

# Evaluation of Extractive Values, Qualitative and Quantitative Phytochemical Constituents of Red Soko (*Celosia Trigyna*) and Green Soko (*Celosia argentea*)

Jacob Olalekan Arawande <sup>1\*</sup>, Christianah Olusola Ayodele <sup>1</sup>, Felix Olaide Afolabi <sup>2</sup>, Ayodeji Temitope Adesuyi <sup>3</sup>, Bamidele Imoukhuede <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Science Laboratory Technology, University of Medical Sciences, PMB 536 Ondo-City, Ondo State, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup> Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutic, University of Medical Sciences, PMB 536 Ondo-City, Ondo State, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup> Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Rufus Giwa Polytechnic, PMB 1019 Owo, Ondo State, Nigeria

**\*Corresponding author:** Jacob Olalekan Arawande, Department of Science Laboratory Technology, University of Medical Sciences, PMB 536 Ondo-City, Ondo State, Nigeria.

**Received date:** December 26, 2022; **Accepted date:** April 03, 2023; **Published date:** April 17, 2023

**Citation:** Jacob O. Arawande, Christianah O. Ayodele., Felix O. Afolabi., Ayodeji T. Adesuyi., Bamidele Imoukhuede., (2023), Evaluation of Extractive Values, Qualitative and Quantitative Phytochemical Constituents of Red Soko (*Celosia Trigyna*) and Green Soko (*Celosia argentea*), *J. Nutrition and Food Processing*, 6(2); DOI:10.31579/2637-8914/119

**Copyright:** © 2023, Jacob Olalekan Arawande. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Abstract:

Medicinal plants are indispensable sources of bioactive compounds and have proved to be stalwart ingredients for a wide range of applications. The potency of five different solvents in extracting bioactive constituents; qualitative and quantitative determination of phytochemicals of red soko and green soko were studied. The plant were cut into smaller pieces, air-dried, ground into powdery sample, sieved with 40 mm mesh size and properly labelled. Each sample was extracted using five different solvents (acetone, chloroform, ethyl acetate, methanol and water) at ratio 1: 10 for 72 h. Each solvent extract was screened for nine phytochemicals (flavonoid, carotenoid, phenol, oxalate, tannin, saponin, alkaloid, phytate and ascorbic acid). It was observed that the plant extract contained seven phytochemicals in both red and green soko. The highest extractive values and qualitative screening of phytochemicals in red soko and green soko were obtained in water and methanol extracts. Quantitative phytochemical analysis showed that there was higher content of saponin, phytate and ascorbic acid in the two vegetables. Red soko contained lower ascorbic acid, saponin, total phenol, total carotenoid, alkaloid and flavonoid than green soko while green soko had lower phytate and tannin than red soko. There was no significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) in flavonoids, total carotenoid and alkaloid contents in red soko and in green soko there was no significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) in total carotenoid and alkaloid contents.

**Key words:** phytochemical; solvent, extractive values; red soko and green soko

## Introduction

Phytochemical means plant chemicals, and they are plant secondary metabolites which have little or no role in photosynthesis, respiration, or growth and development, but may accumulate in surprisingly high concentrations (Crozier et al., 2010). Phytochemicals can be defined as plant-derived chemicals, which are beneficial to human health and disease prevention (Anderson, 2004). They give plants its colour, flavour, smell and are part of a plant's natural defense system (disease resistance). Phytochemicals are bioactive, non-nutrient plant compounds that can be found in fruits, vegetables, grains and other plant foods that have been linked to reducing the risk of major degenerative diseases (Liu, 2004, Chivandi et al., 2015). Plant foods provide not only essential nutrients needed to sustain life, but also afford bioactive compounds (phytochemicals) for health promotion and disease prevention (Prior and

Gu, 2005, Dykes and Rooney, 2007, Liu, 2013). Phytochemicals include phenolic compounds, alkaloids, nitrogen containing compounds, saponins, terpenoids, organosulfur compounds and carotenoids (Liu, 2013). About 200,000 structures of phytochemicals are known and there are close to 20,000 (10%) of them that have been identified as originating from fruits, vegetables, and grains (Oz and Kafkas, 2017). In cereals, phenolic compounds are the major phytochemical (Ndolo and Beta, 2014). The daily requirements for bio-available micronutrients and phytochemicals are obtained through the consumption of indigenous leafy vegetables (Uusiku et al., 2010), which are usually abundant during the rainy season. Indigenous leafy vegetables may be a rich source of phenolic compounds and other phytochemicals that contribute to the antioxidant activity in the diet (Uusiku et al., 2010), thus providing strong

protective effects against major diseases associated with oxidative damage (Kaur and Kapoor, 2002).

Vegetables play an important role in human diets, as they support the normal functioning of the different body systems. They provide our cells with vitamins, minerals, fiber, essential oils and phytonutrients. Vegetables contain low amounts of fat and calories (Banerjee et al., 2012). Leaf vegetables came from very wide variety of plants and they are plants with edible leaves. Each of us knows lettuce and spinach, as well as mustard, but also early springtime nettles are valuable source of vitamin C. Green leafy vegetables are popularly used for food, being a rich source of  $\beta$ -carotene, ascorbic acid, minerals and dietary fiber. One of the most popular vegetable is lettuce. Lettuce is cultivated worldwide, and is one the most consumed green leafy vegetables in the raw form for its taste and high nutritive value, being regarded as an important source of phytochemicals, including carotenoids, in the diet (Chang et al., 2013). Vegetables are the greatest sources of phytochemicals and facts have emerged that some anti-nutritional content of these vegetables have potentials in reducing some diseases in man (Chang et al., 2013). Some of these diseases include high blood pressure, heart attack, stroke and other cardiovascular diseases (Williamson et al., 1997). Leafy vegetables are natural source of antioxidants and rich in phytochemicals (Elias et al., 2012, Raghavendra et al., 2013)

Celosia, Lagos spinach, is an important leaf vegetable for millions of households in sub-saharan Africa because of its multifaceted usefulness. In south-western Nigeria, it is known as "sokoyokoto" (Yoruba) (Grubben and Denton, 2004). The Celosia species is a genus and herbaceous of edible and ornamental plants of the family Amaranthaceae. The generic name is derived from the Greek word kelos, meaning "burned," and refers to the flame-like flower heads (Kai and Thomas, 2005). In Nigeria, six species of the genus Celosia have been described (IITA, 1972). The leaves and stems are cooked into soups, sauces or stew with other ingredients (Grubben and Denton, 2004). The leaves and tender stem of plumed cockscomb (Celosia species) are consumed as a vegetable and the inflorescence eaten as a herb (Iloibia et al., 2016; Olawuyi et al., 2016). Due to civilization, Celosia species are almost gone into extinction, therefore the focus of this research work is to determine the effectiveness of solvents in extracting the bioactive compounds in redsoko and green soko as well as knowing which of the two vegetables is richer in phytochemicals with view of establishing their usefulness and gardening.

## Materials and methods

### Source of materials

The plants (green soko and red soko) were collected from a local farms in Owo, Ondo State, Nigeria. All chemicals used were of the analytical grade with the highest purity available (>99.5%) and procured from Sigma Aldrich, USA.

### Preparation and extraction of red soko and green soko

The plant materials (green soko and red soko) used were rinsed in water, cut into smaller pieces for easy drying, air-dried, ground and finally sieved to give 40 mm mesh size powder. They were put in air-tight containers and kept in a refrigerator at 4°C prior to analysis. The powdered samples were divided into portions, packed in air tight containers labelled appropriately prior to extraction. Each sample was extracted separately with each solvent (acetone, chloroform, ethyl acetate, methanol and water) at ratio 1:10 for 72 h during which it was intermittently shaken on a shaking orbit machine. The resulting mixture was filtered through a 0.45  $\mu$ m nylon membrane filter. The extracts were desolventised to dryness under reduced pressure at 40 °C by a rotary evaporator (BUCHI Rotavapor, Model R-124, Germany). Weight of extract obtained was used to calculate the percentage yield (extractive value) of extract in each solvent and the dry extracts were stored in a

refrigerator (4 °C) prior to analysis (Arawande and Aderibigbe, 2020; Arawande et al., 2018; Bopitiya and Madhujith, 2014).

### Phytochemical analysis

Both qualitative and quantitative analyses were carried out. The presence of major phytochemical secondary metabolites, namely, saponins, alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins, phenolics, and terpenoids were determined using standard phytochemical methods with some modifications (Iqbal et al., 2015).

### Qualitative determination of phytochemicals

#### Test for flavonoids (Cyanidine test)

This was done according to the method of Stankovic (2014). About 0.2 g of the plant sample/extract was added with 2 mL methanol and 1 mL of concentrated sulphuric acid added. A spatula was used to add a powder of magnesium chloride (MgCl<sub>2</sub>) and the mixture observed for 1 min for effervescence and also observed for a brick red colouration.

#### Test for phenol

Small quantity of the extract/ plant sample (about 0.5 g) was added to about 0.5 ml of FeCl<sub>3</sub> solution. A deep bluish green solution was an indication for the presence of phenol (Sofowora, 2008).

#### Test for ascorbic acid

Plant samples/extract were crushed in acetic acid and filtered. Few drops of 2, 6-dichlorophenolindophenol solution to the 0.5ml of the filtrate. The presence of faint pink confirmed that ascorbic acid was present (Hunds et al., 1985)

#### Test for saponin

About 0.2 g of the extract/plant sample was shaken with 5ml of distilled water and then heated to boil. Frothing (appearance of creamy miss of small bubbles) showed the presence of saponin (Sofowora, 2008).

#### Test for tannin

About 0.2 g of plant sample/extract was stirred with 5 ml of distilled water and later filtered. Few drops of FeCl<sub>3</sub> solution was added to 1ml of the filtrate. A blue-black green or blue green precipitate was an evidence for the presence of tannin (Sofowora, 2008).

#### Test for alkaloid

Test for alkaloids (Wagner's test). This was done according to the method of Joshi et al. (2013). About 0.2 g of the plant sample/extract was stirred with 0.4 mL of 1% HCl in a water bath for 5 min and filtered. Two grams (2 g) of Potassium iodide and 1.27 g of iodine were dissolved in 5 mL of distilled water and the solution was diluted to 100 mL with distilled water. Two drops of this iodine solution were added to the filtrate; a brown coloured precipitate indicated the presence of alkaloids. (0.5 mL) of juice was added to 2 mL of glacial acetic acid containing two drop of ferric chloride. The set up was underplayed with 1 mL of concentrated sulphuric acid. It was observed for the appearance of violet and brownish rings below the interface, followed by the formation of a greenish ring in the acetic acid layer.

#### Test for oxalate

About 0.5 g of sample/extract was boiled with 1 ml of 2% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution on water bath. It was filtered while warm and few drops 1% KMNO<sub>4</sub> was added. Pink colour confirms the presence of oxalate (Brindha et al., 1981).

#### Test for phytate

About 0.5 g of the sample/extract was mixed with 2 ml of 2% HCl solution. It was filtered and two drops of 0.3% ammonium thiocyanate

(NH<sub>4</sub>SCN) solution and 2 ml of distilled water were added and shaken. 3 to 4 drops of 10% FeCl<sub>3</sub> solution were then added. Yellow colouration indicates the presence of phytate (Brindha et al., 1981)

### Test for carotenoids

About 0.5 g of the sample/ extract was mixed with 2 ml of distilled water. 5 ml of 2% w/v alcoholic KOH solution was added and the mixture was heated on a water bath for 10 minutes. 2 ml of chloroform and 0.5 g of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> were added and shaken thoroughly. A violet colour indicates the presence of carotenoids (Brindha et al., 1981).

## Quantitative determination of phytochemicals

### Determination of flavonoids

0.50 g of finely ground sample was weighed into a 100 mL beaker, 80 mL of 95% ethanol was added and stirred with a glass rod to prevent lumping. The mixture was filtered through a What-man No. 1 filter paper into a 100 mL standard flask and made up to mark with ethanol. 1ml of the extract was pipetted into 50 mL standard flask, four drops of concentrated HCl was added via a dropping pipette after which 0.50 g of magnesium turnings was added to develop a magenta red coloration. Standard flavonoid solution of range 0 -20 ppm were prepared from 100 ppm stock solution and treated in a similar way with concentrated HCl and magnesium turnings as for the sample. The absorbance of magenta red coloration of sample and standard solutions were read on a digital Jenway V6300 Spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 520 nm. The flavonoid was calculated using the formula:

$$\text{Flavonoids (ppm)} = \frac{\text{Absorbance of sample} \times \text{Gradient factor} \times \text{Dilution factor}}{\text{Weight of sample}}$$

(ACOS, 2004)

### Determination of total carotenoids

2 g of each sample was weighed into a flat bottom reflux; 10 mL of distilled water was added and shaken carefully to form a paste. 25 mL of alcoholic KOH solution was added and a reflux condenser attached. The above mixture was heated on a boiling water bath for 1 hour during which it was carefully and frequently shaken. The mixture was cooled rapidly under tap water and 30 mL of water was added. The hydrolysate obtained was transferred into a separating funnel. The solution was re- extracted three times with 25 mL of chloroform. 2 g anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was added to the extract to remove any traces of water, the mixture was then filtered into 100 mL standard flask and made up to mark with chloroform. Standard solution of β- carotene vitamin A of range 0-50 g/mL were prepared with chloroform. The above gradients of different standard prepared were determined and the average gradient was taken to calculate vitamin A (β- carotene in μg/100g). Absorbance of sample and standard solutions were read on the spectrophotometer (Digital Spectronic 21D Spectrophotometer) at a wavelength of 329 nm.

$$\text{Carotenoid (Vitamin A) } \mu\text{g}/100\text{g} =$$

$$\frac{\text{Absorbance of sample} \times \text{Gradient factor} \times \text{Dilution factor}}{\text{Weight of sample} \times 100}$$

$$\text{Carotenoid (Vitamin A) ppm} = \text{Carotenoid (Vitamin A) } \mu\text{g}/100\text{g} \times 10^{-2}$$

(AMC-RSC, 2002)

### Conversion

6mg of β- carotene = 1 retinol equivalent

12mg of other Biological Active Carotenoids = 1: 1 Retinol equivalent

1 retinol equivalent of Vitamin A activity = 1mg retinol

1 retinol equivalent 3.I.U.

### Determination of total phenol

About 0.20 g of sample was weighed into a 50 mL beaker, 20 mL of acetone was added and homogenized properly for 1 hour to prevent lumping. The mixture was filtered through a What man No.1 filter paper into 100 mL standard flask using acetone to rinse and made up to mark

pipetted into 50 mL standard flask, 20 mL water was added, 3 mL of phosphomolybdic acid was added followed by the addition of 5 mL of 23% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and mixed thoroughly. The mixture was made up to mark with distilled water and allowed to stand for 10 minutes to develop bluish green colour. Standard phenol of concentration range 0-40 mg/L was prepared from 100 mg/L stock phenol solution from Sigma Aldrich Chemicals, U.S.A. The absorbance of the sample as well as that of the standard concentration of phenol was read after 30 minutes in 1cm cell on a digital Spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 510 nm. The total phenol in ppm was calculated thus:

$$\text{Total phenol (ppm)} =$$

$$\frac{\text{Absorbance of sample} \times \text{Gradient factor} \times \text{Dilution factor}}{\text{Weight of sample} \times 100}$$

(Iqbal et al.,

2005)

### Determination of oxalate

About 1 g of each sample was weighed into 250 mL conical flask and soaked with 100 mL of distilled water. They were allowed to stand for 3 hours and each was filtered through a double layer of filtered paper. Standard solution of oxalic acid range 0-40 ppm concentrations were prepared and read on a digital spectrophotometer at 420 nm in 1cm cell for absorbance. The absorbance of filtrate from each sample was also read.

$$\text{Oxalate (ppm)} = \frac{\text{Absorbance of sample} \times \text{Gradient factor} \times \text{Dilution factor}}{\text{Weight of sample} \times 100}$$

(Iqbal et al., 2005)

### Determination of tannin

1 g of each sample was weighed into a beaker. Each was soaked with solvent mixture (80 mL of acetone and 20 mL of glacial acetic acid) for 5 hours to extract tannin. The whole mixture was filtered through a double layer filter paper to obtain the filtrate. A set of standard solution of tannic acid was prepared ranging from 10 ppm to 50 ppm. The absorbance of the standard solution as well as that of the filtrates were read in 1cm cell at 760 nm in a digital spectrophotometer.

$$\text{Tannin (ppm)} = \frac{\text{Absorbance of sample} \times \text{Gradient factor} \times \text{Dilution factor}}{\text{Weight of sample} \times 100}$$

(Onwuka., 2005).

### Determination of saponin

1 g of finely ground sample was weighed into a 250 mL beaker and 100 mL of isobutyl alcohol was added. The mixture was shaken on a UDY shaker for 5 hours to ensure uniform mixing. Thereafter the mixture was filtered through What man No 1 filter paper into a 100 mL beaker and 20 mL of 40% w/v saturated magnesium trioxocarbonate (iv) solution was added. The mixture obtained with saturated magnesium trioxocarbonate (iv) solution was again filtered through What man No.1 filter paper to obtain a clear colourless solution. 1 mL of colourless solution was pipetted into 50 mL volumetric flask and 2 mL; of 5% w/v FeCl<sub>3</sub> solution was added and made up to mark with distilled water. It was allowed to stand for 30 minutes for blood red colour to develop. 0-50 ppm standard saponin solution were prepared from 1000 ppm saponin stock solution. The standard solutions were treated similarly with 2 mL of 5% FeCl<sub>3</sub> solution as done for 1 mL sample above. The absorbance of the sample as well as standard saponin solutions were read after colour development in a Jenway V6300 Spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 350 nm.

$$\text{Saponin (ppm)} = \frac{\text{Absorbance of sample} \times \text{Gradient factor} \times \text{Dilution factor}}{\text{Weight of sample} \times 100}$$

with distilled water with thorough mixing. 1 mL of sample extract was

## **Determination of alkaloids**

Gravimetric method was used for this determination. 2 g of finely ground sample was weighed into a 100 mL beaker and 50 mL of 10% acetic acid

solution in ethanol was added. The mixture was shaken well and allowed to stand for 4 hours before filtering. The filtrate was evaporated to one quarter of its original volume. Concentrated NH<sub>4</sub>OH was then added drop wise to fully precipitate the alkaloids. The precipitate was filtered off with a weighed filter paper (W<sub>1</sub>) and washed with 1% NH<sub>4</sub>OH solution. The precipitate in the filter paper was dried in an oven at 60 °C for 30 minutes and reweighed (W<sub>2</sub>). The weight of alkaloid was determined by weight difference and it was expressed as ppm.

$$\text{Weight difference} (W_2 - W_1) \times 10^6$$

$$\text{Alkaloid (ppm)} = \frac{\text{Weight of sample}}{\text{Weight difference} (W_2 - W_1) \times 10^6}$$

(Onwuka, 2005 & Harbone, 1973).

### Determination of phytates

2 g of each sample was weighed into 250 mL conical flask. 100 mL of 2% v/v concentrated HCl was used to soak the sample for 3 hours in the

conical flask. This was filtered through a double layer of hardened filter paper. 50 mL of filtrate was placed in 400 mL beaker and 107 mL of distilled water was added to give acidity (PH 4.5). 10 mL of 0.3%

ammonium thiocyanate solution was added into the solution as indicator. This was titrated with standard FeCl<sub>3</sub> solution which contained 0.00195 g Fe per mL. The end point is slightly brownish yellow which persisted for 5 minutes. The phytate was calculated using the formula:

$$\text{Titre value} \times 0.00195 \times 1.19 \times 10^6$$

$$\text{Phytate (ppm)} = \frac{\text{Titre value} \times 0.00195 \times 1.19 \times 10^6}{\text{Weight of sample}}$$

(Maga, 1983).

### Determination of vitamin C

0.05 g of 2, 6 – dichlophenol indophenol was dissolved in 100 mL of distilled water and filtered. To standardize, 0.05 g of pure ascorbic acid was dissolved in 60 mL of 20% glacial acetic acid and the solution was made up to exactly 250 mL with distilled water. 10 mL of this solution was pipetted into a small flask and titrated against the indophenol solution until a faint pink colour was obtained. Colour persisted for 15 seconds and volume of indophenol (V mL) of dye equals 0.05 g ascorbic acid.

5 g of the sample was mixed with 100 mL of distilled water and filtered, 10 mL of the filtrate was taken into 100 mL standard flask, 20 mL of 20% glacial acetic acid was added and the flask was made up to mark with distilled water. 10 mL of the resultant solution was pipetted into a conical flask and titrated with the standard indophenol solution (Y). The ascorbic acid (Vitamin C) was calculated as:

$$Y \times 0.05 \times 10 \times 10^6$$

$$\text{Vitamin C (ppm)} = \frac{Y \times 0.05 \times 10 \times 10^6}{\text{Weight of sample}(W) \times \text{Volume}(V)} \quad (\text{MCMFA, 1982})$$

### Statistical Analysis

Statistical significance tests were performed using SPSS (v. 20, IBM SPSS Statistics, US) at p < 0.05 by means of one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by LSD post hoc multiple comparison and the experimental results were expressed as mean ± standard mean

deviation of three replicates.

### Results and discussion

Samples	Acetone	Chloroform	Ethylacetate	Methanol	Water
Red soko (%)	0.94 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	1.98 <sup>b</sup> ±0.03	0.58 <sup>a</sup> ±0.00	5.50 <sup>c</sup> ±0.09	5.91 <sup>c</sup> ±0.11
Green soko (%)	0.39 <sup>a</sup> ±0.00	1.50 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	1.49 <sup>b</sup> ±0.03	5.39 <sup>c</sup> ±0.11	7.81 <sup>c</sup> ±0.14

NOTE: Within each row, mean values followed by the same superscript are not significantly different at P<0.05 level according to Duncan’s New Multiple Range Test (DMRT); Values represent means of triplicate determination ± standard deviation

**Table 1:** Extractive values (% yield) of red soko and green soko in different solvents

The extractive values (% yield) of red soko and green soko in different solvents is presented in Table 1. The percentage yield of red soko extract in acetone, chloroform, ethylacetate, methanol and water were 0.94±0.01%, 1.98±0.03%, 0.58±0.00%, 5.50±0.09% and 5.91±0.11% accordingly. There was no significant difference (P<0.05) in extractive values of acetone and ethylacetate red soko extracts. Methanol and water extracts of red soko had no significant difference (P<0.05) in extractive values. The extractive value of red soko was highest in water, followed by methanol, chloroform, acetone at least in ethylacetate.

For green soko, the extractive values in acetone, chloroform, ethylacetate, methanol and water were 0.39±0.00%, 1.50±0.01%, 1.49±0.03%, 5.39±0.11% and 7.81±0.14% respectively. There was no significant

difference (P<0.05) in extractive values of methanol and water green soko extracts. There existed no significant difference (P<0.05) in extractive values of chloroform and ethylacetate extracts of green soko. Acetone had the lowest extractive value in green soko while water had the highest extractive value. In all the five solvents used for extraction, the extractive values in water and ethylacetate were higher in green soko than red soko. While the extractive values in red soko was higher in acetone, chloroform and methanol than green soko. Generally, there are quite number of factors in which extraction of bioactive compounds depends. The selection of solvent system largely depends on the specific nature of the compounds being targeted (Arawande et al., 2021).

Parameters	Raw red soko	Solvent extracts				
		Acetone	Chloroform	Ethyl acetate	Methanol	Water
Flavonoid	+	-	-	-	-	-
Carotenoid	-	-	-	-	-	-
Phenol	+	-	-	-	-	-
Oxalate	+	-	-	-	+	+
Tannin	+	-	-	-	-	+
Saponin	+	-	-	-	+	+
Alkaloids	-	-	-	-	-	-
Phytate	+	-	-	-	+	+
Ascorbic Acid	+	-	-	-	+	+
% Phytochemical detectable	77.8	0	0	0	44.5	55.5

**Table 2:** Qualitative phytochemical screening of raw red soko and its solvents extracts

Table 2 depicts qualitative phytochemical of screening of raw red soko and its solvents extract of red soko. Flavonoids, carotenoids, phenol,

oxalate, tannin, saponin, alkaloids, phytate and ascorbic acid were the nine (9) phytochemicals screened for in the red soko. Seven (7)



phytochemicals were present in red soko. Carotenoids and alkaloids were absent in the red soko and this made the percentage phytochemical detectable in red soko was 77.8%. The solvents used for extraction were acetone, chloroform, ethylacetate, methanol and water. It was obvious that there was no phytochemical present in solvent extract of acetone, chloroform, ethylacetate in red soko. Only oxalate, saponin, phytate and ascorbic acid were present in methanol extract of red soko, and this gave

44.5% phytochemical extractable by methanol. There were presence of oxalate, tannin, saponin, phytate and ascorbic acid in water extracts of red soko and the percentage phytochemical detected in water extract of red soko was 55.5%. The high extractive propensity for bioactive ingredients in red soko by methanol and water may be attributed to high and strong polarity that existed in the two solvents.

Parameters	Raw green soko	Solvents extracts				
		Acetone	Chloroform	Ethylacetate	Methanol	Water
Flavonoid	+	-	-	-	-	-
Carotenoid	+	-	-	-	-	+
Phenol	+	-	-	-	+	+
Oxalate	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tannin	+	-	-	-	-	+
Saponin	+	-	-	+	+	+
Alkaloids	-	-	-	-	-	-
Phytate	+	-	-	-	-	+
Ascorbic Acid	+	-	-	-	+	+
%Phytochemical detectable	77.8	0	0	11.1	33.3	66.7

+ = Present - = Absent

**Table 3:** Qualitative phytochemical screening of raw green soko and its solvent extracts

The qualitative phytochemical screening of raw green soko and its solvent extracts is shown in Table 3. Flavonoids, carotenoids, phenol, oxalate, tannin, saponin, alkaloids, phytate and ascorbic acid were the nine (9) phytochemicals screened for in the green soko. Seven (7) phytochemicals were present in green soko. Oxalate and alkaloids were absent in the green soko and this made the percentage phytochemical detectable in green soko was 77.8%. Ethylacetate extract of green soko contained only saponin which gave 11.1% phytochemical detectable. Methanol extract of green

soko contained phenol, saponin and ascorbic acid amounting to 33.3% phytochemical detectable. Water extract of green soko showed presence of carotenoid, phenol, tannin, saponin, phytate and ascorbic acid which amount to 66.7% of phytochemical detectable. None of the phytochemical were found present in acetone and chloroform extracts of green soko. Water had highest extractable phytochemicals in green soko and this was followed by methanol.

Samples	Red Soko	Green Soko
Flavonoids (ppm)	8.02 <sup>a</sup> ±0.06	9.50 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.09
Total Carotenoids(ppm)	6.51 <sup>a</sup> ±0.04	7.47 <sup>a</sup> ±0.06
Total Phenol (ppm)	16.00 <sup>b</sup> ±0.32	20.00 <sup>c</sup> ±0.54
Oxalate (ppm)	21.79 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.57	12.01 <sup>b</sup> ±0.34
Tannin (ppm)	15.03 <sup>b</sup> ±0.48	13.10 <sup>b</sup> ±0.41
Saponin (ppm)	30.98 <sup>c</sup> ±0.34	38.51 <sup>c</sup> ±0.55
Alkaloids (ppm)	4.58 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03	5.49 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02
Phytate (ppm)	232.00 <sup>d</sup> ±1.20	116.13 <sup>f</sup> ±1.11
Ascorbic acid (ppm)	25.12 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.37	28.95 <sup>d</sup> ±0.33

NOTE: Within each column, mean values followed by the same superscript are not significantly different at P<0.05 level according to Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (DMRT); Values represent means of triplicate determination ±standard deviation

**Table 4:** Quantitative phytochemical analysis of red soko and green soko

Table 4 depicts the quantitative phytochemical analysis of red soko and green soko. The phytochemicals quantified in the two leafy vegetables (red soko and green soko) were flavonoids, total carotenoids, total phenol, oxalate, tannin, saponin, alkaloids, phytate and ascorbic acids. The flavonoid content of red soko was 8.02±0.06 ppm and green soko was 9.50±0.09 ppm. Flavonoid are known to have primary antioxidant activity and free radicals scavengers (Ramamirthy and Sathiyadevi, 2017). They also show multiple biological activities, they are antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, carcinogenic, anti-allergic, antiviral (Egharevba and Kunle, 2010). The total carotenoids of red soko and green soko were 6.51±0.04 ppm and 7.47±0.06 ppm respectively. The total carotenoid was lesser than flavonoids in the two samples. Carotenoids are the pigments found in yellow-orange vegetables and leafy green vegetables, it is known to enhance immune functions. Many of the carotenoids are antioxidant

that protect cells against free radicals by neutralizing than before they cause oxidative damage (Forman and Lanza, 1993). The total phenol was higher in green soko (20.00±0.54 ppm) than red soko (16.00±0.32 ppm). Phenol had antioxidant capacities that are much stronger than those of vitamin A and E (Obboh, 2005). Phenolic phytochemical inhibit autoxidation of unsaturated lipids, thus preventing the formation of oxidized low-density lipoprotein (LDL) which is considered to induce cardiovascular disease (Amic et al., 2003). Green soko had the lower oxalate content (12.01±0.34 ppm) than red soko (21.79±0.57 ppm). Oxalate is produced and accumulated in many crop plants and pasture weed. It may be present in plants as the soluble salt of potassium, sodium or ammonium oxalate as oxalic acid or as insoluble calcium oxalate (Osagie, 1998). The tannin content in red soko and green soko were 15.03±0.48 ppm and 13.1±0.41 ppm. It was reported the plant tannins are

source of some commercial tannic acid as tannin agent (Evans, 2002) and they have ability to inhibit HIV replication selectively and is also used as diuretics (Evans, 2002). Red soko had the lower saponin value ( $30.98 \pm 0.38$  ppm) than green soko ( $38.51 \pm 0.55$  ppm). Saponin is a group of glycosides widely distributed in plants, which forms durable foam when their watery solutions are shaken which even in high dilutions dissolve erythrocyte. Medically, it has been reported that saponin is used in hypercholesterolaemia, hyperglycaemia, antioxidant, anti-cancer, anti-inflammatory and weight loss, etc, (Ngbede et al., 2008). Saponin also has anti carcinogenic agents, immune modulations activity, cholesterol lowering activity and anti-fungal properties (Seigler, 1998). The alkaloid in red soko was lower ( $4.58 \pm 0.03$  ppm) than green soko ( $5.49 \pm 0.02$  ppm). Plants contain alkaloids have been reported to be effective in medicinal plant that are capable of reducing headaches associated with hypertension (Ayitey-Smith, 1989). And they are also used in the management of cold, chronic catarrh, persistent headaches and migraine (Grill, 1992) and eating plants with high concentration of alkaloids has been reported to be toxic because it interferes with the digestive process (Obasi et al., 2011) and thereby inhibits the efficient utilization of nutrients (Enwere, 1998). Red soko had the higher value of phytate ( $232.00 \pm 1.20$  ppm) than green soko ( $116.13 \pm 1.11$  ppm). Phytate has a strong binding affinity to important minerals such as calcium, magnesium, iron and zinc and as such it forms insoluble precipitate and will be non-absorbable in the intestines. It has therapeutic uses as phytonutrient and also provides antioxidant effect. Phytate also has mineral binding properties making it to prevent colon cancer by reducing oxidative stress in the line of the intestinal tract (Osagie, 1998). The ascorbic acid content of red and green soko were  $25.12 \pm 0.37$  ppm and  $28.95 \pm 0.33$  ppm respectively. Green soko contained higher content of vitamin C than red soko showed. Ascorbic acid helps in absorption of iron in the gut; to form collagen in bones, cartilages and muscles (Kadler and Boot, 2007). Vitamin C is an antioxidant that facilitate the transport and uptake of non-heme iron at the mucosa, the reduction of folic acid intermediates and the synthesis of cortisol. The deficiency are scurvy, decay of gum and fragility to blood capillaries (Achikanu et al., 2013, Fasuyi, 2006). Ascorbic acids (vitamin C) is an anticancerous agent that helps to fight and guide the body against cancer and other degenerative diseases such as Type 2 diabetes mellitus and arthritis (Mensah et al., 2008). There was significant different ( $p < 0.05$ ) between the two species of leafy vegetables of flavonoid values. There was no significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) in flavonoids, total carotenoids and alkaloids; total phenol and tannin; and oxalate and ascorbic acid in red soko and there was no significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) in total carotenoid and alkaloids; and oxalate and tannin in green soko.

## Conclusion

There was slight difference in phytochemical profile of red soko and green soko and this was also dependent on the nature of the solvents used for extraction. Methanol and water had the highest extractive values for red soko and green soko. Both vegetables were very rich in ascorbic acid, phytate and saponin but green soko was richer in ascorbic acid, saponin, total phenol, total carotenoid, alkaloid and flavonoid than red soko. Red soko had higher phytate and tannin than green soko. Nutritionally, green soko is slightly richer in phytochemicals than red soko.

## Reference

- Achikanu, C. E., Eze-Steven, P. E. Ude, C. M. and Ugwuokolie, O. C. (2013). Determination of the vitamin and mineral composition of common leafy vegetables in south eastern Nigeria. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **2**: 347-353.
- AMC-RSC (2002). *Methods of Analysis of Analytical Methods* Committee of Royal Society of Chemistry AMC-RSC 222-239.
- Amic, B., Aburjai, T. and Al-Khalil, O. (2002). Antioxidative and radical scavenging effects of olive cake extract. *Fitoterapia* **73**:456-461.
- Anderson, G. D. (2004). *Phytochemicals . Dynamic Chiropractic*, 2 issue 01. In: Chung, K.T., Weichang, I. and Johnson, M.G., (1998). Are tannins a double edged sword in biology and health? *Trends Food Science Technology*. **4**: 168-175.
- AOCS (2004). *Official Methods and Recommended Practices of American Oil Chemists Society*, 5<sup>th</sup> Ed. Champaign, Illinois: American Oil Chemist's society, USA.
- Arawande, J. O., Adeleke, R. Orimoloye, R. O., Adebisi, S. A., Amuhu, E. U. (2021). Extractive Values and Antioxidant Properties of Leaves, Seeds, Pods and Coats Moringa Plant. *Biomed J. Sci & Tech Res* **39**(4). BJSTR.MS.ID.006334.
- Arawande, J. O. and Aderibigbe, A. S. (2020). Stabilization of edible oils with bitter leaf (*Vernonia amygdalina*) and water bitter leaf (*Struchium sparganophora*) extracts, *SAR Journal of Medical Biochemistry* **1**(1): 9-15
- Arawande, J. O., Akinnusotu, A. and Alademeyin, J. O. (2018). Extractive value and phytochemical screening of ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) and turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) using different solvents, *International Journal of Traditional and Natural Medicine* **8**(1): 13-22.
- Ayitey-Smith, E. (1989). *Properties and scope: Plant medicine in health care*. Ghana University Press, Accra pp 29.
- Banerjee, A., Datta, J. K., Mondal, N. K. (2012) Biochemical changes in leaves of mustard under the influence of different fertilizers and cycocel. *Journal of Agricultural Technology* **8**(4): 1397-1411.
- Bopitiya, D., and Madhujith, T. (2014). Efficacy of pomegranate (*Punica granatum L.*) peel extracts in suppressing oxidation of white coconut oil used for deep frying. *Tropical Agricultural Research* **25**(3):298-306.
- Brindha, S. B. and Purushothaman, K. (1981). Phytochemical analysis of *E. alba*. *BMEMR* **3**(1): 84-96.
- Brunner, J. H. (1984). Direct Spectrophotometric Determination of saponin. *Anal. Chem.* **34**:1314-1326.
- Chang S. K., Nagendra, P. K. and Amin, I. (2013). Carotenoids retention in leafy vegetables based on cooking methods. *International Food Research Journal*, Vol. **20** (1):457-465.
- Chivandi, E., Mukonowenzou, N., Nyakudya, T. and Erlwanger, K.H. (2015). Potential of indigenous fruit-bearing trees to curb malnutrition, improve household food security, income and community health in Sub-Saharan Africa: A review. *Food Research International*, **76**(P4): 980-985.
- Crozier, A., Borges, G. and Ryan, D. (2010). The glass that cheers: Phenolic and polyphenolic constituents and the beneficial effects of moderate red wine consumption. *The Biochemist* **32**(6): 4-9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/b802662>
- Dykes, L. and Rooney, W. (2007). Phenolic compounds in cereal grains and their health benefits. *Cereal Foods World*, **52**, 105-111
- Egharevba, H. O. and Kunle, F. O. (2010). Preliminary phytochemical and proximate analysis of the leaves of *Piliostigma thonningii* (schumach) Milne- Redhead *Ethnobotanical Leaveslets* **14**:570-577.
- Elias, K. M., Nelson, K. O., Simon, M. and Johnson, K. (2012). Phytochemical and antioxidant analysis of methanolic extracts of four African indigenous leafy vegetables. *Ann Food Sci. Technol.* **13**(1):37-42.
- Enwere, N. J. (1998). *Foods of plant Origin*. 1<sup>st</sup> edition. Afroobis Publication Limited, Nsukka, Nigeria pp 78-85.
- Evans, W.C. (2002). *Trease and Evans pharmacognosy*, 11<sup>th</sup> edition, Elsevier, India pp 289-291.



22. Fasuyi, A. O. (2006). Nutritional potentials of some tropical vegetables meals. Chemical characterization and functional properties, African journal of Biotechnology **5**:49-53.
23. Forman, M.R. and Lanza, L.C. (1993). The correlation between two dietary assessments of carotenoid food composition data base. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. **58**:519-524.
24. Grill, L.S. (1992). Ethnobotanical uses of plants in Nigeria. University of Benin press, Benin City.
25. Grubben, G. J. H. and Denton, O. A. (2004). Plant Resources of Tropical Africa 2 (Vegetables). PROTA Foundation, Wageningen, Netherlands. pp. 167 – 173.
26. Harborne, J.B. (1973). Phytochemical Methods 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Chapman and Hall Ltd.135-203
27. Hunds, S.S., Prakash, P. and Roy, B. (1985). Bioactivity directed extraction and fractionation of *E. alba*: An hepatoprotective drug of Indian Origin. Ind. J. Pharm. Sci. **13**:50-51.
28. Ilodibia, C. V., Chukwuma, C., Chukwuma, U. M., Akachukwu, E. E., Igboabuchi, N. A., and Adimonyemma, R. N. (2016). Anatomical, proximate, mineral and vitamin studies on *Celosia argentea* (Linn.). British Biotechnology Journal **15**(4): 1–17.
29. IITA (1972). International Institute for Tropical Agriculture: Root, Tuber and Vegetable Improvement Programme Report. Ibadan, Nigeria.
30. Iqbal S., and Bhanger, M. I. (2006). Effect of season and production location on antioxidant activity of *Moringa oleifera* leaves grown in Pakistan. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis **19**: 544-551.
31. Joshi, A., Bhoje, M. and Saatarkar, A. (2013). Phytochemical investigation of the roots of *Grewia microcos*. J. Chem. Pharm. Res. **5**: 80–87.
32. Kadler, B. and Boot, H. (2007). Collagens at glance, Journal of Cell Science **120**: 1955-1958.
33. Kai, M. and Thomas, B. (2005). Phylogenetics of Amaranthaceae using matK/trnK sequence data evidence from parsimony, likelihood and Bayesian approaches. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden 66-102.
34. Kaur, C. and Kapoor, H. C. (2002). Anti-oxidant activity and total phenolic content of some Asian vegetables International Journal of Food Science and Technology **37**: 153-161.
35. Liu, R. H. (2004). Potential synergy of phytochemicals in cancer prevention: mechanism of action. J. Nutrition. **134**: 34795-34855.
36. Liu, R. H. (2013). Health-promoting components of fruits and vegetables in the diet Adv Nutr **1**;4(3):384S-92S. doi: 10.3945/an.112.003517.
37. Maga, J. A. (1983). Phytates: Its Chemistry, Occurrence, Food Interaction, Nutritional Significance and Method of Analysis. Anal. Chem. **33**:1005-1020. Malaysia. Molecules 17, 11139–11145.
38. MCMFA (1982). Manual of Chemical Methods of Food Analysis: Food and Drugs Administration and Laboratory Services, Federal Ministry of Health, Lagos pp1-90.
39. Mensah, J. K., Okoli, R. I., Ohaju-Obodo, J. O., and Eifediyi, K. (2008). Phytochemical nutritional and medical properties of some leafy vegetables consumed by Edo people of Nigeria. African Journal of Biotechnology **7** (14): 2304-2309.
40. Ndolo, V.U. and Beta, T. (2014). Comparative studies on composition and distribution of phenolic acids in cereal grain botanical fractions. Cereal Chem **91**(5): 522-530. doi: 10.1094/Cchem-10-13-0225-R
41. Ngbede, J., Yakubu, R. A. and Nyam, D. A. (2008). Phytochemical screening for active compounds in *Canarium Scheinfurthii* (Atile) leaves from Jos North, Plateau State, Nigeria, Medwell Research Journal of Biological Science **3**(9): 1077-1078.
42. Obasi, N. L., Egbuonu, A. C. C., Ukoha, P. O. and Ejikeme, P. M. (2011). Comparative phytochemical and antimicrobial screening of some solvent extracts of *Smanaea saman* (fabaceae or Mimosaceae). In proceeding of Chemical Society of Nigeria, 34<sup>th</sup> Annual International Conference, Workshop and Exhibition held at Main Auditorium, University of Ilorin on 19<sup>th</sup>-23<sup>rd</sup> Sept., ppORG037-ORG043.
43. Oboh, G. (2005). Effect of blanching on the antioxidant properties of some tropical leafy vegetables LWT **38**:513-517.
44. Olawuyi, O. J., Bamigbegbin, B. J., and Bello, O. B. (2016). Genetic variation of morphological and yield characters of *Celosia argentea* L. germplasm. Journal of Basic and Applied Research International, **13**(3), 160–169.
45. Onwuka, G. I. (2005). Food Analysis and Instrumentation. Theory and practice. Naphthali prints, Lagos, Nigeria pp 134-138.
46. Osagie, A. U. (1998). Antinutritional factors in nutritional quality of plantfoods. Editors:osagie, A.U. and Eka, O.U., publisher: Post Harvest Research unit, University of Benin, Benin City, pp 221-244.
47. Oz, A. T. and Kafkas, E. (2017). Phytochemicals in Fruits and Vegetables. Superfood and Functional Food - An Overview of Their Processing and Utilization. IntechOpen.,1:175-184 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5772/66987>
48. Prior, R.L. and Gu, L. (2005). Occurrence and biological significance of proanthocyanidins in the American diet. Phytochemistry, **66**(18): 2264–2280
49. Raghavendra, M, Reddy, A. M., Yadav, P. R., Raju, A. S. and Kumar, L. S. (2013). Comparative studies on the in vitro antioxidant properties of methanolic leafy extracts from six edible leafy vegetables of india. Asian J Pharm Clin Res. **6**(3):96–99.
50. Ramamurthy, V. and Sathiyadevi M. (2017). Preliminary phytochemical screening of methanol extract of *Indigofera trita* linn. Journal of molecular Histology & Medical Physiology. 1-11.
51. Seigler, D.S. (1998). Plants with saponins and cardiac glycosides [www.lifwe.vinc.edu/plantbio/363/saponin/slides](http://www.lifwe.vinc.edu/plantbio/363/saponin/slides).
52. Sofowora, A. (2008). Medicinal plants and traditional Medicine in Africa, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Spectrum Blocks Limited, Ibadan, Nigeria pp 199-204.
53. StankoviC, M. S., Zia-Ul-Haq, M., BojoviC, B. M. and UZoviC, M. D. (2014). Total phenolics, flavonoid content and antioxidant power of leaf, flower and fruits from cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas* L.). Bulg. J. Agric. Sci. **20**: 358-363
54. Uusiku, N. P. Oelofse, A., Duodu, K. G. Bester, M. J. and Faber, M. (2010). Nutritional value of leafy vegetables of sub-Saharan Africa and their potential contribution to human health: a review Journal of Food Composition and Analysis **23**: 499- 509.
55. Williamson, G., Dupont, M. S., Heaney, R. K., Roger, G. and Rhodes, M. J. (1997). Induction of glutathione S transferase activity in hepG2 cells by extracts of fruits and vegetables. J. Food Chem. **2**:157-160.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

To Submit Your Article Click Here:

**Submit Manuscript**

DOI: [10.31579/2637-8914/119](https://doi.org/10.31579/2637-8914/119)

**Ready to submit your research? Choose Auctores and benefit from:**

- fast, convenient online submission
- rigorous peer review by experienced research in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- authors retain copyrights
- unique DOI for all articles
- immediate, unrestricted online access

At Auctores, research is always in progress.

Learn more <https://auctoresonline.org/journals/nutrition-and-food-processing>