AUCTORES
Globalize your Research

Journal of Gastroenterology Pancreatology and Hepatobilary Disorders
Faruk SUBAŞI *

Open Access

Case Report

Some Critical Aspects about Surgical Risk in a Patient with Liver Disease

Hakan Gökalp TAŞ[1], Faruk SUBAŞI[2*], Hüsnü DEĞİRMENCİ[3]

[1]Siran State Hospital, Anesthesist, Gümüşhane-Turkey.

[2]Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Faculty of Medicine, Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Anesthesist, Erzincan-Turkey.

[3]Department of Cardiology, Faculty of Medicine, Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Professor Erzincan-Turkey.

***Corresponding Author:** Faruk SUBAŞI, MD, Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Faculty of Medicine, Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Basbaglar, 24100 Erzincan - Turkey

Received date: February 03, 2022; Accepted date: February 18, 2022; Published date: March 01, 2022

Citation: Hakan G. TAŞ, SUBAŞI F, DEĞİRMENCİ H. (2022) A Review of Colitis Ulcers in the Gastrointestinal Tract; *J. Gastroenterology Pancreatology and Hepatobilary Disorders*, 6(3) DOI: 10.31579/2641-5194/060

Introduction

Those with hepatic illness who require operation have a higher risk of surgical and anesthesia-related complications than people with a healthy liver. The kind and intensity of the liver damage, the type of surgery, and the type of anesthetic used all influence the danger. We'll try to cover some of the things that we think are significant when it comes to the surgical risks that patients with liver disfunction face prior to surgery.

Surgical Risk Estimation

Operational risk in patients with liver disease is determined by the severity of the illness, the immediacy of surgery (and choices other than surgery), and the patient's concomitant medical state. If emergency surgery is essential to avoid mortality, surgical risk evaluation is less important. The great majority of decisions, on the other hand, are taken in the context of half-urgent or elective surgeries, when there is time to examine the risks, medical status optimization, alternative options, and the potential of liver transplantation (ie, elective surgery may be deferred until after transplantation) [1,2].

The majority of studies on the risks of operations in patients with liver disease has centered on cirrhosis, which has multiple risk factors. Surgery's danger in persons with less advanced liver disease has gotten significantly less consideration [3-5].

Surgery is contraindicated in the following situations:

Acute hepatitis or acute liver failure: This advice is based on prior research, which found that icteric individuals who had laparotomy as component of a diagnostic examination that led to a diagnosis of acute viral hepatitis had operative fatality rates of 10 to 13 percent [6].

Alcohol-associated hepatitis: Elective surgery is not recommended for people who have alcohol-related hepatitis. In the past, death rates as great as 55 - 100 percent have also been reported [7-9].

Severe chronic hepatitis: On histologic, biochemical, and clinical levels, operative risk in people with chronic hepatitis is associated to disease severity. Patients with symptomatic and histologically severe chronic hepatitis are more likely to need surgery, especially if they have decreased hepatic function of synthesis or excretion, portal hypertension, or bridging or multilobular necrosis on liver biopsy [10,11].

Patients have variable increased risk in the following situations:

The intensity of the disease, the clinical setting, and the kind of surgical operation all influence the risk of surgery in cirrhotic patients. The Child-Turcotte-Pugh score or Child-Pugh (CP) class has been the primary predictor of operational risk in patients with cirrhosis for over 30 years, but new research suggests that the Model for End-stage Liver Disease (MELD) score, Mayo risk score, and other alternative risk models may be preferable [12,13].

Child-Pugh classification: Previous investigations have pointed that cirrhosis patients' perioperative mortality and morbidity correspond strongly with the Child-Turcotte and CP cirrhosis classifications [14,15]. In 2011, a research of 138 individuals having intra-abdominal surgery or abdominal wall surgery found that individuals with CP class A, B, and C had fatality rates of 10, 17, and 63 percent [16]. Postoperative ascites, jaundice, and encephalopathy are more common in patients with CP class A cirrhosis and portal hypertension [17].

APACHE score and Measures of hepatic function: Because none of the dynamic tests for quantitative evaluation of liver function have been shown to provide substantial prognostic information compared to the CP categorization, they aren't commonly used [18]. The APACHE III value can reflect survival in cirrhotic patients admitted to an intensive care unit [19].

MELD and Mayo risk scores: The MELD score is determined using a formula that takes into account creatinine, bilirubin, and INR. It was created to predict mortality following the insertion of a TIPS (transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt) and has mostly been used to identify people awaiting liver transplants. This approach has been demonstrated to be effective in predicting surgical risk in non-transplant patients, and it

has therefore substituted the CP classification as the primary approach for identifying surgical risk [20-23]. The MELD score is combined with the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) class and age to create the Mayo risk score [20]. The Mayo risk score predicted death in a study of 772 people with cirrhosis who had cardiovascular, major digestive, or orthopedic surgery. At 30 and 90 days, the score was the strongest predictor of death. Mortality at 30 days ranged from 6% (MELD score of 8) to over 50% (MELD score of >20), with the MELD score being strongly related to mortality [20].

VOCAL-Penn score: The Veterans Outcomes and Costs Associated with Liver Disease (VOCAL)-Penn score can be used to stratify cirrhotic patients according to their risk of mortality at 30, 90, and 180 days after surgery [12].

Conclusion

We touched on a few points in this review of cirrhosis patients' preoperative risk assessment. Cirrhotic patients have an increased risk of anesthesia and surgery than the general populace. The right risk assessment is crucial for preventing unfavorable intra- and postoperative outcomes.

References

1. Northup PG, Friedman LS, Kamath PS. (2019) AGA Clinical Practice Update on Surgical Risk Assessment and Perioperative Management in Cirrhosis: Expert Review. Clinical gastroenterology and hepatology: the official clinical practice journal of the American Gastroenterological Association. Mar; 17(4):595-606.
2. Hickman L, Tanner L, Christein J, et al. (2019) Non-Hepatic Abdominal Surgery in Patients with Cirrhotic Liver Disease. Journal of gastrointestinal surgery: official journal of the Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract. Mar; 23(3):634-642.
3. Bloch RS, Allaben RD, Walt AJ. (1985) Cholecystectomy in patients with cirrhosis. A surgical challenge. Archives of surgery (Chicago, Ill: 1960). Jun; 120(6):669-72.
4. Aranha GV, Kruss D, Greenlee HB. (1988) Therapeutic options for biliary tract disease in advanced cirrhosis. American journal of surgery. Mar; 155(3):374-7.
5. Lehnert T, Herfarth C. (1993) Peptic ulcer surgery in patients with liver cirrhosis. Annals of surgery. 1993 Apr; 217 (4):338-46.
6. Harville DD, Summerskill WH. (1963) Surgery in acute hepatitis. Causes and effects. Jama. Apr 27; 184:257-61.
7. Greenwood SM, Leffler CT, Minkowitz S. (1972). The increased mortality rate of open liver biopsy in alcoholic hepatitis. Surgery, gynecology & obstetrics. Apr;134(4):600-4.
8. Mikkelsen WP. Therapeutic portacaval shunt. Preliminary data on controlled trial and morbid effects of acute hyaline necrosis. Archives of surgery (Chicago, Ill: 1960). 1974 Mar; 108 (3):302-5.
9. Powell-Jackson P, Greenway B, Williams R. (1982) Adverse effects of exploratory laparotomy in patients with unsuspected liver disease. The British journal of surgery. Aug; 69(8):449-51.
10. Hargrove MD, Jr. (1970) Chronic active hepatitis: possible adverse effect of exploratory laparotomy. Surgery. Nov; 68(5):771-3.
11. Higashi H, Matsumata T, Adachi E, et al. (1994) Influence of viral hepatitis status on operative morbidity and mortality in patients with primary hepatocellular carcinoma. The British journal of surgery. Sep; 81(9):1342-5.
12. Mahmud N, Fricker Z, Hubbard RA, et al. (2021) Risk Prediction Models for Post-Operative Mortality in Patients with Cirrhosis. Hepatology (Baltimore, Md). Jan; 73(1):204-218.
13. O'Leary JG, Friedman LS. (2007) Predicting surgical risk in patients with cirrhosis: from art to science. Gastroenterology. Apr; 132(4):1609-11.
14. Ziser A, Plevak DJ, Wiesner RH, et al. (1999) Morbidity and mortality in cirrhotic patients undergoing anesthesia and surgery. Anesthesiology. Jan; 90(1):42-53.
15. Pugh RN, Murray-Lyon IM, Dawson JL, et al. (1973) Transection of the oesophagus for bleeding oesophageal varices. The British journal of surgery. Aug; 60(8):646-9.
16. Neeff H, Mariaskin D, Spangenberg HC, et al. (2011) Perioperative mortality after non-hepatic general surgery in patients with liver cirrhosis: an analysis of 138 operations in the 2000s using Child and MELD scores. Journal of gastrointestinal surgery: official journal of the Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract. Jan; 15(1):1-11.
17. Bruix J, Castells A, Bosch J, et al. (1996) Surgical resection of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients: prognostic value of preoperative portal pressure. Gastroenterology. Oct; 111(4):1018-22.
18. Friedman L, Martin P, Munoz SJHatold. (1996) Liver function tests and the objective evaluation of the patient with liver disease.; 1:791-833.
19. Zimmerman JE, Wagner DP, Seneff MG, et al. (1996) Intensive care unit admissions with cirrhosis: risk-stratifying patient groups and predicting individual survival. Hepatology (Baltimore, Md). Jun; 23(6):1393-401.
20. Teh SH, Nagorney DM, Stevens SR, et al. (2007) Risk factors for mortality after surgery in patients with cirrhosis. Gastroenterology. Apr; 132(4):1261-9.
21. Suman A, Barnes DS, Zein NN, et al. (2004) Predicting outcome after cardiac surgery in patients with cirrhosis: a comparison of Child-Pugh and MELD scores. Clinical gastroenterology and hepatology: the official clinical practice journal of the American Gastroenterological Association. Aug;2(8):719-23.
22. Farnsworth N, Fagan SP, Berger DH, et al. (2004) Child-Turcotte-Pugh versus MELD score as a predictor of outcome after elective and emergent surgery in cirrhotic patients. American journal of surgery. Nov;188(5):580-3.
23. Cucchetti A, Ercolani G, Vivarelli M, et al. (2006) Impact of model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score on prognosis after hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma on cirrhosis. Liver transplantation: official publication of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the International Liver Transplantation Society. Jun;12(6):966-71.