SP, Alfahaid SF, Alharbi AS, Almutairi BS, Alanazi AH, Alsaab FA, Alatallah SS, Aldhuwayhi SD (2020). Oral Hygiene Behavior of School Children in Saudi Arabia: A Descriptive Cross-sectional Survey. *Int J Clin Pediatr Dent*. 13(1):66-71.
33. Singh A, Shrestha A, Bhagat TK, Baral DD (2020). Assessment of oral health status and treatment needs among people of Foklyan area, Dharan, Nepal. *BMC Oral Health*. 20(1):320.
34. Jaber MF, Khan A, Elmosaad Y, Mustafa MM, Suliman N, Jamaan A (2017). Oral health knowledge, attitude and practices among male Qassim university students. *Int J Community Med Public Health*. 4(8):2729-2735.
35. Solyman M, Schmidt-Westhausen AM (2018). Oral health status among newly arrived refugees in Germany: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Oral Health*. 18(1):132.
36. Pinnamaneni SP, Kumar S, Abrol S, Brar RS, Khudare PA, Gautam N (2021). Assessment of Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice about Oral Health in Patients with Cardiovascular Diseases - An Original Study. *Journal of Pharmacy & Bioallied Sciences*. 13(Suppl 1):S344-S347.
37. Oral Hygiene Practices and Factors Influencing the Choice of Oral Hygiene Materials among Undergraduate Students at the University of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. *Journal of Dental and Allied Sciences*.
38. Azodo CC, Amenaghawon OP (2013). Oral hygiene status and practices among rural dwellers. *European Journal of General Dentistry*. 2(01):42-45.
39. Davidson PL, Rams TE, Andersen RM (1997). Socio-behavioral determinants of oral hygiene practices among USA ethnic and age groups. *Advances in Dental Research*. 11(2):245-253.
40. Diendéré J, Ouattara S, Kaboré J, Traoré I, Zeba AN, Kouanda S (2021). Oral Hygiene Practices and their Socio-demographic Correlates among Adults in Burkina Faso: Results from the First National Survey.
41. A cross-sectional study on relationship between oral hygiene and socioeconomic status among 15 year old school children.
42. Abu-Gharbieh E, Saddik B, El-Faramawi M, Hamidi S, Basheti M, Basheti M (2019). Oral Health Knowledge and Behavior among Adults in the United Arab Emirates. *Biomed Res Int*. 7568679.
43. Moghaddam LF, Vettore MV, Bayani A, Bayat A-H, Ahounbar E, Hemmat M, Armoon B, Fakhri Y (2020). The Association of Oral Health Status, demographic characteristics and socioeconomic determinants with Oral health-related quality of life among children: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *BMC Pediatrics*.;20(1):1-15.
44. AlMesmar SJHS. Oral Health Status, Oral Hygiene Practices, and Factors Affecting Dental Treatment Utilization among Pregnant Women in Dubai.

45. P.L. Davidson TERaRMA. Socio-Behavioral Determinants of Oral Hygiene Practices Among USA Ethnic and Age Groups. sage publications.
46. Desalegn Humna Beyene BBS, Lankamo Ena Digesa ,and Eshetu Zerihun Tariku (2018). Oral Hygiene Practices and Associated Factors among Patients Visiting Private Dental Clinics at Hawassa City, Southern Ethiopia,



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

To Submit Your Article Click Here: **Submit Manuscript**

DOI:10.31579/2690-1919/333

Ready to submit your research? Choose Auctores and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- rigorous peer review by experienced research in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- authors retain copyrights
- unique DOI for all articles
- immediate, unrestricted online access

At Auctores, research is always in progress.

Learn more <https://www.auctoresonline.org/journals/journal-of-clinical-research-and-reports>